EXHIBIT 71

```
Page 1
1
        MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022
2
      IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
      FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3
            DALLAS DIVISION
4
5
   IN RE:
                    ) CHAPTER 11
6
   HIGHLAND CAPITAL
                           ) CASE NO.
   MANAGEMENT, L.P.,
7
                    19-34054-SGJ11
    Reorganized Debtor.
8
9
10
11
12
      REMOTE 30(b)(6) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
13
           HCRE PARTNERS, LLC
14
           THROUGH MATT McGRANER
15
         Tuesday, October 11, 2022
16
17
18
19
20
21
   Reported by:
22 KIM A. McCANN, RMR, CRR, CSR
23 JOB NO. 217519
24
25
```

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 3590-71 Filed 10/27/22 Entered 10/27/22 17:13:45 Desc Exhibit 71 Page 3 of 79

| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 3 |
|--|--|--------|
| 2 | 2 REMOTE APPEARANCES: 3 APPEARING FOR THE REORGANIZED DEBTOR/PLAINTIFF: | |
| 3 October 11, 2022 | John Morris, Esq. | |
| 4 9:28 a.m. | 4 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES | |
| 5 | 780 Third Avenue 5 New York, New York 10017 | |
| 6 Remote Videotaped Deposition of MATT | 6 | |
| 7 McGRANER, held via Zoom Videoconference, pursuant | 7 APPEARING FOR NEXPOINT REAL ESTATE PARTNERS | |
| 8 to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure before | AND THE WITNESS: | |
| 9 Kim A. McCann, Registered Merit Reporter, | Charles Gameros Jr., Esq. | |
| 10 Certified Realtime Reporter and Certified | 9 HOGE & GAMEROS | |
| 11 Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas. | 6116 North Central Expressway 10 Dallas, Texas 75206 | |
| 12 | 11 | |
| 13 | Also Present: | |
| 14 | 12 Deborah Newman, Esq., Quinn Emanuel | |
| 15 | 13 La Asia Cantey - Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones | |
| 16 | LLP | |
| 17 | 14 Philip Rizzuti, Videographer Lisa Lambert, US Trustees Office | |
| 18 | 15 | |
| 19 | 16 | |
| 20 | 17 18 | |
| 21 | 19 | |
| 22 | 20 | |
| 23 | 21 22 | |
| 24 | 22 23 | |
| - · 25 | 24 | |
| | 25 | |
| Page - 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | | Page 5 |
| 2 INDEX | | |
| 3 PAGE | | |
| 4 Examination by Mr. Morris 7 5 | 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning, | |
| 6 EXHIBITS | 4 Counsel. My name is Phil Rizzuti. I am a | |
| 7 8 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE | 5 legal videographer in association with TSG | |
| 9 Exhibit 1A 30(b)(6) Notice of HCRE Partners, 15 | 6 Reporting, Inc. Because this is a remote | |
| LLC | 7 deposition, I will not be in the same room | |
| 10 Exhibit 2 SE Multifamily Holdings, LLC 98 | 8 with the witness. Instead, I will record | |
| 11 Limited Liability Company | 9 this videotaped deposition remotely. The | |
| Agreement dated 8/23/18 | 10 reporter, Kim McCann, also will not be in | |
| 12 Exhibit 4 Email dated 2/28/19 114 | 11 the same room and will swear the witness | |
| 13 | 12 remotely. | |
| Exhibit 5 Email dated 2/28/19 117 | 13 Do all parties stipulate to the | |
| 14 Exhibit 6 Email dated 3/14/19 131 | 14 validity of this video recording and remote | |
| 15 | 15 swearing, and that it will be admissible in | |
| Exhibit 7 Email dated 3/15/19 145 16 | 16 the courtroom as if it had been taken | |
| Exhibit 8 Email dated 3/15/19 146 | 17 following Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of | |
| | 18 Civil Procedure and the State's rules where | |
| | | |
| 17 Exhibit 9 SE Multifamily Holdings, LLC 159 18 First Amended and Restated | 19 this case is pending? | |
| | 19 this case is pending?20 MR. MORRIS: John Morris for | |
| Exhibit 9 SE Multifamily Holdings, LLC 159 18 First Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company 19 Agreement dated 8/23/18 | | |
| Exhibit 9 SE Multifamily Holdings, LLC 159 18 First Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company 19 Agreement dated 8/23/18 20 Exhibit 10 Email dated 11/19/20 174 | 20 MR. MORRIS: John Morris for | |
| Exhibit 9 SE Multifamily Holdings, LLC 159 18 First Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company 19 Agreement dated 8/23/18 20 Exhibit 10 Email dated 11/19/20 174 21 Exhibit 11 Email dated 6/19/21 189 | 20 MR. MORRIS: John Morris for21 Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones on behalf of | |
| Exhibit 9 SE Multifamily Holdings, LLC 159 18 First Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company 19 Agreement dated 8/23/18 20 Exhibit 10 Email dated 11/19/20 174 21 Exhibit 11 Email dated 6/19/21 189 | 20 MR. MORRIS: John Morris for 21 Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones on behalf of 22 Highland Capital Management, L.P. We | |

| Page 6 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 7 |
|--|---|--------|
| 2 Partners, LP. We also consent. | 2 EXAMINATION | |
| 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This | 3 BY MR. MORRIS: | |
| 4 is the start of media labeled Number 1 of | 4 Q. Good morning, Mr. McGraner. Can you | |
| 5 the video-recorded deposition of | 5 hear me? | |
| 6 Mr. Matt McGraner in the matter of Highland | 6 A. I can. Good morning. | |
| 7 Capital Management In re: Highland | 7 Q. Okay. My name is John Morris. I'm | |
| 8 Capital Management, L.P. in the | 8 an attorney at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones. We | |
| 9 United States Bankruptcy Court for the | 9 represent Highland Capital Management, L.P. | |
| 10 Northern District of Texas, Dallas | 10 Do you understand that? | |
| 11 Division, Case Number 19-34054-SGJ 11. | 11 A. Yes, sir. | |
| | , | |
| 12 This deposition is being held on | | |
| 13 October 11, 2022, at approximately | 13 for your deposition today? | |
| 14 9:31 a.m. My name is Phil Rizzuti. I am | 14 A. I do. | |
| 15 the legal video specialist from | 15 Q. Do you understand that we're I'm | |
| 16 TSG Reporting, Inc. The court reporter is | 16 going to be asking questions in both your | |
| 17 Kim McCann in association with | 17 individual capacity and in your capacity as | |
| 18 TSG Reporting. | 18 HCRE's corporate representative? | |
| 19 Counsel's appearances have already | 19 A. I do. | |
| 20 been noted on the record by the court | 20 Q. Have you ever been deposed before, | |
| 21 reporter. Will the court reporter please | 21 sir? | |
| 22 swear in the witness. | 22 A. I have. | |
| 23 MATT McGRANER, | 23 Q. How many times? | |
| 24 Having been first duly sworn, testified as | 24 A. Twice, I believe. | |
| 25 follows: | 25 Q. And can you tell me, to the extent | |
| Page 8 | | Page 9 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | |
| 2 you can recall, approximately when those | 2 A. I believe the same one, NexPoint Real | |
| 3 depositions took place? | 3 Estate Partners. | |
| 4 A. Both were during COVID, I believe | 4 Q. From time to time today, I may refer | |
| 5 March of 2020 and then a follow-up maybe in the | 5 to that entity as HCRE; will you understand that | |
| 6 fall 2020. | 6 I'm referring to the same entity? | |
| Q. And were they personal or were they | 7 A. Sure. | |
| 8 business related? | 8 Q. And did NexPoint Real Estate Partners | |
| 9 A. They were business. | 9 succeed through a name change from HCRE? | |
| 10 Q. And can you were they in | 10 A. It did. | |
| 11 connection with the same case or with different | 11 Q. And do you know when that happened? | |
| 12 cases? | 12 A. I believe sometime in 2020. | |
| 13 A. They were in connection with a | 13 Q. So so you've been deposed before, | |
| 14 different case. | 14 but let me just give you some general ground | |
| 15 Q. Can you tell me generally what that | 15 rules to make sure that we're on the same page | |
| 16 case is? | 16 here. I'm going to ask you a series of questions | |
| 17 A. It was an eminent domain dispute in | 17 today, and it's very important that you allow me | |
| 18 McKinney, Texas, with an with an energy | 18 to finish my question before you begin your | |
| 19 company. | 19 answer. Is that fair? | |
| 20 Q. Did you testify in your individual | 20 A. It is. | |
| 21 capacity or as a corporate representative, if you | 21 Q. And I will try to make sure that you | |
| 22 recall? | | |
| | 22 have sufficient time to answer the question | |
| 23 A. As a corporate rep. | 23 before I begin the next one. But if I fail to do | |
| Q. And which corporation did you testify | 24 so, will you let me know that. | |
| 25 on behalf of? | 25 A. I will. | |
| | | |

| Page 10 | I | Page 11 |
|---|---|---------|
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | rage 11 |
| 2 Q. If there's anything that I that I | 2 Real Estate company you mentioned earlier? | |
| 3 ask that you don't understand, will you let me | 3 A. It is. | |
| 4 know that as well. | 4 Q. And what is NexPoint Advisors? | |
| 5 A. I will. | 5 A. It is the investment manager that | |
| 6 Q. Okay. Because this deposition is | 6 manages most of our real estate vehicles on | |
| 7 being taken remotely, we're going to be posting | 7 behalf of investors at NexPoint. | |
| 8 documents up on the screen from time to time | 8 Q. Do you have a title? | |
| 9 today. I don't know in advance which of the | 9 A. Chief investment officer. | |
| 10 documents you will have seen previously, but I | 10 Q. When did you obtain that title? | |
| 11 just want to give you assurances that if there's | 11 A. March March or April of 2015. | |
| 12 anything in any document that you believe you | 12 Q. Have you held the title of chief | |
| 13 need to see in order to have a full context, will | 13 investment officer at NexPoint Advisors on a | |
| 14 you just let me know that. | 14 continuous basis since March 2015? | |
| 15 A. I will. | 15 A. I have. | |
| 16 Q. Okay. Because I'm not here to trick | 16 Q. Have | |
| 17 you; I'm here to just elicit testimony from you | 17 A. Can I make a clarification? It | |
| 18 on – on the topics that are at issue in this | 18 NexPoint I'm the chief investment officer of | |
| 19 case. | 19 NexPoint Real Estate Advisors, which is a | |
| 20 Are you currently employed today, | 20 subsidiary of NexPoint Advisors. | |
| 21 sir? | 21 Q. So NexPoint Real Estate Advisors, to | |
| 22 A. I am. | 22 the best of your knowledge, is wholly-owned by | |
| 23 Q. And who's your employer? | 23 NexPoint Advisors? | |
| 24 A. NexPoint Advisors. | 24 A. It is. | |
| 25 Q. Is that different from the NexPoint | 25 Q. Have you served as the chief – chief | |
| 2. Is that amore the morn the morn to the | 20 Q. Flave you convoca do tillo critici. Critici | |
| | | |
| Page 12 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 school at Washington University in St. Louis | Page 13 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I | Page 13 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? | school at Washington University in St. Louis. I practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's | Page 13 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? A. I have. Q. Have you been employed by any other | school at Washington University in St. Louis. I practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in St in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. | Page 13 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? A. I have. Q. Have you been employed by any other person or any other entity since March of 2015? | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law | Page 13 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? A. I have. Q. Have you been employed by any other person or any other entity since March of 2015? A. I became started working at | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? | Page 13 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? A. I have. Q. Have you been employed by any other person or any other entity since March of 2015? A. I became started working at Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started 11 getting paid by NexPoint Advisors. | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time 11 you joined Brian Cave? | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started 11 getting paid by NexPoint Advisors. 12 Q. And the entity that pays you is the | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time 11 you joined Brian Cave? 12 A. I have. | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started 11 getting paid by NexPoint Advisors. 12 Q. And the entity that pays you is the 13 entity that you personally identify as your | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time 11 you joined Brian Cave? 12 A. I have. 13 Q. Have you held any title at NexPoint | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started 11 getting paid by NexPoint Advisors. 12 Q. And the entity that pays you is the 13 entity that you personally identify as your 14 employer. Do I have that right? | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time 11 you joined Brian Cave? 12 A. I have. 13 Q. Have you held any title at NexPoint 14 Real Estate Advisors at any time since | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started 11 getting paid by NexPoint Advisors. 12 Q. And the entity that pays you is the 13 entity that you personally identify as your 14 employer. Do I have that right? 15 A. Yeah, that's right. | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time 11 you joined Brian Cave? 12 A. I have. 13 Q. Have you held any title at NexPoint 14 Real Estate Advisors at any time since 15 March 2015? | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started 11 getting paid by NexPoint Advisors. 12 Q. And the entity that pays you is the 13 entity that you personally identify as your 14 employer. Do I have that right? 15 A. Yeah, that's right. 16 Q. Were you employed prior to March | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time 11 you joined Brian Cave? 12 A. I have. 13 Q. Have you held any title at NexPoint 14 Real Estate Advisors at any time since 15 March 2015? 16 A. Any other title? | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started 11 getting paid by NexPoint Advisors. 12 Q. And the entity that pays you is the 13 entity that you personally identify as your 14 employer. Do I have that right? 15 A. Yeah, that's right. 16 Q. Were you employed prior to March 17 withdrawn. | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time 11 you joined Brian Cave? 12 A. I have. 13 Q. Have you held any title at NexPoint 14 Real Estate Advisors at any time since 15 March 2015? 16 A. Any other title? 17 Q. Yes. I apologize. Other than chief | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started 11 getting paid by NexPoint Advisors. 12 Q. And the entity that pays you is the 13 entity that you personally identify as your 14 employer. Do I have that right? 15 A. Yeah, that's right. 16 Q. Were you employed prior to March 17 withdrawn. 18 Were you employed prior to May 2013? | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time 11 you joined Brian Cave? 12 A. I have. 13 Q. Have you held any title at NexPoint 14 Real Estate Advisors at any time since 15 March 2015? 16 A. Any other title? 17 Q. Yes. I apologize. Other than chief 18 investment officer. | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started 11 getting paid by NexPoint Advisors. 12 Q. And the entity that pays you is the 13 entity that you personally identify as your 14 employer. Do I have that right? 15 A. Yeah, that's right. 16 Q. Were you employed prior to March 17 withdrawn. 18 Were you employed prior to May 2013? 19 A. I was. | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time 11 you joined Brian Cave? 12 A. I have. 13 Q. Have you held any title at NexPoint 14 Real Estate Advisors at any time since 15 March 2015? 16 A. Any other title? 17 Q. Yes. I apologize. Other than chief 18 investment officer. 19 A. No. | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started 11 getting paid by NexPoint Advisors. 12 Q. And the entity that pays you is the 13 entity that you personally identify as your 14 employer. Do I have that right? 15 A. Yeah, that's right. 16 Q. Were you employed prior to March 17 withdrawn. 18 Were you employed prior to May 2013? 19 A. I was. 20 Q. Can you just describe for me | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time 11 you joined Brian Cave? 12 A. I have. 13 Q. Have you held any title at NexPoint 14 Real Estate Advisors at any time since 15 March 2015? 16 A. Any other title? 17 Q. Yes. I apologize. Other than chief 18 investment officer. 19 A. No. 20 Q. Who do you report to today? | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started 11 getting paid by NexPoint Advisors. 12 Q. And the entity that pays you is the 13 entity that you personally identify as your 14 employer. Do I have that right? 15 A. Yeah, that's right. 16 Q. Were you employed prior to March 17 withdrawn. 18 Were you employed prior to May 2013? 19 A. I was. 20 Q. Can you just describe for me 21 generally both your educational background and | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time 11 you joined Brian Cave? 12 A. I have. 13 Q. Have you held any title at NexPoint 14 Real Estate Advisors at any time since 15 March 2015? 16 A. Any other title? 17 Q. Yes. I apologize. Other than chief 18 investment officer. 19 A. No. 20 Q. Who do you report to today? 21 A. Jim Dondero. | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started 11 getting paid by NexPoint Advisors. 12 Q. And the entity that pays you is the 13 entity that you personally identify as your 14 employer. Do I have that right? 15 A. Yeah, that's right. 16 Q. Were you employed prior to March 17 withdrawn. 18 Were you employed prior to May 2013? 19 A. I was. 20 Q. Can you just describe for me 21 generally both your educational background and 22 your employment history until the time you joined | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time 11 you joined Brian Cave? 12 A. I have. 13 Q. Have you held any title at NexPoint 14 Real Estate Advisors at any time since 15 March 2015? 16 A. Any other title? 17 Q. Yes. I apologize. Other than chief 18 investment officer. 19 A. No. 20 Q. Who do you report to today? 21 A. Jim Dondero. 22 Q. Have you reported to Mr. Dondero on a | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started 11 getting paid by NexPoint Advisors. 12 Q. And the entity that pays you is the 13 entity that you personally identify as your 14 employer. Do I have that right? 15 A. Yeah, that's right. 16 Q. Were you employed prior to March 17 withdrawn. 18 Were you employed prior to May 2013? 19 A. I was. 20 Q. Can you just describe for me 21 generally both your educational background and 22 your employment history until the time you joined 23 Highland in 2013? | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time 11 you joined Brian Cave? 12 A. I have. 13 Q. Have you held any title at NexPoint 14 Real Estate Advisors at any time since 15 March 2015? 16 A. Any other title? 17 Q. Yes. I apologize. Other than chief 18 investment officer. 19 A. No. 20 Q. Who do you report to today? 21 A. Jim Dondero. 22 Q. Have you reported to Mr. Dondero on a 23 continuous basis since the time you became the | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 investment officer for NexPoint Real Estate 3 Advisors on a continuous basis since March 2015? 4 A. I have. 5 Q. Have you been employed by any other 6 person or any other entity since March of 2015? 7 A. I became started working at 8 Highland Capital Management in May of 2013 and 9 that's who paid me my salary. And then on June 10 in June of 2016 was I believe when I started 11 getting paid by NexPoint Advisors. 12 Q. And the entity that pays you is the 13 entity that you personally identify as your 14 employer. Do I have that right? 15 A. Yeah, that's right. 16 Q. Were you employed prior to March 17 withdrawn. 18 Were you employed prior to May 2013? 19 A. I was. 20 Q. Can you just describe for me 21 generally both your educational background and 22 your employment history until the time you joined | 2 school at Washington University in St. Louis. I 3 practiced, I think, a year or so at Brian Cave in 4 St. – in St. Louis before joining Jones Day's 5 private equity and M&A group in Dallas in 2011. 6 Q. Do you hold a license to practice law 7 today? 8 A. I do. 9 Q. And have you held a license to 10 practice law on a continuous basis since the time 11 you joined Brian Cave? 12 A. I have. 13 Q. Have you held any title at NexPoint 14 Real Estate Advisors at any time since 15 March 2015? 16 A. Any other title? 17 Q. Yes. I apologize. Other than chief 18 investment officer. 19 A. No. 20 Q. Who do you report to today? 21 A. Jim Dondero. 22 Q. Have you reported to Mr. Dondero on a | Page 13 |

| _ | | |
|--|--|---------|
| Page 14 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 15 |
| 2 Q. Have you ever reported to anybody | 2 Q. Apologies. | |
| 3 else during that period? | 3 A. No worries. | |
| 4 A. I reported – sorry, since what | 4 (Exhibit 1A was marked.) | |
| 5 period? | 5 Q. All right. I'm going to put up on | |
| 6 Q. Have you reported to anybody other | 6 the screen a document that we've marked as | |
| 7 than Mr. Dondero since you became the chief | 7 Exhibit 1-A. And are you aware that I took | |
| 8 investment officer at NexPoint Real Estate | 8 Mr. Dondero's deposition last week? | |
| 9 Advisors in March of 2015? | 9 A. Yes, I am. | |
| 10 A. No. | 10 Q. Okay. | |
| 11 Q. And who did you report to prior to | 11 MR. MORRIS: So so, Bill, we're | |
| 12 the time you became chief investment officer at | 12 just going to use the same exhibit | |
| 13 least during the period that you were at | 13 numbers they may not be in the same | |
| 14 Highland? | 14 order but the same exhibit numbers that | |
| 15 A. Tom Sergent. | 15 we used last week, although this one is | |
| 16 Q. And did you begin reporting to | 16 new. So in Mr. Dondero's deposition, | |
| 17 Mr. Sergent when you joined Highland in 2013? | 17 Exhibit 1 was his subpoena, and we'll call | |
| 18 A. I did. | 18 this 1A here, this Rule 30(b)(6) notice. | |
| 19 Q. And did you report to Mr. Sergent on | 19 Q. Can you see the document | |
| 20 a continuous basis until March 2015 when you | 20 MR. GAMEROS: That's fine. | |
| 21 became the chief investment officer? | 21 Q. Can you see the document that's up on | |
| 22 A. I did. | 22 the screen, Mr. McGraner? | |
| 23 Q. Did you ever report to Mr. Sergent at | 23 A. I – I can. | |
| 24 any time after March 2015? | 24 Q. Okay. We're going to scroll down to | |
| 25 A. Not formally. | 25 the to the topics. While we're doing that, | |
| , | 3 | |
| Page 16 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 17 |
| 2 can you tell me when the first time you saw this | 2 personal just about the things that you | |
| 3 have you seen this document before today? | 3 personally did. Okay? | |
| 4 A. I have. | 4 A. Okay. | |
| 5 Q. Okay. When did you see it for the | 5 Q. Is there any reason – is there any | |
| 6 first time? | 6 reason today that you can't give complete, | |
| 7 A. I don't recall specifically. | 7 honest, and truthful testimony? | |
| 8 Q. Okay. But do you understand that | 8 A. No. | |
| 9 you're here to testify as HCRE's 30(b)(6) witness | 9 Q. Did you personally do anything to | |
| 10 on the topics that are listed in this notice? | 10 prepare for today's deposition? | |
| 11 A. Ido. | 11 A. I did. | |
| 12 Q. Okay. So this can be a little tricky | 12 Q. What did you do? | |
| 13 sometimes because I'm asking you questions both | 13 A. I met with our counsel. I reviewed | |
| 14 in your individual capacity and in your capacity | 14 testimony of Jim Dondero, Mark Patrick, | |
| 15 as a corporate representative. So this is the | 15 Austin Thomas, Jim Seery, Mark Barker. I | |
| 16 ground rule that I'd like to try to establish, | 16 reviewed the LLC agreement of HCRE. I reviewed | |
| 17 but if you have ever have any questions just | 17 the KeyBank loan documents, including the pledge | |
| 18 let me know. And the ground rule is pretty | 18 - pledge agreements, collateral package, | |
| 19 simple: Unless I specifically ask you about you | 19 guaranty documents. I reviewed our original | |
| 20 personally, all of the questions are about you in | 20 underwriting on the transaction – the | |
| 21 your corporate capacity as HCRE's representative. | 21 transaction I believe we're speaking about today. | |
| 22 Okay? | 22 And that's that's about it. | |
| 23 A. Okay. | 23 Q. Did you review any tax returns? | |
| 24 Q. Okay. But for this series of | 24 A. No. | |
| 25 questions, all of the questions are going to be | 25 Q. Did you review any emails or other | |
| | , | |

| Page 18 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 19 |
|--|--|-----------|
| 2 written communications? | 2 Barker Viggato? | |
| 3 A. I did. I reviewed emails from the | 3 A. No. | |
| 4 time of the transaction the original | 4 Q. Okay. I want to speed this up a | |
| 5 transaction the summer of of 2018, the emails | 5 little bit and just ask you generally is there | |
| 6 or go ahead. | 6 any topic that is in the 30(b)(6) deposition | |
| 7 Q. Did you review any emails that have | 7 notice that you reviewed before today that you do | |
| 8 not been previously marked as an exhibit in a | 8 not believe you're able to answer questions about | |
| 9 deposition? | 9 on behalf of HCRE? | |
| 10 A. I don't think so. | 10 A. I didn't memorize the the topics. | |
| 11 Q. Did you review all of the documents | 11 So | |
| 12 that were marked in prior depositions? | 12 Q. Okay. Can we scroll down slowly? | |
| 13 A. I did. | 13 And I'll I'll try to summarize them, but, | |
| 14 Q. Did you speak with anybody other than | 14 again, if you need to read, I don't mean to rush | |
| 15 counsel in connection with your preparation for | 15 you. But Topic 1 | |
| 16 today's deposition? | 16 A. Okay. | |
| 17 A. No. | 17 Q deals with the execution and | |
| 18 Q. You didn't speak with Mr. Dondero? | 18 drafting of the original LLC agreement in | |
| 19 A. No. | 19 August 2018. | |
| 20 Q. You didn't speak with Mr. Broaddus? | 20 Are you are you prepared to | |
| 21 A. No. | 21 testify as to those issues? | |
| 22 Q. You didn't speak with anybody at | 22 A. Yes. | |
| 23 BH Equities? | 23 Q. Topic 2 concerns the KeyBank loan | |
| 24 A. No. | 24 agreement. I think you said that you've reviewed | |
| 25 Q. You didn't speak with anybody at | 25 that, and are you prepared to answer questions on | |
| Page 20 | | Page 21 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | i age z i |
| 2 those topics? | 2 SE Multifamily's allocation of profits and losses | |
| 3 A. Iam. | 3 among its members? | |
| 4 Q. Topic 3 deals with the removal of | 4 A. Yes. | |
| 5 Highland as a borrower under the KeyBank loan | 5 Q. Okay. Topics 8 and 9 deal with | |
| 6 agreement. Are you able to testify about that? | 6 well, we'll take them one at a time. Are you | |
| 7 A. Yes. | 7 prepared to testify about any errors that HCRE | |
| 8 Q. Topic 4 deals with the amended LLC | 8 alleges or asserts are contained in the amended | |
| 9 agreement, that's the agreement that was signed | 9 LLC agreement? | |
| 10 with BH Equities in March of 2019. | 10 A. Yes. | |
| 11 Are you prepared to testify about | 11 Q. Are you prepared to testify about the | |
| 12 that? | 12 allocation of interests and whether or not there | |
| 13 A. Yes. | 13 was an inaccurate description of those interests | |
| 14 Q. And Number 5 addresses assertions | 14 in the amended LLC agreement as set forth in | |
| 15 that were made in HCRE's response concerning | 15 Topic 9? | |
| 16 alleged improper allocations of ownership | 16 A. Yes. | |
| 17 interests. | 17 Q. Are you prepared to testify to | |
| 18 Are you prepared to testify about | 18 communications concerning the allocation of | |
| 19 that? | 19 membership interests in SE Multifamily? | |
| 20 A. Yes. | 20 A. Yes. | |
| 21 Q. Are you prepared to testify about | 21 Q. Topic 11 deals with tax filings. Are | |
| 22 SE Multifamily distributions as set forth in | 22 you generally prepared to testify as to | |
| | | |
| • | , , , , , | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 23 SE Multifamily's tax filings? | |
| 23 paragraph 6 and Topic 6? | 23 SE Multifamily's tax filings? | |

| | - age of the | |
|---|---|---------|
| Page 22 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 23 |
| 2 you prepared to testify as to | 2 Q. Okay. And and these questions | |
| 3 A. Yes. | 3 again, are just going to you in your individual | |
| 4 Q HCRE's sources of capital? | 4 capacity. | |
| 5 A. Yes. | 5 A. Okay. | |
| 6 Q. Okay. Are you prepared to testify as | 6 Q. It's unless I say otherwise. | |
| 7 to HCRE's responses to Highland's discovery | 7 Do you know does HCRE stand for | |
| 8 requests? | 8 anything? Is that an acronym? | |
| 9 A. Yes. | 9 A. Highland Capital Real Estate. | |
| 10 Q. And 14, 15, 16 all ask you to | 10 Q. Okay. And do you know when HCRE was | |
| 11 identify certain individuals – actually, 17 as | 11 formed? | |
| 12 well as to whether, you know, they were | 12 A. November – I believe, November 2014. | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | |
| 13 authorized to act on behalf of HCRE or Highland | 13 Q. And do you have an understanding as | |
| 14 and who was employed. | 14 to the business of HCRE? | |
| 15 Are you prepared to testify as to | 15 A. To invest in in real estate assets | |
| 16 those topics? | 16 generally. | |
| 17 A. Yes. | 17 Q. Do you know who owns HCRE today? | |
| 18 Q. Okay. | 18 A. I do. | |
| 19 MR. MORRIS: We can take that down | 19 Q. Who owns HCRE? | |
| 20 now. We may go back to it from time to | 20 A. I believe it is the ultimate | |
| 21 time. | 21 beneficiaries are Jim, myself, and Scott | |
| 22 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) So we've been talking | 22 Ellington. | |
| 23 about this entity, HCRE. I assume that that's an | 23 Q. Do you know what percentage interest | |
| 24 entity with which you are familiar? | 24 each of the three of you directly or indirectly | |
| 25 A. Yes. | 25 has in HCRE? | |
| Page 24 | | Page 25 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | |
| 2 A. 70/25/5, respectively. | 2 invested? | |
| 3 Q. Has that changed since the time HCRE | 3 A. I think it was 25,000. | |
| 4 was formed? | 4 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Dondero or | |
| 5 A. No. | 5 Mr. Ellington invested any money into HCRE at any | |
| Q. To the best of your knowledge, has | 6 time? | |
| 7 HCRE had any owners other than the three of you? | 7 A. I don't know whether Mr. Ellington | |
| 8 A. No. | 8 did, but I do know that Jim has over the years | |
| 9 Q. So it's fair to say that since HCRE | 9 contributed capital. I don't know the amounts, | |
| 10 was formed in 2014, the only owners have been | 10 though. | |
| 11 you, Mr. Dondero, and Mr. Ellington, and you've | 11 Q. How do you know that he contributed | |
| 12 owned your respective interests on a 70/25/5 | 12 capital? | |
| 13 basis? | 13 A. Whenever we needed, you know, capital | |
| 14 A. That's fair. | 14 or equity capital from time to time, he would | |
| 15 Q. Okay. Did you personally ever invest | 15 step up and contribute. | |
| 16 any capital — any of your own money directly or | 16 Q. And do you know if that money came | |
| 17 indirectly into HCRE? | 17 from his own personal assets or did they come | |
| 18 A. Years ago. | 18 from a different source other than Mr. Dondero? | |
| 19 Q. How much | 19 A. I believe they came from his personal | |
| 20 A. I mean, what I've had. Sorry. | 20 assets and then the loans equity loans from | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 21 Q. I didn't mean to cut you off. | | |
| When did you put money into HCRE? | 22 Q. Would those affiliates include | |
| 23 A. The first the first deal we did | 23 Highland? | |
| 24 back in 2014. | 24 A. Yes. I believe so. | |
| Q. Do you recall how much money you | 25 Q. Are you aware that Highland's loaned | |
| | g a contract of g | |

| Page 26 | | MATTIN ODANIED 40/44/2002 | Page 27 |
|--|--|---|--|
| | | | |
| • | | | |
| | ١. | | |
| • | | • | |
| <u> </u> | | | |
| | 6 | | |
| | 7 | | |
| | | | |
| _ | 9 | | |
| _ | 10 | • | |
| _ | 11 | | |
| · | 12 | balance sheet? | |
| or made loans. | 13 | A. It – it should be, yes. | |
| Q. Has Mr. Dondero made withdrawn. | 14 | Q. Do you ever review HCRE's balance | |
| It's your understanding that | 15 | sheet? | |
| Mr. Dondero made investments in HCRE from his own | 16 | A. I used to. When this bankruptcy | |
| personal assets. Do I have that right? | 17 | happened, it was transferred to Highland and so | |
| A. You you do. | 18 | they they kept the books. | |
| Q. But you don't have any understanding | 19 | Q. As Mr. Dondero put additional equity | |
| as to the aggregate amount of those investments; | 20 | contributions in HCRE, was your membership | |
| correct? | 21 | interest in HCRE ever diluted? | |
| A. Of his personal contributions? | 22 | A. Not that I'm aware of. | |
| Q. Yeah. | 23 | Q. Did you ever discuss with Mr. Dondero | |
| A. I I think it is substantial, I | 24 | | |
| would say seven figures, but I don't know the | | | |
| Page 28 | | | Page 29 |
| | 1 | | |
| | 2 | other than the three of you? | |
| • | 3 | A. No. | |
| | 4 | Q. Can you think of any reason why | |
| investment that he personally made into HCRE? | 5 | Highland couldn't have made the real estate | |
| A. Did I can you be a little bit more | 6 | investments that HCRE made? | |
| specific. | 7 | A. Yes. | |
| Q. Sure. So from time to time he would | 8 | Q. Why couldn't Highland have made the | |
| make these additional equity investments, to the | 9 | real estate investments that HCRE made? | |
| best of your knowledge; right? | 10 | A. I don't believe Highland had any | |
| A. That's right. | 11 | specific real estate investment mandate at the | |
| Q. Did you ever discuss that with him? | 12 | time HCRE was formed. | |
| A. Yeah, if we needed additional capital | 13 | Q. What do you mean by the word | |
| for for a purchase or an investment, we | 14 | "mandate"? | |
| discussed we discussed it. | 15 | A. Highland Capital Management as an | |
| Q. And did did HCRE ever repay any of | 16 | | |
| the money that Mr. Dondero put into HCRE? | 17 | have a dedicated real estate fund at that time. | |
| A. I don't I don't know. | 18 | Q. But is there any reason it couldn't | |
| Q. How did it come to be that you and | 19 | | |
| • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | 20 | • | |
| Mr. Ellington and Mr. Dondero formed this company | | | |
| Mr. Ellington and Mr. Dondero formed this company to make real estate investments? | 21 | Q. Okay. | |
| • • • | | • | |
| to make real estate investments? A. I think you'd have to ask Jim why he | 21 | A. Well, I think it Highland hasn't | |
| to make real estate investments? | 21 22 | A. Well, I think it Highland hasn't had a great track record in real estate | |
| | money from HCRE from time to time? A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with the notes that HCRE issued in favor of Highland in exchange for those loans? A. I'm aware of a note. Q. What note are you aware of? A. I think the original note was — was Highland and then as deals — as we did more deals, I believe it was either Highland or this other affiliate, Rand contributed capital or — or made loans. Q. Has Mr. Dondero made — withdrawn. It's your understanding that Mr. Dondero made investments in HCRE from his own personal assets. Do I have that right? A. You — you do. Q. But you don't have any understanding as to the aggregate amount of those investments; correct? A. Of his personal contributions? Q. Yeah. A. I — I think it is substantial, I would say seven figures, but I don't know the Page 28 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. No. Q. Did you ever discuss with him the timing or amount of any additional equity investment that he personally made into HCRE? A. Did I — can you be a little bit more specific. Q. Sure. So from time to time he would make these additional equity investments, to the best of your knowledge; right? A. That's right. Q. Did you ever discuss that with him? A. Yeah, if we needed additional capital for — for a purchase or an investment, we discussed — we discussed it. Q. And did — did HCRE ever repay any of the money that Mr. Dondero put into HCRE? A. I don't — I don't know. | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Money from HCRE from time to time? A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with the notes that HCRE issued in favor of Highland in exchange for those loans? A. I'm aware of a note. Q. What note are you aware of? A. I think the original note was – was Highland and then as deals – as we did more deals, I believe it was either Highland or this other affiliate, Rand contributed capital or – or made loans. Q. Has Mr. Dondero made – withdrawn. It's your understanding that Mr. Dondero made investments in HCRE from his own personal assets. Do I have that right? A. You – you do. Q. But you don't have any understanding as to the aggregate amount of those investments; correct? A. Of his personal contributions? Q. Yeah. A. I – I think it is substantial, I would say seven figures, but I don't know the Page 28 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. No. Q. Did you ever discuss with him the timing or amount of any additional equity investment that he personally made into HCRE? A. Did I – can you be a little bit more specific. Q. Sure. So from time to time he would make these additional equity investments, to the best of your knowledge; right? A. That's right. Q. Did you ever discuss that with him? A. Yeah, if we needed additional capital for – for a purchase or an investment, we discussed – we discussed it. Q. And did – did HCRE ever repay any of the money that Mr. Dondero put into HCRE? A. I don't – I don't know. | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 noney from HCRE from time to time? A Yes. Q. Ave you familiar with the notes that HCRE issued in favor of Highland in exchange for those loans? A. If maware of a note. Q. What note are you aware of? A. If maware of anote was – was Highland and then as deals – as we did more deals, believe it was either Highland or this other affiliate, Rand contributed capital or – or made loans. Q. Has Mr. Dondero made – withdrawn. It's your understanding that Mr. Dondero made investments in HCRE from his own personal assets. Do I have that right? A. You – you do. Q. But you don't have any understanding as to the aggregate amount of those investments; correct? A. Of his personal contributions? A. You – you do. Q. But you don't have any understanding as to the aggregate amount of those investments; correct? A. Of his personal contributions? A. You – you do. Q. Yesh. A. I – I think it is substantial, I would say seven figures, but I don't know the MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. No. Q. Did you ever discuss with him the timing or amount of any additional equity investment that he personally made into HCRE? A. Did I – any ou be a little bit more specific. Q. Sure. So from time to time he would make these additional equity investments, to the best of your knowkedge; right? A. That's right. Q. Did you ever discuss that with him? A. Yeah, if we needed additional capital or – for a purchase or an investment, we discussed – we discussed it. Q. And did – did HCRE ever repay any of the money that Mr. Dondero put into HCRE? A. I don't – I don't know. 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. No. Q. Did you ever discuss that with him? A. Yeah, if we needed additional capital for – for a purchase or an investment, we discussed – we discussed it. Q. And did – did HCRE ever repay any of the money that Mr. Dondero put into HCRE? A. I don't – I don't know. 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. No. C. But si there any reason it couldn't A mandate? A. How the time of your proceeding the process of the process of the process of the pr |

| EXHIBIT 71 | | |
|---|--|---------|
| Page 30 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 31 |
| 2 A. Jim does. | 2 A. I think when we formed it, I I may | |
| 3 Q. To the best of your knowledge, has | 3 have been vice president and secretary. | |
| 4 Mr. Dondero controlled HCRE since the time it was | 4 Q. And is it your understanding that | |
| 5 formed in 2014? | 5 you've served as HCRE's vice president and | |
| 6 A. Yes. | 6 secretary on a continuous basis since it was | |
| 7 Q. To the best of your knowledge, has | 7 formed in 2014? | |
| 8 anybody other than Mr. Dondero ever controlled | 8 A. Yes. | |
| 9 HCRE since the time it was formed? | 9 Q. Have you ever prepared any corporate | |
| 10 A. No. | 10 minutes? | |
| 11 Q. What's the basis for your | 11 A. No. | |
| 12 understanding that Mr. Dondero has controlled | | |
| 13 HCRE on a continuous basis since the time it was | , , , | |
| | 13 there ever been a a meeting a corporate | |
| 14 formed? | 14 meeting of HCRE? | |
| 15 A. He's the sole manager. | A. No formal meetings but informal, yes. | |
| 16 Q. Has he been the sole manager, to the | 16 Q. Has has HCRE ever adopted any | |
| 17 best of your knowledge, since the time that HCRE | 17 resolutions, to the best of your knowledge? | |
| 18 was formed? | A. Yeah, whenever we enter into a real | |
| 19 A. Yes. | 19 estate transaction, you have to have written | |
| 20 Q. All right. Now these questions are | 20 consents and authority and representations of | |
| 21 in your capacity as HCRE's corporate | 21 authority for for borrowing money and and | |
| 22 representative as they relate to some of the | 22 transacting. | |
| 23 topics that we just looked at. | 23 Q. And | |
| 24 Do you know whether HCRE has any | 24 A. So I would I would characterize | |
| 25 officers today? | 25 those as resolutions. | |
| Page 32 | | Page 33 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | |
| 2 Q. And was it your responsibility as the | 2 Q. Okay. Does HCRE have any employees | |
| 3 secretary to prepare those resolutions? | 3 today? | |
| 4 THE WITNESS: Bless you. Bless | 4 A. No. | |
| 5 you. | 5 Q. Has HCRE ever had any employees since | |
| 6 MR. GAMEROS: Thank you. | 6 the time it was formed in 2014? | |
| 7 A. It was either the legal team or or | 7 A. No. | |
| 8 our outside counsel external counsel usually | 8 Q. How many investments has HCRE made | |
| 9 prepared those written consents in connection | 9 since the time it was formed, approximately? | |
| 10 with real estate investments. | 10 A. Would you consider portfolio | |
| 11 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any | 11 acquisitions to be one investment or multiple | |
| 12 resolutions that are have ever been prepared | 12 investments? | |
| 13 or written consents other than those that you've | 13 Q. Let's just call that one for | |
| 14 described? | 14 simplicity. | |
| 15 A. No. | 15 A. Okay. Say 20, 25. | |
| 16 Q. Are you aware of any officers of HCRE | 16 Q. Do you have a ballpark as to the | |
| 17 other than yourself as the vice president and | 17 aggregate value, plus or minus a few billion? | |
| 18 secretary at any time since it was formed? | 18 A. A few million or billion. It's not a | |
| 19 A. I don't know if Jim has a formal | 19 billion. I would say probably a billion three | |
| 20 title, but he's the manager. And I don't I | 20 billion, a billion four. | |
| 21 don't know if Scott has a title. | 21 Q. Okay. So how did HCRE make 20 to 25 | |
| 22 Q. But you're not aware of any officer | 22 investments worth an aggregate of a billion three | |
| 23 of HCRE at any time since it was formed other | 23 to a billion four if it had no employees and no | |
| 24 than Mr. Dondero and you? | 24 officers other than you and Mr. Dondero? | |
| 25 A. That's right. | 25 A. It utilized Highland vis-a-vis a | |
| | | |

| Page 34 | T | Page 35 |
|---|---|---------|
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | |
| 2 shared services agreement, I think, and then also | 2 A. It's been awhile. | |
| 3 NexPoint Advisors as well, the real estate team | 3 Q. Pardon me? | |
| 4 at NexPoint. | 4 A. Been awhile. | |
| 5 Q. What shared services | 5 Q. When when when was that | |
| 6 A. In in addition in addition to | 6 transaction that you just described when | |
| 7 our, you know, external operating part | 7 when was this written agreement entered into? | |
| 8 parties. | 8 A. I don't know when the agreement was | |
| 9 Q. Which which shared services | 9 written or entered into, but the IPO was in April | |
| 10 agreement are you referring to? | 10 of 2015. | |
| 11 A. There's a shared services there | 11 Q. Do you recall whether that agreement | |
| 12 was a shared services agreement, I believe, with | 12 required HCRE to pay Highland for services | |
| 13 NexPoint Advisors and Highland. | 13 rendered? | |
| 14 Q. Is that one agreement or is it two | 14 A. I don't. | |
| 15 different agreements? | 15 Q. Do you recall if HCRE ever paid | |
| 16 A. I believe it's one agreement. | 16 Highland any money for services rendered? | |
| 17 Q. Was that an oral agreement or a | 17 A. I don't I don't I don't recall. | |
| 18 written agreement? | 18 Q. Did you ever authorize HCRE to pay | |
| 19 A. I believe it was put in place – a | 19 Highland anything of value in exchange for | |
| 20 written agreement was put in place following the | 20 services rendered? | |
| 21 initial public offering of NexPoint Residential | 21 A. No. | |
| 22 Trust. | 22 Q. Do you know if Mr. Dondero ever | |
| 23 Q. Have you ever seen it? | 23 authorized anybody on behalf of HCRE to pay | |
| 24 A. Yes. | 24 anything of value to Highland for services | |
| 25 Q. And has | 25 rendered? | |
| Page 36 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 37 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | | |
| 2 A. No. | | |
| Q. Does HCRE contend that Highland ever A breached its agreement with HCRE, the shared | 3 A. Not that I'm aware of. | |
| 4 breached its agreement with HCRE – the shared | 4 Q. Did you ever delegate any authority | |
| 5 services agreement you just described? | 5 to act on behalf of HCRE to any person in the | |
| A. No.Q. When was the last time you saw this | 6 world? | |
| • | 7 A. Yeah, I – yes. | |
| 8 written agreement? | 8 Q. And who did you who did you | |
| 9 A. I believe it was probably around the10 time it was entered into. I'm aware of | 9 delegate that responsibility to? 10 A. In connection with investments from | |
| 11 amendments made because we increased as | | |
| | 11 time to time, I delegated to internal lawyers, | |
| 12 NexPoint got bigger and generated income, we | 12 external lawyers, bankers, operating partners. | |
| 13 we we gave more money to Highland. | 13 Q. All right. I'm not talking about | |
| Q. Gave more money money to Highland? A. Yeah, the fees were increased | 14 and maybe it's in the question I'm not asking | |
| 15 A. Yeah, the fees were increased16 those shared services fees were increased as | 15 you about delegating kind of work. I'm asking | |
| | 16 you whether you ever delegated | |
| 17 NexPoint fees were increased, I believe. | 17 A. Sorry. | |
| 18 Q. Those fees were from NexPoint | 18 Q delegated decision-making | |
| 19 Advisors, not from HCRE; correct? | 19 authority to any person in the world. Did you | |
| 20 A. That's right. | 20 ever tell somebody that they could make the | |
| 21 Q. Do you know who who is authorized | 21 decision on their own? | |
| 22 to act on behalf of HCRE today? | 22 A. Sure. | |
| A. Jim or myself. | Q. Okay. Can you think of anything | |
| // | 24 having to do with SE Multifamily's set of | |
| Q. Has anybody ever been authorized toact on behalf of HCRE other than you and Jim | 25 transactions that you specifically delegated | |

| Page 38 | | Page 39 |
|--|--|---------|
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | |
| 2 decision-making authority to another person? | 2 made the ultimate decision based on that advice; | |
| 3 A. Sure. | 3 is that fair? | |
| 4 Q. Do you know who? Who and what issues | 4 A. Sure. | |
| 5 did you delegate? | 5 Q. With with the exception of the | |
| 6 A. Yeah, the daily management of the | 6 property management issues for which you | |
| 7 properties to BH management, you know, they make | 7 delegated to BH Equities; fair? | |
| 8 decisions on leasing, staffing, those those | 8 A. Sure, yeah. | |
| 9 sorts of things. | 9 Q. Okay. Which professionals are you | |
| 10 Q. Okay. Anything else? | 10 referring to, to the extent you can recall? And | |
| 11 A. I think generally that's it. | 11 again, we're just talking about the | |
| 12 Q. Okay. So to the best of your | 12 SE Multifamily series of transactions. | |
| 13 recollection, in connection with the | 13 A. Okay. On the legal side, we we | |
| 14 SE Multifamily transactions, the only delegation | 14 deferred to Winston & Strawn, we deferred to | |
| 15 of decision-making authority that you recall ever | 15 Wick Phillips, deferred to internal legal | |
| 16 making was to delegate to BH Equities the | 16 counsel, deferred to Hunton & Williams for tax | |
| 17 decision-making over property management; is that | 17 structuring advice. That's generally about it. | |
| 18 fair? | 18 Q. I just I just want to make sure | |
| 19 A. That's fair. I would say that | 19 that I understand the list that you just | |
| 20 there's we were or HCRE was deferential to | 20 provided, Winston & Strawn, Wick Phillips, | |
| 21 experts or professionals with respect to certain | 21 internal legal counsel, and Hunton & Williams, | |
| 22 aspects of the structuring of the transaction, | 22 can I just refer to them collectively as the | |
| 23 the financing of the transaction, the negotiation | 23 professions? | |
| 24 of the transaction, that we relied on advice. | 24 A. You bet. | |
| 25 Q. And you and you or Mr. Dondero | 25 Q. Okay. Do I understand you correctly | |
| Page 40 | | Page 41 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | |
| 2 that you and Mr. Dondero looked to the | 2 Highland bankruptcy? | |
| 3 professionals for advice, and based on that | 3 A. Yes. | |
| 1. A polytica view and My Dandous madels also states and | | |
| 4 advice, you and Mr. Dondero made decisions? | 4 Q. Have you seen it before? | |
| 4 advice, you and Mr. Dondero made decisions? 5 A. Sure. | 4 Q. Have you seen it before?5 A. Yes. | |
| | | |
| 5 A. Sure. | 5 A. Yes. | |
| 5 A. Sure.6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was 11 the Proof of Claim. Go up to Number 1 on this | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? 10 A. That's that's a little bit | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? 10 A. That's that's a little bit 11 complicated. | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was 11 the Proof of Claim. Go up to Number 1 on this | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? 10 A. That's that's a little bit 11 complicated. 12 Q. Have has HCRE put any of the | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was 11 the Proof of Claim. Go up to Number 1 on this 12 page. It's filed by HCRE Partner, LLC. | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? 10 A. That's that's a little bit 11 complicated. 12 Q. Have has HCRE put any of the 13 professionals on notice that HCRE contends that | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was 11 the Proof of Claim. Go up to Number 1 on this 12 page. It's filed by HCRE Partner, LLC. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. That probably should have said | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? 10 A. That's that's a little bit 11 complicated. 12 Q. Have has HCRE put any of the 13 professionals on notice that HCRE contends that 14 they made a material mistake in connection with | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was 11 the Proof of Claim. Go up to Number 1 on this 12 page. It's filed by HCRE Partner, LLC. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? 10 A. That's that's a little bit 11 complicated. 12 Q. Have has HCRE put any of the 13 professionals on notice that HCRE contends that 14 they made a material mistake in connection with 15 any aspect of the SE Multifamily set of | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was 11 the Proof of Claim. Go up to Number 1 on this 12 page. It's filed by HCRE Partner, LLC. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. That probably should have said | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? 10 A. That's that's a little bit 11 complicated. 12 Q. Have has HCRE put any of the 13 professionals on notice that HCRE contends that 14 they made a material mistake in connection with 15 any aspect of the SE Multifamily set of 16 transactions? | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was 11 the Proof of Claim. Go up to Number 1 on this 12 page. It's filed by HCRE Partner, LLC. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. That probably should have said 16 HCRE Partners, LLC; right? | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? 10 A. That's that's a little bit 11 complicated. 12 Q. Have has HCRE put any of the 13 professionals on notice that HCRE contends that 14 they made a material mistake in connection with 15 any aspect of the SE Multifamily set of 16 transactions? 17 A. No. | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was 11 the Proof of Claim. Go up to Number 1 on this 12 page. It's filed by HCRE Partner, LLC. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. That probably should have said 16 HCRE Partners, LLC; right? 17 A. Yes. | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? 10 A. That's that's a little bit 11 complicated. 12 Q. Have has HCRE put any of the 13 professionals on notice that HCRE contends that 14 they made a material mistake in connection with 15 any aspect of the SE Multifamily set of 16 transactions? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Does HCRE have any intention to put | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was 11 the Proof of Claim. Go up to Number 1 on this 12 page. It's filed by HCRE Partner, LLC. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. That probably should have said 16 HCRE Partners, LLC; right? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. If we can scroll down to the | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? 10 A. That's that's a little bit 11 complicated. 12 Q. Have has HCRE put any of the 13 professionals on notice that HCRE contends that 14 they made a material mistake in connection with 15 any aspect of the SE Multifamily set of 16 transactions? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Does HCRE have any intention to put 19 any of the professionals on notice that they made | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was 11 the Proof of Claim. Go up to Number 1 on this 12 page. It's filed by HCRE Partner, LLC. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. That probably should have said 16 HCRE Partners, LLC; right? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. If we can scroll down to the 19 next page, you'll see that | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? 10 A. That's that's a little bit 11 complicated. 12 Q. Have has HCRE put any of the 13 professionals on notice that HCRE contends that 14 they made a material mistake in connection with 15 any aspect of the SE Multifamily set of 16 transactions? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Does HCRE have any intention to put 19 any of the professionals on notice that they made 20 a mistake or an error of some kind in connection | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was 11 the Proof of Claim. Go up to Number 1 on this 12 page. It's filed by HCRE Partner, LLC. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. That probably should have said 16 HCRE Partners, LLC; right? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. If we can scroll down to the 19 next page, you'll see that 20 MR. MORRIS: Keep going. Keep | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? 10 A. That's that's a little bit 11 complicated. 12 Q. Have has HCRE put any of the 13 professionals on notice that HCRE contends that 14 they made a material mistake in connection with 15 any aspect of the SE Multifamily set of 16 transactions? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Does HCRE have any intention to put 19 any of the professionals on notice that they made 20 a mistake or an error of some kind in connection 21 with the advice that they provided to HCRE in | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was 11 the Proof of Claim. Go up to Number 1 on this 12 page. It's filed by HCRE Partner, LLC. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. That probably should have said 16 HCRE Partners, LLC; right? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. If we can scroll down to the 19 next page, you'll see that 20 MR. MORRIS: Keep going. Keep 21 going. | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? 10 A. That's that's a little bit 11 complicated. 12 Q. Have has HCRE put any of the 13 professionals on notice that HCRE contends that 14 they made a material mistake in connection with 15 any aspect of the SE Multifamily set of 16 transactions? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Does HCRE have any intention to put 19 any of the professionals on notice that they made 20 a mistake or an error of some kind in connection 21 with the advice that they provided to HCRE in 22 connection with the SE Multifamily transactions? | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was 11 the Proof of Claim. Go up to Number 1 on this 12 page. It's filed by HCRE Partner, LLC. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. That probably should have said 16 HCRE Partners, LLC; right? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. If we can scroll down to the 19 next page, you'll see that 20 MR. MORRIS: Keep going. Keep 21 going. 22 Q that Mr. Dondero's electronic | |
| 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that any of the 7 professionals made any mistakes or errors in 8 connection with the advice that it provided to 9 you and Mr. Dondero? 10 A. That's that's a little bit 11 complicated. 12 Q. Have has HCRE put any of the 13 professionals on notice that HCRE contends that 14 they made a material mistake in connection with 15 any aspect of the SE Multifamily set of 16 transactions? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Does HCRE have any intention to put 19 any of the professionals on notice that they made 20 a mistake or an error of some kind in connection 21 with the advice that they provided to HCRE in 22 connection with the SE Multifamily transactions? 23 A. No. | 5 A. Yes. 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) 7 Q. All right. Let's put it up on the 8 screen. It's previously been marked as 9 Exhibit 20. And let's just scroll down briefly, 10 you'll see that stop there that this was 11 the Proof of Claim. Go up to Number 1 on this 12 page. It's filed by HCRE Partner, LLC. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. That probably should have said 16 HCRE Partners, LLC; right? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. If we can scroll down to the 19 next page, you'll see that 20 MR. MORRIS: Keep going. Keep 21 going. 22 Q that Mr. Dondero's electronic 23 signature has been placed on this. | |

| | age 13 of 73 | |
|---|--|---------|
| Page 42 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 43 |
| 2 Q. And then if we continue to Exhibit A, | 2 conversations with Mr. Sauter, can you recall if | |
| 3 you'll see the basis of the claim. | 3 there was an event occurring at a particular | |
| 4 Do you see that? | 4 moment in time that would have caused you to | |
| 5 A. Yes. | 5 speak with him about this document? | |
| 6 Q. Do you recall when you first when | 6 A. I believe there was a deadline | |
| 7 you saw this document for the first time? | 7 approaching, and we wanted to protect our | |
| 8 A. No, I don't re I don't recall | 8 interest in the bankruptcy. | |
| 9 when I saw it for the first time. | 9 Q. Did you ever discuss this document | |
| 10 Q. Do you recall I'll represent to | 10 with Mr. Dondero? | |
| 11 you that this was filed in the Highland | 11 A. No. | |
| 12 bankruptcy in on April 8, 2020. | 12 Q. Did you personally ever discuss this | |
| 13 Do you recall if you saw this | 13 document with any attorney at the law firm | |
| 14 document before it was filed or after it was | 14 Bonds Ellis? | |
| 15 filed? | 15 A. No, I didn't. | |
| 16 A. I don't recall. | 16 Q. Did you ever discuss this document | |
| 17 Q. Do you recall the circumstances under | 17 with anybody in the world other than Mr. Sauter | |
| 18 which you first saw this document? Do you recall | 18 and your lawyer? | |
| 19 who showed it to you? where you were? how you | 19 A. No. | |
| 20 learned about it? Anything? | 20 Q. Is there any anything in writing | |
| 21 A. Yeah. Ms Mr. Sauter, he works | 21 that would reflect your communications with | |
| 22 here, showed it to me. | 22 Mr. Sauter about this document? | |
| 23 Q. Did he show you a hard copy of it? | 23 A. Not that I'm aware of. | |
| 24 A. I believe so. | 24 Q. Do you know who authorized the filing | |
| 25 Q. Without telling me of your | 25 of this document? | |
| | | |
| Page 44 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 45 |
| 2 A. IIdon't. | 2 A. I think it provides standing for | |
| 3 Q. Did you approve of the filing of this | 3 asserted claims. | |
| 4 document? | 4 Q. Anything else? | |
| 5 A. No. | 5 A. No. | |
| 6 Q. Do you know who approved the filing | 6 Q. Do you recall if you had an | |
| 7 of this document? | 7 opportunity to comment on this document before it | |
| 8 A. Idon't. | 8 was filed? | |
| 9 Q. And you don't recall if your | 9 A. No. | |
| 10 conversation with Mr. Sauter happened before or | 10 Q. You don't recall? Or you weren't | |
| 11 after the document was filed; is that fair? | 11 given that opportunity? | |
| 12 A. We had ongoing conversations about | 12 A. I – I – I did – I did not get the | |
| 13 this issue from the moment the bankruptcy was | 13 opportunity. | |
| 14 filed. So | 14 Q. So then is it fair to say that you | |
| 15 Q. What issue are you referring to? | 15 didn't provide any comments on this document | |
| 16 What issue are you referring to? | 16 before it was filed? | |
| 17 A. The fact that we wanted to protect | 17 A. That's fair. | |
| 18 our interests in the bankruptcy. | 18 Q. Okay. If we can scroll down to | |
| 19 Q. And how do you understand this | 19 Exhibit A, have you seen this piece of the Proof | |
| 20 document protects your interests? | 20 of Claim before? | |
| 21 A. That's what we were advised by | 21 A. I have. | |
| 22 counsel to to do. | 22 Q. Are you personally aware of any | |
| 23 Q. Okay. I'm just asking you for your | 23 diligence that was done by HCRE to make sure that | |
| 24 understanding as to how this document | 24 the statement set forth on Exhibit A was truthful | |
| 25 accomplishes that objective? | ZT LITE STATETHE SELICITION EXHIBITA WAS UUUNUN | |
| 1 /5 accomplishes that objective / | 25 and accurate before it was filed? | |

| | age 14 of 79 | |
|--|--|------|
| Page 46 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Pag 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | e 47 |
| 2 A. Yeah, I mean, I'm I've lived | 2 Q. Okay. So just to make this cleaner | |
| 3 transaction, I know what the intent of the | 3 here, you never personally communicated with | |
| 4 transaction was. So | 4 Bonds Ellis about this Proof of Claim; correct? | |
| 5 Q. All right. I'm not asking you about | 5 A. Correct. | |
| 6 the intent of the transaction. I'm talking about | 6 Q. To the best of your knowledge, the | |
| 7 what work was done by HCRE, if you know, to make | 7 only person who communicated with Bonds Ellis | |
| 8 sure that the statements in Exhibit A were | 8 about this Proof of Claim was Mr. Sauter; | |
| 9 truthful and accurate? | 9 correct? | |
| 10 A. Yeah, I think we we advise or | 10 A. Correct. | |
| 11 we had conversations with counsel. I think that | 11 Q. You never gave any information to | |
| 12 was it. | 12 Bonds Ellis to support this Proof of Claim; | |
| 13 Q. And who's we? | 13 correct? | |
| 14 A. Mr. Sauter and I. | 14 A. I gave information to Mr. Sauter. | |
| 15 Q. Which counsel are you referring to? | 15 Q. Okay. | |
| 16 A. Bonds Ellis. | 16 A. What – what he did with it, I don't | |
| 17 Q. All right. I thought you testified | 17 know. | |
| 18 earlier that you didn't speak with Bonds Ellis | 18 Q. Okay. | |
| 19 about this Proof of Claim. Am I wrong or are you | 19 MR. MORRIS: So, again, I move to | |
| 20 just - | 20 strike. I'm just preserving the record and | |
| 21 A. No, you're not you're not wrong. | 21 I would just ask you to carefully listen to | |
| 22 Yeah, you're not wrong, I didn't speak with them. | 22 my question. | |
| 23 But DC would Mr. Sauter would speak with Bonds | 23 Q. You never gave any information to | |
| 24 Ellis and then relay it the conversations to | 24 Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of | |
| 25 me. | 25 Claim; correct? | |
| 1 = | | |
| | | |
| Page 48 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Pagi 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 with this Proof of Claim? | e 49 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. Correct. Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. | e 49 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. Correct. Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents – just a yes-or-no answer: Do you | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any | e 49 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. Correct. Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents just a yes-or-no answer: Do you know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications | e 49 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. Correct. Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents just a yes-or-no answer: Do you know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents – just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any 10 documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any 10 documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any 10 documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? 11 Just yes or no. 12 A. No. | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC agreement, the amended LLC agreement, or both? A. Both. | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents – just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any 10 documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? 11 Just yes or no. 12 A. No. 13 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC agreement, the amended LLC agreement, or both? A. Both. | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents – just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any 10 documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? 11 Just yes or no. 12 A. No. 13 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC agreement, the amended LLC agreement, or both? A. Both. Q. Any others? | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents – just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any 10 documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? 11 Just yes or no. 12 A. No. 13 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed 14 any documents before this Proof of Claim was | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC agreement, the amended LLC agreement, or both? A. Both. Q. Any others? A. Yeah yes, the financials. | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any 10 documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? 11 Just yes or no. 12 A. No. 13 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed 14 any documents before this Proof of Claim was 15 filed? Withdrawn. 16 Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC agreement, the amended LLC agreement, or both? A. Both. Q. Any others? A. Yeah yes, the financials. Q. Financial statements? A. Yes. | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents – just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any 10 documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? 11 Just yes or no. 12 A. No. 13 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed 14 any documents before this Proof of Claim was 15 filed? Withdrawn. | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC agreement, the amended LLC agreement, or both? A. Both. Q. Any others? A. Yeah yes, the financials. Q. Financial statements? A. Yes. | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents – just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any 10 documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? 11 Just yes or no. 12 A. No. 13 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed 14 any documents before this Proof of Claim was 15 filed? Withdrawn. 16 Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed 17 any documents in connection with this Proof of 18 Claim before it was filed? | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC agreement, the amended LLC agreement, or both? A. Both. A. Both. Q. Any others? A. Yeah yes, the financials. Q. Financial statements? A. Yes. Q. Anything else? | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any 10 documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? 11 Just yes or no. 12 A. No. 13 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed 14 any documents before this Proof of Claim was 15 filed? Withdrawn. 16 Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed 17 any documents in connection with this Proof of 18 Claim before it was filed? | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC agreement, the amended LLC agreement, or both? A. Both. Q. Any others? A. Yeah yes, the financials. Q. Financial statements? A. Yes. Q. Anything else? A. No. | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any 10 documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? 11 Just yes or no. 12 A. No. 13 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed 14 any documents before this Proof of Claim was 15 filed? Withdrawn. 16 Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed 17 any documents in connection with this Proof of 18 Claim before it was filed? 19 A. Yeah, he was very aware of the LLC | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC agreement, the amended LLC agreement, or both? A. Both. Q. Any others? A. Yeah yes, the financials. Q. Financial statements? A. Yes. Q. Anything else? A. No. Q. And when you use the phrase | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents – just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any 10 documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? 11 Just yes or no. 12 A. No. 13 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed 14 any documents before this Proof of Claim was 15 filed? Withdrawn. 16 Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed 17 any documents in connection with this Proof of 18 Claim before it was filed? 19 A. Yeah, he was very aware of the LLC 20 agreement. | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC agreement, the amended LLC agreement, or both? A. Both. Q. Any others? A. Yeah yes, the financials. Q. Financial statements? A. Yes. Q. Anything else? A. No. Q. And when you use the phrase "financial statements," do you mean balance sheets, cash flow statements, or something else? | e 49 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave 4 any documents – just a yes-or-no answer: Do you 5 know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents 6 to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of 7 Claim? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any 10 documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? 11 Just yes or no. 12 A. No. 13 Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed 14 any documents before this Proof of Claim was 15 filed? Withdrawn. 16 Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed 17 any documents in connection with this Proof of 18 Claim before it was filed? 19 A. Yeah, he was very aware of the LLC 20 agreement. 21 Q. Okay. | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC agreement, the amended LLC agreement, or both? A. Both. Q. Any others? A. Yeah yes, the financials. Q. Financial statements? A. Yes. Q. Anything else? A. No. Q. And when you use the phrase "financial statements," do you mean balance sheets, cash flow statements, or something else? A. The the whole gamut. The whole | e 49 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. Correct. Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents – just a yes-or-no answer: Do you know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of Claim? A. No. Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? Just yes or no. A. No. Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed any documents before this Proof of Claim was filed? Withdrawn. Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed rany documents in connection with this Proof of Claim before it was filed? A. Yeah, he was very aware of the LLC agreement. Q. Okay. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. I don't want to know what he was aware of. | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC agreement, the amended LLC agreement, or both? A. Both. Q. Any others? A. Yeah yes, the financials. Q. Financial statements? A. Yes. Q. Anything else? A. No. Q. And when you use the phrase "financial statements," do you mean balance sheets, cash flow statements, or something else? A. The the whole gamut. The whole | e 49 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. Correct. Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents – just a yes-or-no answer: Do you know whether Mr. Sauter ever gave any documents to Bonds Ellis in connection with this Proof of Claim? A. No. Q. Did you ever give Mr. Sauter any documents in connection with this Proof of Claim? Just yes or no. A. No. Q. Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed any documents before this Proof of Claim was filed? Withdrawn. Do you know if Mr. Sauter reviewed rany documents in connection with this Proof of Claim before it was filed? A. Yeah, he was very aware of the LLC agreement. Q. Okay. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. I don't want to know what he was aware of. | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 with this Proof of Claim? A. I I don't know personally. Q. Did you personally ever review any documents in connection with your communications with Mr. Sauter about this Proof of Claim? A. I did. Q. What documents did you review? A. The LLC agreement. Q. Did you review the original LLC agreement, the amended LLC agreement, or both? A. Both. Q. Any others? A. Yeah yes, the financials. Q. Financial statements? A. Yes. Q. Anything else? A. No. Q. And when you use the phrase "financial statements," do you mean balance sheets, cash flow statements, or something else? A. The the whole gamut. The whole gamut. There's balance sheets, capital stacks, | e 49 |

| Page 50 | T | Page 51 |
|--|---|---------|
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | rage 51 |
| 2 just described, to the best of your recollection, | 2 or April. I want to say March of 2020. | |
| 3 ever state that Highland owed anything | 3 Q. Do you recall DSI was the debtor's | |
| 4 withdrawn. | 4 financial advisors; correct? | |
| 5 Did any of the documents that you | 5 A. That's right. | |
| 6 just described ever set Highland's membership | 6 Q. Do you recall that DSI had made | |
| 7 interests in SE Multifamily at a percentage other | 7 requests for information concerning | |
| 8 than 46.06 percent? | 8 SE Multifamily? | |
| 9 A. Yes. Yes. | 9 A. Yeah. | |
| 10 Q. Which document do you recall that set | 10 Q. And and do you know whether or not | |
| 11 forth Highland's ownership interest in | 11 those requests were fulfilled? | |
| 12 SE Multifamily other than at 46.06 percent? | 12 A. Yes. | |
| 13 A. There were a set of documents sent to | 13 Q. You believe they were? | |
| 14 DSI, I believe, around the same time as this | 14 A. I believe they were. | |
| 15 Proof of Claim was filed that I characterized the | 15 Q. Okay. Did you personally instruct | |
| 16 ownership percentages in influx. | 16 anybody to fulfill those requests? | |
| 17 Q. So was this an email communication | 17 A. No. Fred Caruso at the time came | |
| 18 that you're thinking of? | 18 over to our side of the office and elicit the | |
| 19 A. Yes. Well, it was actually a note | 19 elicited the information from one of my | |
| 20 within a financial statement that was provided to | 20 teammates. And so my teammate sent all this | |
| 21 to DSI, I believe, Pachulski, Greg Greg was | 21 information everything we had to Fred in that | |
| 22 on there, and Mr. Seery was also copied. | 22 communication. | |
| 23 Q. And do you recall when this took | 23 Q. And the communication that you're | |
| 24 place? | 24 describing I guess I just want to make sure I | |
| 25 A. Like I said, March I think March | 25 have this right were were there two | |
| Page 52 | | Page 53 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 3 |
| 2 documents that reflected an ownership interest | 2 Q. Who got re-traded by KeyBank? | |
| 3 other than 46.06 percent, one of which would have | 3 A. The Tuesday we were the Monday | |
| 4 been in email that you sent that characterized | 4 before we were supposed to close the transaction | |
| 5 the percentage as being influx, and the other | 5 with Starwood Capital Group, one of the largest | |
| 6 document was a note in a financial statement. Do | 6 in the asset managers in the country, KeyBank | |
| 7 I have that right? | 7 called me and told me they couldn't close. And | |
| 8 A. Among other documents, yeah. | 8 so we had \$40 million of hard earnest money up at | |
| 9 Q. What other documents can you recall | 9 the time, and like I said, threw us into a | |
| 10 that had an ownership percentage interest other | 10 tailspin. | |
| 11 than 46.06 percent for Highland? | 11 Q. But who was re-traded? | |
| 12 A. I meant there was other documents | 12 A. The the partner, the | |
| 13 along with the communication note, the the | 13 SE Multifamily. | |
| | 10 02 Maintaining. | |
| 14 Excel spreadsheet that I mentioned about the | 14 Q. Was SE Multifamily a signatory to the | |
| 14 Excel spreadsheet that I mentioned about the 15 ownership percentage being influx, that's the | | |
| · · | 14 Q. Was SE Multifamily a signatory to the | |
| 15 ownership percentage being influx, that's the | 14 Q. Was SE Multifamily a signatory to the 15 KeyBank loan agreement? | |
| 15 ownership percentage being influx, that's the 16 that's what I'm talking about. | 14 Q. Was SE Multifamily a signatory to the 15 KeyBank loan agreement? 16 A. I I don't think so. Or it I | |
| 15 ownership percentage being influx, that's the 16 that's what I'm talking about. 17 Q. And what does it mean when you | 14 Q. Was SE Multifamily a signatory to the 15 KeyBank loan agreement? 16 A. I – I don't think so. Or it – I 17 don't know. I'll have to – I'll have to look. | |
| 15 ownership percentage being influx, that's the 16 that's what I'm talking about. 17 Q. And what does it mean when you 18 when you told them that was influx, what does | 14 Q. Was SE Multifamily a signatory to the 15 KeyBank loan agreement? 16 A. I – I don't think so. Or it – I 17 don't know. I'll have to – I'll have to look. 18 I know a lot of the members were, or at least the | |
| 15 ownership percentage being influx, that's the 16 that's what I'm talking about. 17 Q. And what does it mean when you 18 when you told them that was influx, what does 19 that mean? | 14 Q. Was SE Multifamily a signatory to the 15 KeyBank loan agreement? 16 A. I I don't think so. Or it I 17 don't know. I'll have to I'll have to look. 18 I know a lot of the members were, or at least the 19 people that pledged assets. | |
| 15 ownership percentage being influx, that's the 16 that's what I'm talking about. 17 Q. And what does it mean when you 18 when you told them that was influx, what does 19 that mean? 20 A. There were 28 assets. We had a | 14 Q. Was SE Multifamily a signatory to the 15 KeyBank loan agreement? 16 A. I – I don't think so. Or it – I 17 don't know. I'll have to – I'll have to look. 18 I know a lot of the members were, or at least the 19 people that pledged assets. 20 Q. And – and did you on behalf of HCRE | |
| 15 ownership percentage being influx, that's the 16 that's what I'm talking about. 17 Q. And what does it mean when you 18 when you told them that was influx, what does 19 that mean? 20 A. There were 28 assets. We had a 21 general business plan on how we would chop up the | Q. Was SE Multifamily a signatory to the KeyBank loan agreement? A. I – I don't think so. Or it – I don't know. I'll have to – I'll have to look. I know a lot of the members were, or at least the people that pledged assets. Q. And – and did you on behalf of HCRE — withdrawn. | |
| ownership percentage being influx, that's the that's what I'm talking about. Q. And what does it mean when you when you told them that was influx, what does that mean? A. There were 28 assets. We had a general business plan on how we would chop up the portfolio, where assets would ultimately land, | 14 Q. Was SE Multifamily a signatory to the 15 KeyBank loan agreement? 16 A. I – I don't think so. Or it – I 17 don't know. I'll have to – I'll have to look. 18 I know a lot of the members were, or at least the 19 people that pledged assets. 20 Q. And – and did you on behalf of HCRE 21 – withdrawn. 22 Did HCRE ultimately agree to whatever | |
| ownership percentage being influx, that's the that's what I'm talking about. Q. And what does it mean when you when you told them that was influx, what does that mean? A. There were 28 assets. We had a general business plan on how we would chop up the portfolio, where assets would ultimately land, what assets would be sold, that was thrown into a | Q. Was SE Multifamily a signatory to the KeyBank loan agreement? A. I – I don't think so. Or it – I don't know. I'll have to – I'll have to look. I know a lot of the members were, or at least the people that pledged assets. Q. And – and did you on behalf of HCRE — withdrawn. Did HCRE ultimately agree to whatever re-trade you're referring to? | |

| EXHIBIT / I | -age 10 01 79 |
|--|---|
| Page 54 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 5 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 signed and executed KeyBank loan documentation | 2 Q. So every party every party that |
| 3 that you reviewed in connection with today's | 3 was a part of SE Multifamily knew on or before |
| 4 deposition; correct? | 4 September 26, 2018, of the entire scope of the |
| 5 A. Not entirely. But yes, partially. | 5 re-trade; correct? |
| 6 Q. What part wasn't reflected in the | 6 A. Correct. |
| 7 documentation? | 7 Q. And every party to multi |
| 8 A. The fact that we had 60 days to pay | 8 SE Multifamily knew on or behalf September 26, |
| 9 down the \$150 million of the note. | 9 2018, they not only knew of the re-trade, they |
| 10 Q. When did you first learn that you had | 10 agreed to it, as much as they didn't like it; |
| 11 60 days to pay that money down? | 11 correct? |
| 12 A. When we finally got them to close on | 12 A. That's correct. |
| 13 Thursday. | 13 Q. Okay. There was no amendment to the |
| 14 Q. Did you learn it before the closing | 14 KeyBank loan documentation after September 26, |
| 15 or after the closing? | 15 2018; correct? |
| 16 A. Before. | 16 A. There was, just not with us. |
| 17 Q. So | 17 Q. Okay. Who was it with? |
| 18 A. They wouldn't close unless we agreed | 18 A. Walker & Dunlop. |
| 19 to it. | 19 Q. And is it fair to say that HCRE had |
| 20 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that HCRE | 20 full knowledge of the re-trade and all of its |
| 21 knew of all of the components of the re-trade | 21 ramifications at the time that it entered into |
| 22 prior to the time it made the decision to enter | 22 the amended LLC agreement in March of 2019? |
| 23 into the loan agreement with KeyBank? | 23 A. Sure. |
| 24 A. Every party knew. Every party that | 24 Q. You mentioned that there was a note |
| 25 was a part of SE Multifamily knew. | 25 in a financial statement that also deviated from |
| 23 was a part of SE ividiliarilly kilew. | 23 III a III iai idiai statement tinat aiso deviated mom |
| Page 56 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 5 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 the 46.06 percent ownership interest for Highland | 2 earlier in 2020, do you remember what it |
| 3 in SE Multifamily. Do I have that right? | 3 A. Yeah, we sure. It was an ongoing |
| 4 A. There was a note about generally the | 4 discussion with BH about their ultimate |
| 5 — the ownership percentages, yes. | 5 ownership. |
| 6 Q. And do you recall when that note was | 6 Q. And can you describe generally what |
| 7 created? | 7 that ongoing discussion was? |
| 8 A. I think probably February. | 8 A. Yeah. Given the – the re-trade, |
| 9 Q. Was we'll look at a document, | 9 given the the paydown, given the sales, I |
| 10 maybe it will refresh your your recollection. | 10 think BH wanted to know and understand what their |
| 11 I'll just try it now probably. | 11 ultimate ownership would be, and we weren't |
| 12 Do you recall that in September 2020, | 12 prepared to to tell them. |
| 13 that HCRE told Barker Viggato, the accounting | 13 Q. You weren't prepared to tell them? |
| 14 firm, to include the note in its tax returns? | 14 A. Yeah, we weren't prepared to |
| 15 A. Yes. | 15 negotiate ownership percentages. |
| 16 Q. Does that re refresh your | 16 Q. When did HCRE convey that to |
| 1.0 9. 2000 mario foliotifyodi | 1.5 G. WHOLIGIA LOUIVEY HALLO |
| 17 recollection that the note was created in | 17 BH Fauities? |
| 17 recollection that the note was created in | 17 BH Equities? 18 A Consistently from the moment we |
| 18 September of 2020? | 18 A. Consistently from the moment we |
| 18 September of 2020?19 A. It was a different note. | 18 A. Consistently from the moment we19 closed. |
| September of 2020? A. It was a different note. Q. Okay. Do you recall what the note | 18 A. Consistently from the moment we 19 closed. 20 Q. So consistently from the end of |
| September of 2020? A. It was a different note. Q. Okay. Do you recall what the note said? | 18 A. Consistently from the moment we 19 closed. 20 Q. So consistently from the end of 21 September 2018? |
| 18 September of 2020? 19 A. It was a different note. 20 Q. Okay. Do you recall what the note 21 said? 22 A. Which one? The September one or the | 18 A. Consistently from the moment we 19 closed. 20 Q. So consistently from the end of 21 September 2018? 22 A. That's right. |
| September of 2020? A. It was a different note. Q. Okay. Do you recall what the note said? A. Which one? The September one or the March one? | 18 A. Consistently from the moment we 19 closed. 20 Q. So consistently from the end of 21 September 2018? 22 A. That's right. 23 Q. And was BH Equities' ownership |
| September of 2020? A. It was a different note. Q. Okay. Do you recall what the note said? A. Which one? The September one or the | 18 A. Consistently from the moment we 19 closed. 20 Q. So consistently from the end of 21 September 2018? 22 A. That's right. |

| Page 58 | age 17 of 73 | Page 59 |
|--|--|-----------|
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | . a.g. co |
| 2 A. Yes. | 2 A. Yeah, I mean, to the extent that they | |
| 3 Q. And that ownership interest was also | 3 got a larger percentage, everyone would have been | |
| 4 fixed with full knowledge of the KeyBank | 4 diluted. | |
| 5 re-trade; correct? | 5 Q. Is there any other way that the note | |
| 6 A. That's right. | 6 that you're describing would have impacted or | |
| 7 Q. And that ownership interest never | 7 concerned any member other than BH Equities? | |
| 8 changed; is that correct? | 8 A. At the time, we were entering COVID, | |
| 9 A. As far as I know. | 9 we didn't know what was going to happen with the | |
| 10 Q. To the best of your knowledge, HCRE | 10 remaining assets in in the portfolio. | |
| 11 never agreed to change BH Equities' 6 percent | 11 Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE as | |
| 12 ownership interest in SE Multifamily; correct? | 12 the manager could adjust the ownership | |
| 13 A. Correct. | 13 percentages of each of SE Multifamily's members | |
| 14 Q. I apologize if if I missed it, but | 14 without anybody else's consent? | |
| 15 did you tell me what you recall about the note in | 15 A. No. | |
| 16 the financial statement that you believe was | 16 Q. Is it your understanding that a | |
| 17 created in early 2020? | 17 change in the ownership percentages would have | |
| 18 A. Yeah, just that we we didn't have | 18 required the consent of all of the members of | |
| 19 final percentages set and that they were seeking | 19 SE Multifamily? | |
| 20 a larger percentage. | 20 A. It's typical. | |
| 21 Q. Did any – | Q. And not only typical, but that's what | |
| 22 A. They being BH. | 22 you understood to be the case; correct? | |
| Q. I apologize. Did the note concern | 23 A. Correct. | |
| 24 any of the members of SE Multifamily other than | Q. And that's what you understand to be | |
| 25 BH Equities? | 25 the case today; correct? | |
| Page 60 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 61 |
| 2 A. Correct. | 2 anything while the while the bankruptcy and | |
| 3 Q. And you've never had a conversation | 3 the stay is in place is my understanding. | |
| 4 with anybody in the world where you told somebody | 4 Q. What did Highland do or what did | |
| 5 you thought HCRE could unilaterally change the | 5 Highland fail to do that would have caused | |
| 6 ownership interests of the members in | 6 SE Multifamily to make distributions to HCRE? | |
| 7 SE Multifamily; correct? | 7 A. Filed bankruptcy. | |
| 8 A. No. | 8 Q. And and it's HCRE's contention | |
| 9 Q. And it's not HCRE's position today | 9 that because Highland filed for bankruptcy, that | |
| 10 that it has the unilateral right to change the | 10 HCRE as the manager of SE Multifamily was | |
| 11 ownership percentages of the members of | 11 prevented from making distributions to | |
| 12 SE Multifamily; correct? | 12 SE Multifamily's members. Do I have that right? | |
| 13 A. Yeah, that's right. | 13 A. Yeah. | |
| 14 Q. Okay. So let's just look at this | 14 Q. Can you identify the lawyer who | |
| 15 Exhibit A for a minute. The second sentence | 15 provided that advice? | |
| 16 says, "Claimant may be entitled to distributions | 16 A. No. | |
| 17 out of SE Multifamily, but such distributions | 17 Q. Did HCRE ever consider – ever | |
| 18 have not been made because of the actions or | 18 consider asking the Bankruptcy Court for | |
| 19 inactions of the Debtor." | 19 authority to make the distributions? | |
| 20 Do you see that? | 20 A. I don't believe there was any | |
| 21 A. Ido. | 21 distributions to be made at the time. So | |
| 22 Q. Do you know any facts that support | 22 Q. I'm just trying to understand what | |
| 23 that assertion? Are you aware of any facts that | 23 this sentence means. If there's no distributions | |
| | == | |
| | 24 to be made, why is this sent why do you | |
| 24 support that assertion? 25 A. Yeah, I don't think we could do | 24 to be made, why is this sent why do you25 know why this sentence is included in HCRE's | |

| Page 62 | | Dogo CO |
|--|--|---------|
| Page 62 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 63 |
| 2 Proof of Claim? | 2 Q. And you specific and you are the | |
| 3 A. I don't know. I didn't draft it. | 3 person who authorized the payment to the members | |
| 4 Q. Okay. Other than the fact that | 4 in order to pay down the debt; correct? | |
| 5 Highland filed for bankruptcy, do you have any | 5 A. That's correct. | |
| 6 basis to understand the assertion that's set | 6 Q. So what is the basis for HCRE's | |
| 7 forth in this sentence that we're looking at? Do | 7 contention that SE Multifamily could make | |
| 8 you know why it's there? | 8 distributions to pay down debt but could not make | |
| 9 A. No, but I think that's a that's a | 9 any other distributions? | |
| 10 pretty big reason. | 10 A. I don't think we believe that the | |
| 11 Q. Right. But despite the reason, to | 11 distribution percentages were accurate. | |
| 12 the best of your knowledge, HCRE never did | 12 Q. When did you learn that? | |
| 13 anything to address that reason; correct? | 13 A. From the time of we entered into the | |
| 14 A. Yeah. That's right. | 14 agreement. | |
| 15 Q. And you never you never instructed | 15 Q. So you knew the moment that you | |
| 16 anybody to try to address the problem that's | | |
| Lagrand and the state of the st | | |
| · · | 17 were inaccurate? And I'm asking you now in your | |
| 18 A. Well, I think we are trying to add- | 18 capacity as HCRE's corporate representative. | |
| 19 - I think we tried to address the the problem | A. Yeah, I think ultimately the intent | |
| 20 by filing the Proof of Claim. That is preserving | 20 was that the percentages would change based upon | |
| 21 the right to – to make a distribution. | 21 how the transaction unfolded, and the KeyBank | |
| 22 Q. Well, you know, as a matter of fact, | 22 re-trade threw that in into a tailspin. | |
| 23 that SE Multifamily has made distributions since | Q. The the KeyBank re-trade occurred | |
| 24 Highland filed for bankruptcy; correct? | 24 five or six months before you signed before | |
| 25 A. I think it's paid down debt. | 25 HCRE entered into the Amended and Restated LLC | |
| Page 64 | 4 MATTM-ODANIED 40/44/0000 | Page 65 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | |
| 2 Agreement; correct? | 2 A. That the agreement should – should | |
| 3 A. Correct. | 3 have provided that we could amend it to to | |
| 4 Q. So you had full knowledge of that at | 4 make amendments as as the transaction | |
| 5 the time HCRE voluntarily entered into the | 5 unfolded, as assets were sold. | |
| 6 amended and restated agreement; correct? | 6 Q. Who made that mistake? | |
| 7 A. Correct. | 7 A. I think everyone. | |
| 8 Q. So did HCRE enter into an agreement | 8 Q. When did you realize that you made | |
| 9 that it knew was wrong? | 9 that mistake? | |
| 10 A. No. | <u>-</u> | |
| | 10 A. Two weeks before the bankruptcy was | |
| 11 Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement was | 11 filed. | |
| Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement wastrue and accurate at the time it signed the | 11 filed.12 Q. And in the two weeks before the | |
| 11 Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement was 12 true and accurate at the time it signed the 13 agreement? | 11 filed. 12 Q. And in the two weeks before the 13 bankruptcy was filed, did HCRE make any effort to | |
| 11 Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement was 12 true and accurate at the time it signed the 13 agreement? 14 A. Yes. | 11 filed. 12 Q. And in the two weeks before the 13 bankruptcy was filed, did HCRE make any effort to 14 amend the agreement? | |
| 11 Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement was 12 true and accurate at the time it signed the 13 agreement? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. So it knew it – it knew all about | 11 filed. 12 Q. And in the two weeks before the 13 bankruptcy was filed, did HCRE make any effort to 14 amend the agreement? 15 A. No. It should have. | |
| 11 Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement was 12 true and accurate at the time it signed the 13 agreement? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. So it knew it it knew all about 16 the KeyBank re-trade when it signed the | 11 filed. 12 Q. And in the two weeks before the 13 bankruptcy was filed, did HCRE make any effort to 14 amend the agreement? 15 A. No. It should have. 16 Q. So there's only three members to the | |
| 11 Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement was 12 true and accurate at the time it signed the 13 agreement? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. So it knew it it knew all about 16 the KeyBank re-trade when it signed the 17 agreement; correct? | 11 filed. 12 Q. And in the two weeks before the 13 bankruptcy was filed, did HCRE make any effort to 14 amend the agreement? 15 A. No. It should have. 16 Q. So there's only three members to the 17 SE Multifamily entity; correct? | |
| 11 Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement was 12 true and accurate at the time it signed the 13 agreement? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. So it knew it – it knew all about 16 the KeyBank re-trade when it signed the 17 agreement; correct? 18 A. Correct. | 11 filed. 12 Q. And in the two weeks before the 13 bankruptcy was filed, did HCRE make any effort to 14 amend the agreement? 15 A. No. It should have. 16 Q. So there's only three members to the 17 SE Multifamily entity; correct? 18 A. Correct. | |
| 11 Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement was 12 true and accurate at the time it signed the 13 agreement? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. So it knew it it knew all about 16 the KeyBank re-trade when it signed the 17 agreement; correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And HCRE signed the agreement | 11 filed. 12 Q. And in the two weeks before the 13 bankruptcy was filed, did HCRE make any effort to 14 amend the agreement? 15 A. No. It should have. 16 Q. So there's only three members to the 17 SE Multifamily entity; correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And that would be Highland; correct? | |
| 11 Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement was 12 true and accurate at the time it signed the 13 agreement? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. So it knew it it knew all about 16 the KeyBank re-trade when it signed the 17 agreement; correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And HCRE signed the agreement 20 believing that it was true and accurate and | 11 filed. 12 Q. And in the two weeks before the 13 bankruptcy was filed, did HCRE make any effort to 14 amend the agreement? 15 A. No. It should have. 16 Q. So there's only three members to the 17 SE Multifamily entity; correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And that would be Highland; correct? 20 A. Yes. | |
| 11 Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement was 12 true and accurate at the time it signed the 13 agreement? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. So it knew it it knew all about 16 the KeyBank re-trade when it signed the 17 agreement; correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And HCRE signed the agreement 20 believing that it was true and accurate and 21 reflected the parties' intent when it signed it | 11 filed. 12 Q. And in the two weeks before the 13 bankruptcy was filed, did HCRE make any effort to 14 amend the agreement? 15 A. No. It should have. 16 Q. So there's only three members to the 17 SE Multifamily entity; correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And that would be Highland; correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And HCRE; correct? | |
| 11 Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement was 12 true and accurate at the time it signed the 13 agreement? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. So it knew it it knew all about 16 the KeyBank re-trade when it signed the 17 agreement; correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And HCRE signed the agreement 20 believing that it was true and accurate and | 11 filed. 12 Q. And in the two weeks before the 13 bankruptcy was filed, did HCRE make any effort to 14 amend the agreement? 15 A. No. It should have. 16 Q. So there's only three members to the 17 SE Multifamily entity; correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And that would be Highland; correct? 20 A. Yes. | |
| 11 Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement was 12 true and accurate at the time it signed the 13 agreement? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. So it knew it it knew all about 16 the KeyBank re-trade when it signed the 17 agreement; correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And HCRE signed the agreement 20 believing that it was true and accurate and 21 reflected the parties' intent when it signed it | 11 filed. 12 Q. And in the two weeks before the 13 bankruptcy was filed, did HCRE make any effort to 14 amend the agreement? 15 A. No. It should have. 16 Q. So there's only three members to the 17 SE Multifamily entity; correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And that would be Highland; correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And HCRE; correct? | |
| 11 Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement was 12 true and accurate at the time it signed the 13 agreement? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. So it knew it — it knew all about 16 the KeyBank re-trade when it signed the 17 agreement; correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And HCRE signed the agreement 20 believing that it was true and accurate and 21 reflected the parties' intent when it signed it 22 in March of 2019; correct? 23 A. Except that we made a mistake that we 24 couldn't amend it later. | 11 filed. 12 Q. And in the two weeks before the 13 bankruptcy was filed, did HCRE make any effort to 14 amend the agreement? 15 A. No. It should have. 16 Q. So there's only three members to the 17 SE Multifamily entity; correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And that would be Highland; correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And HCRE; correct? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. And BH Equities; correct? 24 A. Correct. | |
| 11 Q. Did HCRE believe the agreement was 12 true and accurate at the time it signed the 13 agreement? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. So it knew it it knew all about 16 the KeyBank re-trade when it signed the 17 agreement; correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And HCRE signed the agreement 20 believing that it was true and accurate and 21 reflected the parties' intent when it signed it 22 in March of 2019; correct? 23 A. Except that we made a mistake that we | 11 filed. 12 Q. And in the two weeks before the 13 bankruptcy was filed, did HCRE make any effort to 14 amend the agreement? 15 A. No. It should have. 16 Q. So there's only three members to the 17 SE Multifamily entity; correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And that would be Highland; correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And HCRE; correct? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. And BH Equities; correct? | |

| Page 66 | T | Page 67 |
|---|---|----------|
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | rage or |
| 2 Mr. Dondero controlled both Highland and HCRE; | 2 HCRE did that; correct? | |
| 3 correct? | 3 A. Unfortunately not. | |
| 4 A. Correct. | 4 Q. And nobody on behalf of HCRE made any | |
| 5 Q. Did anybody acting on behalf of | 5 attempt to do that; correct? | |
| 6 Highland or HCRE ask BH Equities at any time | 6 A. I think we and I'm speaking as a | |
| 7 prior to the petition date whether they would be | 7 corporate representative were hopeful that the | |
| 8 willing to amend the ownership percentages set | 8 issues creating the bankruptcy's ultimate filing | |
| 9 forth in the amended and restated agreement? | 9 would resolve themselves, and that never | |
| 10 A. I think they were asking for | 10 happened. | |
| 11 amendment the entire time since the closing back | 11 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. | |
| 12 in September of 2018. | 12 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did anybody acting on | |
| 13 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. | 13 behalf of HCRE take any steps prior to the | |
| 14 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) From the time that | 14 petition date to amend the agreement in order to | |
| 15 you learned that this provision was amended | 15 adjust the membership interest allocation? | |
| 16 withdrawn. | 16 A. Can you repeat the first part? Did | |
| 17 I believe you testified that you | 17 any who | |
| 18 realized approximately two weeks before the | 18 Q. Sure. Did anybody acting on behalf | |
| 19 petition date that the agreement didn't have a | 19 of HCRE make any effort or take any step prior to | |
| 20 provision that permitted it to be amended. Do I | 20 the petition date to amend the Amended and | |
| 21 have that right? | 21 Restated LLC Agreement to adjust the ownership | |
| 22 A. No. At the time that we got Highland | 22 allocation? | |
| 23 off the loan the KeyBank loan, we should have | 23 A. I – I asked our internal legal team | |
| 24 amended the the LLC agreement. | 24 to review and conduct all reverse due diligence | |
| 25 Q. But but nobody acting on behalf of | 25 that was necessary amongst all of our real estate | |
| Page 68 | | Page 69 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 ago oo |
| 2 agreements for provisions such as cross defaults, | 2 A. Because it received \$1.14 million | |
| 3 what have you, prior to filing, and that didn't | 3 60 days following the closing of the transaction, | |
| 4 happen. | 4 I thought it should have been less. I don't know | |
| 5 MR. MORRIS: Okay. I'm going to | 5 what percentage less, but it should have been | |
| 6 move to strike and ask you to just and | 6 less. | |
| 7 we'll take a break in a minute, sir just | 7 Q. Did you instruct anybody to draft an | |
| 8 listen carefully. | 8 amendment to the Amended and Restated LLC | |
| 9 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did anybody acting on | 9 Agreement to reflect the new newly formulated | |
| 10 behalf of HCRE make any effort or take any step | 10 allocation of ownership interests? | |
| 11 prior to the petition date to adjust the the | 11 A. No. There wasn't any reason to | |
| 12 ownership allocation of SE Multifamily? | 12 Q. All right. | |
| 13 A. Yes. | 13 A prior to the petition date. | |
| 14 Q. Okay. What steps were taken to do | 14 Q. Right. Did – did anybody acting on | |
| 15 that? | 15 behalf of HCRE ever inform BH Equities that they | |
| 16 A. I specifically requested that our | 16 believed there was a mistake and therefore wanted | |
| 17 internal legal team review all of our real estate | 17 to amend the agreement to reflect a different | |
| 18 agreements, including this one, to determine what | 18 allocation of membership interests? | |
| 19 consequences there would be as a result of a | 19 A. No. I don't know why they would | |
| 20 bankruptcy filing, if it was could be | 20 care. | |
| 21 massively contagious and I was concerned about | 21 Q. But you would need their consent to | |
| 22 it. | 22 make a change to the allocation; correct? | |
| 23 Q. Did HCRE come to any view as to what | 23 A. They weren't I don't think they | |
| 1 | | |
| 24 Highland's ownership interest should be prior to | 24 were a part of the first LLC agreement; right? | |
| 24 Highland's ownership interest should be prior to25 the petition date? | 24 were a part of the first LLC agreement; right? 25 Q. No. But that was amended and | |

| Page 70 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 71 |
|--|---|---------|
| 2 restated | 2 of HCRE ever tell BH Equities that you wanted to | |
| 3 A. They were | 3 adjust the membership interests set forth in the | |
| 4 Q about the second one; correct? | 4 agreement? | |
| 5 A. Yes. | 5 A. Highland's percentage? Or just the | |
| 6 Q. So you would have needed HCRE you | 6 percentages in general? | |
| 7 understood that in order to amend the Amended and | 7 Q. Any percentage. | |
| | , | |
| 8 Restated LLC Agreement, you would have had to | 8 A. Yeah, it was it was an ongoing | |
| 9 obtained BH Equities' consent; correct? | 9 conversation about their percentage, their | |
| 10 A. Unless it was from September 2018 to11 March of 2019. | 10 promote, if they got a promote, what the | |
| | 11 waterfall would look like, it was a it was a | |
| 12 Q. Sir, the amended and restated | 12 conversation that brought up on almost on a | |
| 13 agreement was effective retroactively to | 13 monthly basis. | |
| 14 August 23, 20 2018. Are you aware of that? | 14 Q. Correct. Other than the consequences | |
| 15 A. I am. But why do I need BH's consent | 15 that would have resulted from an adjustment to | |
| 16 if we would have made an amendment to the | 16 BH Equities' interests, did anybody acting on | |
| 17 allocation percentages in December of 2018? | 17 behalf of HCRE ever tell BH Equities that it | |
| 18 Q. Well, first of all, you didn't do | 18 wanted to adjust the percentages for Highland and | |
| 19 that; correct? | 19 HCRE? | |
| 20 A. Well well, no. But your your | 20 A. No. | |
| 21 question was hypothetical, too, I thought. So | 21 MR. MORRIS: All right. Let's take | |
| 22 Q. It's – it's actually not. I'm | 22 a break now then. It's 10:51 your time. | |
| 23 asking for a specific fact. | 23 Let's just come back at 11:00 if we may. | |
| At any time between March 2019 and | 24 THE WITNESS: Sure. | |
| 25 the petition date, did anybody acting on behalf | 25 MR. MORRIS: Thank you, | |
| Page 72 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 73 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Mr. McGraner. | | |
| 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. The time | 2 step back a little bit and just, you know, get3 some context to some of the issues that we were | |
| • | | |
| 4 is 10:53 a.m. and we are going off the5 record. | 4 talking about.5 You're familiar with the term | |
| 6 (Break from 10:52 a.m. to 11:01 a.m.) | 5 You're familiar with the term 6 "Project Unicorn"; is that right? | |
| , | | |
| | | |
| 8 11:03 a.m. and we are back on the record. | 8 Q. And do you have an understanding of | |
| 9 MR. MORRIS: Bill, Mr. McGraner, | 9 what that term means? 10 A. I do. | |
| 10 are we ready? 11 MP CAMEROS: Yeah we've been | | |
| 11 MR. GAMEROS: Yeah, we've been | 11 Q. What's your understanding of the term | |
| 12 ready. | 12 "Project Unicom"? | |
| 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We were | 13 A. A term of art that was authored by | |
| • | 14 CDDE the the brokeroge firms | |
| 14 on the record, Mr. Morris. | 14 CBRE, the the brokerage firm. | |
| on the record, Mr. Morris. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's get | 15 Q. And what what does it refer to, if | |
| on the record, Mr. Morris. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's get started. | 15 Q. And what what does it refer to, if 16 you know? | |
| on the record, Mr. Morris. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's get started. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We we started | Q. And what what does it refer to, if you know? A. I can tell you what I think they | |
| on the record, Mr. Morris. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's get started. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We we started a minute ago, sir. | Q. And what what does it refer to, if you know? A. I can tell you what I think they think it refers to. | |
| on the record, Mr. Morris. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's get started. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We we started a minute ago, sir. MR. MORRIS: Couldn't really start | Q. And what what does it refer to, if you know? A. I can tell you what I think they think it refers to. Q. Sure. | |
| on the record, Mr. Morris. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's get started. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We – we started a minute ago, sir. MR. MORRIS: Couldn't really start without me. | Q. And what what does it refer to, if you know? A. I can tell you what I think they think it refers to. Q. Sure. A. It was a portfolio of '80s and '90s | |
| on the record, Mr. Morris. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's get started. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We we started a minute ago, sir. MR. MORRIS: Couldn't really start without me. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No, I'm sorry. | Q. And what what does it refer to, if you know? A. I can tell you what I think they think it refers to. Q. Sure. A. It was a portfolio of '80s and '90s vintage multi-family assets, all garden style in | |
| on the record, Mr. Morris. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's get started. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We we started a minute ago, sir. MR. MORRIS: Couldn't really start without me. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No, I'm sorry. You said to go. I'm sorry. We're on the | Q. And what what does it refer to, if you know? A. I can tell you what I think they think it refers to. Q. Sure. A. It was a portfolio of '80s and '90s vintage multi-family assets, all garden style in attractive Sunbelt markets that exhibited | |
| on the record, Mr. Morris. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's get started. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We – we started a minute ago, sir. MR. MORRIS: Couldn't really start without me. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No, I'm sorry. You said to go. I'm sorry. We're on the record. | 15 Q. And what what does it refer to, if 16 you know? 17 A. I can tell you what I think they 18 think it refers to. 19 Q. Sure. 20 A. It was a portfolio of '80s and '90s 21 vintage multi-family assets, all garden style in 22 attractive Sunbelt markets that exhibited 23 value-added potential, renovation, rehab | |
| on the record, Mr. Morris. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's get started. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We we started a minute ago, sir. MR. MORRIS: Couldn't really start without me. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No, I'm sorry. You said to go. I'm sorry. We're on the | Q. And what what does it refer to, if you know? A. I can tell you what I think they think it refers to. Q. Sure. A. It was a portfolio of '80s and '90s vintage multi-family assets, all garden style in attractive Sunbelt markets that exhibited | |

| Page 74 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
|---|---|
| 2 geographically attractive. | 2 Project Unicom? |
| Q. And do you know how this was brought | 3 A. It was. |
| 4 . 4 . 4 . 4 . 4 . 4 . 4 . 4 . 4 . 4 . | |
| | 4 Q. Okay. And do you recall that in |
| 5 A. Ido. | 5 August of 2018, a a an original LLC |
| 6 Q. And how was it brought to HCRE's | 6 agreement was created for SE Multifamily? |
| 7 attention? | 7 A. Sure. |
| 8 A. We we being NexPoint Real Estate | 8 Q. And did you participate in the |
| 9 received inbound portfolio solicitations from all | 9 creation of that document? |
| 10 the major brokerage firms. This one in | 10 A. The creation, no. |
| 11 particular was was one that we sourced out of | 11 Q. Did you review it before it was |
| 12 the local office here in Dallas. | 12 signed? |
| 13 Q. And did you | 13 A. Generally, yes. |
| 14 A. The local CBRE office. | 14 Q. Do you have any reason to believe |
| 15 Q. Okay. And did you play any | 15 that the document didn't reflect the intent of |
| 16 particular role in the execution of Project | 16 the parties to that agreement? |
| 17 Unicom? | 17 A. No. |
| 18 A. I did. | 18 Q. Highland was a party to that |
| 19 Q. And can you describe for me just | 19 agreement; correct? |
| 20 generally what your role was? | 20 A. Yes. |
| 21 A. You could say I was the quarterback | 21 Q. Do you have an understanding as to |
| 22 of the business plan and created the business | 22 why Highland became a signatory to the original |
| 23 plan. | 23 LLC agreement for SE Multifamily? |
| 24 Q. Okay. And was the creation of | 24 A. Sorry. Can you say that again? |
| • | |
| 25 SE Multifamily one of the elements of executing | 25 Q. Sure. Do do you know why Highland |
| Page 76 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 was a party to the original SE Multifamily LLC | 2 capital. |
| 2 Was a party to the original SE Waltharring LES | |
| 3 agreement? | |
| 3 agreement? | 3 Q. Did it have capital at the time the |
| 4 A. Yes, I do. | 3 Q. Did it have capital at the time the4 original LLC agreement was signed six weeks |
| 4 A. Yes, I do.5 Q. And why was Highland a party to that | Q. Did it have capital at the time thed original LLC agreement was signed six weeksearlier? |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? | 3 Q. Did it have capital at the time the 4 original LLC agreement was signed six weeks 5 earlier? 6 A. That that's what I'm referring to, |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge | Q. Did it have capital at the time the original LLC agreement was signed six weeks earlier? A. That that's what I'm referring to, that time, time of closing. |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, | Q. Did it have capital at the time the d original LLC agreement was signed six weeks earlier? A. That that's what I'm referring to, that time, time of closing. Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have | Q. Did it have capital at the time the d original LLC agreement was signed six weeks earlier? A. That that's what I'm referring to, that time, time of closing. Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. | Q. Did it have capital at the time the d original LLC agreement was signed six weeks earlier? A. That that's what I'm referring to, that time, time of closing. Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear | Q. Did it have capital at the time the original LLC agreement was signed six weeks earlier? A. That – that's what I'm referring to, that time, time of closing. Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear when did you learn that Highland didn't have | Q. Did it have capital at the time the d original LLC agreement was signed six weeks earlier? A. That – that's what I'm referring to, that time, time of closing. Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. Yeah, that's what I was told by |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear when did you learn that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? | Q. Did it have capital at the time the d original LLC agreement was signed six weeks earlier? A. That – that's what I'm referring to, that time, time of closing. Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. Yeah, that's what I was told by Dave Klos. |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear when did you learn that Highland didn't have | Q. Did it have capital at the time the d original LLC agreement was signed six weeks earlier? A. That that's what I'm referring to, that time, time of closing. Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. Yeah, that's what I was told by Dave Klos. Q. And notwithstanding the knowledge |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear when did you learn that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? | Q. Did it have capital at the time the d original LLC agreement was signed six weeks earlier? A. That – that's what I'm referring to, that time, time of closing. Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. Yeah, that's what I was told by Dave Klos. |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear when did you learn that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. It was late late in the process. | Q. Did it have capital at the time the d original LLC agreement was signed six weeks earlier? A. That that's what I'm referring to, that time, time of closing. Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. Yeah, that's what I was told by Dave Klos. Q. And notwithstanding the knowledge |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear when did you learn that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. It was late late in the process. Probably right before closing. | Q. Did it have capital at the time the original LLC agreement was signed six weeks earlier? A. That – that's what I'm referring to, that time, time of closing. Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. Yeah, that's what I was told by Dave Klos. Q. And notwithstanding the knowledge that Highland had no unencumbered assets, the |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear when did you learn that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. It was late late in the process. Probably right before closing. Q. So so Jim Dondero didn't know | Q. Did it have capital at the time the d original LLC agreement was signed six weeks earlier? A. That that's what I'm referring to, that time, time of closing. Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. Yeah, that's what I was told by Dave Klos. Q. And notwithstanding the knowledge that Highland had no unencumbered assets, the decision was still made to include Highland in |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear when did you learn that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. It was late late in the process. Probably right before closing. Q. So so Jim Dondero didn't know prior to the time he signed the original LLC | Q. Did it have capital at the time the d original LLC agreement was signed six weeks earlier? A. That that's what I'm referring to, that time, time of closing. Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. Yeah, that's what I was told by Dave Klos. Q. And notwithstanding the knowledge that Highland had no unencumbered assets, the decision was still made to include Highland in the SE Multifamily LLC agreement; correct? |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear when did you learn that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. It was late late in the process. Probably right before closing. Q. So so Jim Dondero didn't know prior to the time he signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any | 3 Q. Did it have capital at the time the 4 original LLC agreement was signed six weeks 5 earlier? 6 A. That – that's what I'm referring to, 7 that time, time of closing. 8 Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE 9 knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC 10 agreement that Highland didn't have any 11 unencumbered assets? 12 A. Yeah, that's what I was told by 13 Dave Klos. 14 Q. And notwithstanding the knowledge 15 that Highland had no unencumbered assets, the 16 decision was still made to include Highland in 17 the SE Multifamily LLC agreement; correct? 18 A. That's correct. |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear when did you learn that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. It was late late in the process. Probably right before closing. Q. So so Jim Dondero didn't know prior to the time he signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets, is that your testimony? A. I don't think it's a a matter of | Q. Did it have capital at the time the doriginal LLC agreement was signed six weeks earlier? A. That that's what I'm referring to, that time, time of closing. Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. Yeah, that's what I was told by Dave Klos. Q. And notwithstanding the knowledge that Highland had no unencumbered assets, the decision was still made to include Highland in the SE Multifamily LLC agreement; correct? A. That's correct. Q. Nobody said, Well, since Highland has no unencumbered assets, there's no reason for |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear when did you learn that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. It was late late in the process. Probably right before closing. Q. So so Jim Dondero didn't know prior to the time he signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets, is that your testimony? A. I don't think it's a a matter of know of knowledge. It's a matter of | Q. Did it have capital at the time the 4 original LLC agreement was signed six weeks 5 earlier? 6 A. That – that's what I'm referring to, 7 that time, time of closing. 8 Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE 9 knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC 10 agreement that Highland didn't have any 11 unencumbered assets? 12 A. Yeah, that's what I was told by 13 Dave Klos. 14 Q. And notwithstanding the knowledge 15 that Highland had no unencumbered assets, the 16 decision was still made to include Highland in 17 the SE Multifamily LLC agreement; correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. Nobody said, Well, since Highland has 20 no unencumbered assets, there's no reason for 21 them to participate in this transaction; correct? |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear when did you learn that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. It was late late in the process. Probably right before closing. Q. So so Jim Dondero didn't know prior to the time he signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets, is that your testimony? A. I don't think it's a a matter of know of knowledge. It's a matter of Q. If you I'm sorry. | Q. Did it have capital at the time the 4 original LLC agreement was signed six weeks 5 earlier? 6 A. That – that's what I'm referring to, 7 that time, time of closing. 8 Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE 9 knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC 10 agreement that Highland didn't have any 11 unencumbered assets? 12 A. Yeah, that's what I was told by 13 Dave Klos. 14 Q. And notwithstanding the knowledge 15 that Highland had no unencumbered assets, the 16 decision was still made to include Highland in 17 the SE Multifamily LLC agreement; correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. Nobody said, Well, since Highland has 20 no unencumbered assets, there's no reason for 21 them to participate in this transaction; correct? 22 A. I – I think it's a little bit more |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear when did you learn that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. It was late late in the process. Probably right before closing. Q. So so Jim Dondero didn't know prior to the time he signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets, is that your testimony? A. I don't think it's a a matter of know of knowledge. It's a matter of Q. If you I'm sorry. A. Yeah. It's a matter of what capital | Q. Did it have capital at the time the 4 original LLC agreement was signed six weeks 5 earlier? 6 A. That – that's what I'm referring to, 7 that time, time of closing. 8 Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE 9 knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC 10 agreement that Highland didn't have any 11 unencumbered assets? 12 A. Yeah, that's what I was told by 13 Dave Klos. 14 Q. And notwithstanding the knowledge 15 that Highland had no unencumbered assets, the 16 decision was still made to include Highland in 17 the SE Multifamily LLC agreement; correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. Nobody said, Well, since Highland has 20 no unencumbered assets, there's no reason for 21 them to participate in this transaction; correct? 22 A. I – I think it's a little bit more 23 complicated than that. |
| A. Yes, I do. Q. And why was Highland a party to that particular agreement? A. It originally was going to pledge assets as part of the the collateral package, but later it it didn't because it didn't have any assets to pledge that were unencumbered. Q. And and when did that become clear when did you learn that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets? A. It was late late in the process. Probably right before closing. Q. So so Jim Dondero didn't know prior to the time he signed the original LLC agreement that Highland didn't have any unencumbered assets, is that your testimony? A. I don't think it's a a matter of know of knowledge. It's a matter of Q. If you I'm sorry. | Q. Did it have capital at the time the 4 original LLC agreement was signed six weeks 5 earlier? 6 A. That – that's what I'm referring to, 7 that time, time of closing. 8 Q. Is it your understanding that HCRE 9 knew prior to the time it signed the original LLC 10 agreement that Highland didn't have any 11 unencumbered assets? 12 A. Yeah, that's what I was told by 13 Dave Klos. 14 Q. And notwithstanding the knowledge 15 that Highland had no unencumbered assets, the 16 decision was still made to include Highland in 17 the SE Multifamily LLC agreement; correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. Nobody said, Well, since Highland has 20 no unencumbered assets, there's no reason for 21 them to participate in this transaction; correct? 22 A. I – I think it's a little bit more |

| 1 | Page 78 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 79 |
|----------|--|------------|---|---------|
| 2 | Q. Did anybody suggest let me just | | were aware that Highland did not have any | |
| | finish. | 3 | meaningful unencumbered assets. Do I have that | |
| 4 | Do you have any recollection of | 4 | right? | |
| | anybody suggesting that Highland should not be a | 5 | A. That's right. | |
| | member of SE Multifamily given the fact that it | 6 | Q. And nevertheless, despite having that | |
| | didn't have unencumbered assets? | 7 | knowledge, you and Mr. Dondero decided that | |
| 8 | A. No. | | Highland would nevertheless be a member of | |
| 9 | Q. Can you tell me why Highland was | 9 | SE Multifamily; correct? | |
| | included in the SE Multifamily original LLC | 10 | | |
| | agreement? | 11 | | |
| 12 | A. Because we thought it was going to | 12 | • | |
| | pledge assets. | 13 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 14 | Q. But you knew it wasn't going to do | | made a member of SE Multifamily that you can | |
| | that before the agreement was signed; correct? | 15 | | |
| 16 | A. Yes. But, again, we got re-traded by | 16 | | |
| | KeyBank and wanted the the as much | 17 | | |
| | flexibility as possible to come up with | 17 18 | | |
| | \$150 million from any source possible. | 19 | Q. And why are you using the word "purportedly"? | |
| 19 20 | | 20 | | |
| | Q. Okay. I want to just keep your | 20 | A. Because I'm not sure it aid. Q. Was that the intent? | |
| | attention focused on the period of time prior to | | | |
| | August 23, 2018, when the SE Multifamily | 22 | A. I think it was it was a a factor. | |
| | agreement was signed. Okay? | | | |
| 24 25 | A. Okay. | 24 | Q. But was that was the intent | |
| 25 | Q. At that time, you and Mr. Dondero | 20 | when the LLC agreement was signed, was the intent | |
| 1 | Page 80 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 81 |
| | that Highland would provide tax benefits? | 2 | Q. Did you ever anybody ever attempt | |
| 3 | A. Among other things like providing, to | 3 | to quantify what the tax benefits were, to the | |
| | the extent it could, sell assets to provide | | best of your knowledge? | |
| | capital to pay down \$150 million in 60 days. | 5 | A. No. I wished they would have. | |
| 6 | Q. But you didn't know that the did | 6 | Q. What's the what's the basis for | |
| | the re-trade occur before or after the original | | your belief that one of the reasons that Highland | |
| | LLC agreement was signed for SE Multifamily? And | | was made a member of SE Multifamily was that | |
| | just to give you a point of reference on the | | there were expected tax benefits? | |
| | calendar, that agreement was signed on | 10 | | |
| | August 23rd, and the KeyBank loan closed on | 11 | Q. Who told you that? | |
| | September 26th. | 12 | | |
| 13 | So with that time frame in mind, did | 13 | | |
| | the re-trade occur before or after the LLC | | | |
| | | 15 | team that told you that? A. Mark Patrick, Rick Swadley. | |
| 16 | agreement was signed, if you can recall? A. It was signed or the re-trade | 16 | • | |
| | occurred after, but it didn't mean that we didn't | 17 | | |
| | | 18 | A. No. | |
| | want the flexibility. | | | |
| 19 | Q. Okay. So let's go back to the tax | 19 | | |
| | benefits. What tax benefits were expected from | 20 | | |
| | Highland? | 21 | A. No. | |
| 22 | A. I don't know. | 22 | • | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | Q. Did you ever ask anybody what tax | 23 | | |
| | benefits there were? A. I didn't. | 24 | | |

| Page 82 | | Page 83 |
|--|--|---------|
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | rage os |
| 2 SE Multifamily? | 2 circumstances and purported tax benefit. | |
| 3 A. No. | 3 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. | |
| 4 Q. Did you ever ask him? | 4 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) I just want to know | |
| 5 A. No. | 5 if you had any discussions with Mr. Dondero | |
| 6 Q. Are you aware of any reason that | 6 concerning his decision to include Highland in | |
| 7 Highland was included in SE Multifamily other | 7 SE Multifamily, did you talk to him about it? | |
| 8 than the purported tax benefits and the, you | 8 A. Yes. The same answer. I mean, I | |
| 9 know, collateral issue that you discussed, any | 9 think we had a discussion among to include | |
| 10 other reasons? | 10 the he wanted to include them because of | |
| 11 A. No. | 11 capital flexibility and purported tax benefits. | |
| 12 Q. Do you know who made the decision to | 12 Q. So who who was part of the | |
| 13 include Highland as a member of SE Multifamily in | 13 conversation that you just described? | |
| 14 August 2018? | 14 A. It was a one-off conversation between | |
| 15 A. Jim. | 15 he and I. | |
| 16 Q. But you don't recall having any | 16 Q. Did it take place in person or on the | |
| 17 discussions as to why he made that decision; | 17 phone or in some other manner? | |
| 18 correct? | 18 A. I – I don't recall. | |
| 19 A. I think we were deferential. | 19 Q. Are there any notes of the | |
| 20 Q. You don't recall having any | 20 communication on this topic about why Highland | |
| 21 discussions with Mr. Dondero about why he made | 21 was included in SE Multifamily? | |
| 22 that decision; correct? | 22 A. Not that I'm aware of. | |
| 23 A. I – I believe I answered that but | 23 Q. If Highland had no unencumbered | |
| 24 I'll – I'll answer it again. Because of the | 24 assets, how could they provide capital | |
| 25 flexibility for capital if we needed it under the | 25 flexibility? | |
| 20 Horibility for outstart we recoded it direct the | 20 HOADHILY: | |
| | | |
| Page 84 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. I apologize, I appreciate the | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they | 2 Q. I apologize. I appreciate the | Page 85 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. They could sell assets, like they could sell stock, need cash. Just because | Q. I apologize. I appreciate the distinction. Did KeyBank require | Page 85 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. They could sell assets, like they could sell stock, need cash. Just because they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have | Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they 3 could sell stock, need cash. Just because 4 they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have 5 value over and above the debt. | Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. 5 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they 3 could sell stock, need cash. Just because 4 they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have 5 value over and above the debt. 6 Q. And that value over and above the | Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. 5 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be 6 added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they 3 could sell stock, need cash. Just because 4 they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have 5 value over and above the debt. 6 Q. And that value over and above the 7 debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made | Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. 5 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be 6 added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? 7 A. No. I mean, I think they took | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they 3 could sell stock, need cash. Just because 4 they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have 5 value over and above the debt. 6 Q. And that value over and above the 7 debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made 8 the decision to include Highland; correct? | Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. 5 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be 6 added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? 7 A. No. I mean, I think they took 8 everything they could get at the time. | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they 3 could sell stock, need cash. Just because 4 they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have 5 value over and above the debt. 6 Q. And that value over and above the 7 debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made 8 the decision to include Highland; correct? 9 A. The potential to sell assets was one | Q. I apologize. I appreciate the distinction. Did KeyBank require A. It's a big difference. Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? A. No. I mean, I think they took everything they could get at the time. Q. And is is Highland one of the | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they 3 could sell stock, need cash. Just because 4 they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have 5 value over and above the debt. 6 Q. And that value over and above the 7 debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made 8 the decision to include Highland; correct? 9 A. The potential to sell assets was one 10 of them, to have capital flexibility was a | Q. I apologize. I appreciate the distinction. Did KeyBank require A. It's a big difference. Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? A. No. I mean, I think they took everything they could get at the time. Q. And is is Highland one of the things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they 3 could sell stock, need cash. Just because 4 they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have 5 value over and above the debt. 6 Q. And that value over and above the 7 debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made 8 the decision to include Highland; correct? 9 A. The potential to sell assets was one 10 of them, to have capital flexibility was a 11 reason, yes. | Q. I apologize. I appreciate the distinction. Did KeyBank require A. It's a big difference. Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? A. No. I mean, I think they took everything they could get at the time. Q. And is is Highland one of the things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close the transaction? | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they 3 could sell stock, need cash. Just because 4 they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have 5 value over and above the debt. 6 Q. And that value over and above the 7 debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made 8 the decision to include Highland; correct? 9 A. The potential to sell assets was one 10 of them, to have capital flexibility was a 11 reason, yes. 12 Q. Did HCRE have the ability to close on | Q. I apologize. I appreciate the distinction. Did KeyBank require A. It's a big difference. Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? A. No. I mean, I think they took everything they could get at the time. Q. And is is Highland one of the things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close the transaction? A. I think it was all it was already | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they 3 could sell stock, need cash. Just because 4 they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have 5 value over and above the debt. 6 Q. And that value over and above the 7 debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made 8 the decision to include Highland; correct? 9 A. The potential to sell assets was one 10 of them, to have capital flexibility was a 11 reason, yes. | Q. I apologize. I appreciate the distinction. Did KeyBank require A. It's a big difference. Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? A. No. I mean, I think they took everything they could get at the time. Q. And is – is Highland one of the things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close the transaction? A. I think it was all – it was already contemplated. | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they 3 could sell stock, need cash. Just because 4 they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have 5 value over and above the debt. 6 Q. And that value over and above the 7 debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made 8 the decision to include Highland; correct? 9 A. The potential to sell assets was one 10 of them, to have capital flexibility was a 11 reason, yes. 12 Q. Did HCRE have the ability to close on 13 the KeyBank loan based on its own financial | Q. I apologize. I appreciate the distinction. Did KeyBank require A. It's a big difference. Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? A. No. I mean, I think they took everything they could get at the time. Q. And is is Highland one of the things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close the transaction? A. I think it was all it was already contemplated. | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they 3 could sell stock, need cash. Just because 4 they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have 5 value over and above the debt. 6 Q. And that value over and above the 7 debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made 8 the decision to include Highland; correct? 9 A. The potential to sell assets was one 10 of them, to have capital flexibility was a 11 reason, yes. 12 Q. Did HCRE have the ability to close on 13 the KeyBank loan based on its own financial 14 wherewithal? 15 A. No. | Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. 5 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be 6 added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? 7 A. No. I mean, I think they took 8 everything they could get at the time. 9 Q. And is is Highland one of the 10 things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close 11 the transaction? 12 A. I think it was all it was already 13 contemplated. 14 Q. What was already contemplated? | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they 3 could sell stock, need cash. Just because 4 they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have 5 value over and above the debt. 6 Q. And that value over and above the 7 debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made 8 the decision to include Highland; correct? 9 A. The potential to sell assets was one 10 of them, to have capital flexibility was a 11 reason, yes. 12 Q. Did HCRE have the ability to close on 13 the KeyBank loan based on its own financial 14 wherewithal? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Did HCRE need Highland to be a | 2 Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. 5 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be 6 added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? 7 A. No. I mean, I think they took 8 everything they could get at the time. 9 Q. And is is Highland one of the 10 things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close 11 the transaction? 12 A. I think it was all it was already 13 contemplated. 14 Q. What was already contemplated? 15 A. For the their their inclusion 16 as a co-borrower be because of the | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they 3 could sell stock, need cash. Just because 4 they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have 5 value over and above the debt. 6 Q. And that value over and above the 7 debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made 8 the decision to include Highland; correct? 9 A. The potential to sell assets was one 10 of them, to have capital flexibility was a 11 reason, yes. 12 Q. Did HCRE have the ability to close on 13 the KeyBank loan based on its own financial 14 wherewithal? 15 A. No. | 2 Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. 5 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be 6 added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? 7 A. No. I mean, I think they took 8 everything they could get at the time. 9 Q. And is is Highland one of the 10 things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close 11 the transaction? 12 A. I think it was all it was already 13 contemplated. 14 Q. What was already contemplated? 15 A. For the their their inclusion 16 as a co-borrower be because of the 17 aforementioned reasons for capital flexibility. | Page 85 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. They could sell assets, like they could sell stock, need cash. Just because they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have value over and above the debt. Q. And that value over and above the debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made the decision to include Highland; correct? A. The potential to sell assets was one of them, to have capital flexibility was a reason, yes. Q. Did HCRE have the ability to close on the KeyBank loan based on its own financial wherewithal? A. No. Q. Did HCRE need Highland to be a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan in order to klose the transaction? | 2 Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. 5 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be 6 added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? 7 A. No. I mean, I think they took 8 everything they could get at the time. 9 Q. And is is Highland one of the 10 things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close 11 the transaction? 12 A. I think it was all it was already 13 contemplated. 14 Q. What was already contemplated? 15 A. For the their their inclusion 16 as a co-borrower be because of the 17 aforementioned reasons for capital flexibility. 18 Q. So to the best of your understanding, | Page 85 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. They could sell assets, like they could sell stock, need cash. Just because they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have value over and above the debt. Q. And that value over and above the debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made the decision to include Highland; correct? A. The potential to sell assets was one of them, to have capital flexibility was a reason, yes. Q. Did HCRE have the ability to close on the KeyBank loan based on its own financial wherewithal? A. No. Q. Did HCRE need Highland to be a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan in order to close the transaction? A. Absolutely not. | 2 Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. 5 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be 6 added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? 7 A. No. I mean, I think they took 8 everything they could get at the time. 9 Q. And is is Highland one of the 10 things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close 11 the transaction? 12 A. I think it was all it was already 13 contemplated. 14 Q. What was already contemplated? 15 A. For the their their inclusion 16 as a co-borrower be because of the 17 aforementioned reasons for capital flexibility. 18 Q. So to the best of your understanding, 19 Mr. Dondero made the decision to include Highland | Page 85 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. They could sell assets, like they could sell stock, need cash. Just because they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have value over and above the debt. Q. And that value over and above the debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made the decision to include Highland; correct? A. The potential to sell assets was one of them, to have capital flexibility was a reason, yes. Q. Did HCRE have the ability to close on the KeyBank loan based on its own financial wherewithal? A. No. Q. Did HCRE need Highland to be a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan in order to close the transaction? A. Absolutely not. Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be | 2 Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. 5 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be 6 added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? 7 A. No. I mean, I think they took 8 everything they could get at the time. 9 Q. And is – is Highland one of the 10 things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close 11 the transaction? 12 A. I think it was all it was already 13 contemplated. 14 Q. What was already contemplated? 15 A. For the –- their their inclusion 16 as a co-borrower be because of the 17 aforementioned reasons for capital flexibility. 18 Q. So to the best of your understanding, 19 Mr. Dondero made the decision to include Highland 20 as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan because | Page 85 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. They could sell assets, like they could sell stock, need cash. Just because they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have value over and above the debt. Q. And that value over and above the debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made the decision to include Highland; correct? A. The potential to sell assets was one of them, to have capital flexibility was a reason, yes. Q. Did HCRE have the ability to close on the KeyBank loan based on its own financial wherewithal? A. No. Q. Did HCRE need Highland to be a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan in order to close the transaction? A. Absolutely not. Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be added as a guarantor under the KeyBank loan as a | 2 Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. 5 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be 6 added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? 7 A. No. I mean, I think they took 8 everything they could get at the time. 9 Q. And is is Highland one of the 10 things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close 11 the transaction? 12 A. I think it was all it was already 13 contemplated. 14 Q. What was already contemplated? 15 A. For the their their inclusion 16 as a co-borrower be because of the 17 aforementioned reasons for capital flexibility. 18 Q. So to the best of your understanding, 19 Mr. Dondero made the decision to include Highland 20 as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan because 21 he thought that would provide additional capital | Page 85 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. They could sell assets, like they could sell stock, need cash. Just because they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have value over and above the debt. Q. And that value over and above the debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made the decision to include Highland; correct? A. The potential to sell assets was one of them, to have capital flexibility was a reason, yes. Q. Did HCRE have the ability to close on the KeyBank loan based on its own financial wherewithal? A. No. Q. Did HCRE need Highland to be a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan in order to close the transaction? A. Absolutely not. Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be added as a guarantor under the KeyBank loan as a condition to closing? | 2 Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. 5 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be 6 added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? 7 A. No. I mean, I think they took 8 everything they could get at the time. 9 Q. And is is Highland one of the 10 things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close 11 the transaction? 12 A. I think it was all it was already 13 contemplated. 14 Q. What was already contemplated? 15 A. For the their their inclusion 16 as a co-borrower be because of the 17 aforementioned reasons for capital flexibility. 18 Q. So to the best of your understanding, 19 Mr. Dondero made the decision to include Highland 20 as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan because 21 he thought that would provide additional capital 22 flexibility; correct? | Page 85 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. They could sell assets, like they 3 could sell stock, need cash. Just because 4 they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have 5 value over and above the debt. 6 Q. And that value over and above the 7 debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made 8 the decision to include Highland; correct? 9 A. The potential to sell assets was one 10 of them, to have capital flexibility was a 11 reason, yes. 12 Q. Did HCRE have the ability to close on 13 the KeyBank loan based on its own financial 14 wherewithal? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Did HCRE need Highland to be a 17 co-borrower under the KeyBank loan in order to 18 close the transaction? 19 A. Absolutely not. 20 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be 21 added as a guarantor under the KeyBank loan as a 22 condition to closing? 23 A. They're not a guarantor. | 2 Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. 5 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be 6 added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? 7 A. No. I mean, I think they took 8 everything they could get at the time. 9 Q. And is is Highland one of the 10 things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close 11 the transaction? 12 A. I think it was all it was already 13 contemplated. 14 Q. What was already contemplated? 15 A. For the their their inclusion 16 as a co-borrower be because of the 17 aforementioned reasons for capital flexibility. 18 Q. So to the best of your understanding, 19 Mr. Dondero made the decision to include Highland 20 as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan because 21 he thought that would provide additional capital 22 flexibility; correct? 23 A. And that KeyBank insisted on. | Page 85 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. They could sell assets, like they could sell stock, need cash. Just because they're encumbered doesn't mean they don't have value over and above the debt. Q. And that value over and above the debt was one of the reasons why Mr. Dondero made the decision to include Highland; correct? A. The potential to sell assets was one of them, to have capital flexibility was a reason, yes. Q. Did HCRE have the ability to close on the KeyBank loan based on its own financial wherewithal? A. No. Q. Did HCRE need Highland to be a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan in order to close the transaction? A. Absolutely not. Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be added as a guarantor under the KeyBank loan as a condition to closing? | 2 Q. I apologize. I appreciate the 3 distinction. Did KeyBank require 4 A. It's a big difference. 5 Q. Did KeyBank require Highland to be 6 added as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan? 7 A. No. I mean, I think they took 8 everything they could get at the time. 9 Q. And is is Highland one of the 10 things that Mr. Dondero offered in order to close 11 the transaction? 12 A. I think it was all it was already 13 contemplated. 14 Q. What was already contemplated? 15 A. For the their their inclusion 16 as a co-borrower be because of the 17 aforementioned reasons for capital flexibility. 18 Q. So to the best of your understanding, 19 Mr. Dondero made the decision to include Highland 20 as a co-borrower under the KeyBank loan because 21 he thought that would provide additional capital 22 flexibility; correct? | Page 85 |

| | age 24 01 13 | D 07 |
|---|---|---------|
| Page 86 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 87 |
| 2 A. Yeah, at at the ultimate finish | 2 partial partial demand, yeah. | |
| 3 line, yeah. | 3 Q. That was let's just clean this up | |
| 4 Q. And HCRE and Mr. Dondero agreed to | 4 a little bit. | |
| 5 include Highland as a co-borrower; correct? | 5 One of the demands that withdrawn. | |
| 6 A. Yes. | 6 One of the conditions that KeyBank | |
| 7 Q. And HCRE and Mr. Dondero agreed to | 7 placed on the closing of the loan was that | |
| 8 include Highland as a co-borrower in order to | 8 Highland be added as a co-borrower; correct? | |
| 9 respond to KeyBank's demand; correct? | 9 A. Yeah, I don't think it was a material | |
| 10 A. Demand? Sorry, what what was the | 10 condition, but it was a condition there. | |
| 11 reason? | 11 Q. It was a condition; correct? | |
| 12 Q. Demand to include Highland as a | 12 A. Yes, but not a material one. | |
| 13 borrower. | 13 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike the | |
| 14 A. It's a it's a little bit more | 14 reference to materiality. | |
| 15 complicated than that. | 15 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) KeyBank demanded that | |
| 16 Q. It may or may not be, but it's kind | 16 Highland be added as a co-borrower; correct? | |
| 17 of a simple question. To the best of your | 17 A. No. It was already a co-borrower. | |
| 18 knowledge and understanding, HCRE and Mr. Dondero | 18 Q. When did it become a co-borrower? | |
| 19 agreed to include Highland as a co-borrower in | 19 A. It was it was all | |
| 20 response to KeyBank's demand; correct? | 20 always contemplated to be a co-borrower. | |
| 21 A. They – they demanded a lot from | 21 Q. And that's because KeyBank insisted | |
| 22 everyone. | 22 on that; correct? | |
| 23 Q. And one of the things they demanded | 23 A. At first, no. | |
| 24 was that Highland would be a borrower; correct? | 24 Q. So Mr. Dondero voluntarily included | |
| 25 A. A part – sure, a portion or a | 25 Highland as a co-borrower at first? | |
| | 20 Tilgi liana ao a oo bon owor at lilot. | |
| | ŭ | |
| Page 88 | | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. | Page 89 |
| Page 88 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. Yes. Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. That's right. Q. And by that – by adding Highland, | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. That's right. Q. And by that by adding Highland, did was was the idea that that would | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. That's right. Q. And by that – by adding Highland, did – was – was the idea that that would increase the likelihood that the loan would | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that by adding Highland, 4 did was was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that by adding Highland, 4 did was was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that – by adding Highland, 4 did – was – was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that by adding Highland, 4 did was was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that by adding Highland, 4 did was was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my 11 questioning, sir. But we're talking about | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that — by adding Highland, 4 did — was — was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? 11 A. For capital flexibility and tax | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my 11 questioning, sir. But we're talking about 12 September 2018, not October 2019. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that by adding Highland, 4 did was was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? 11 A. For capital flexibility and tax 12 efficiency. | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my 11 questioning, sir. But we're talking about 12 September 2018, not October 2019. 13 A. Got it. I apologize. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that – by adding Highland, 4 did – was – was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? 11 A. For capital flexibility and tax 12 efficiency. 13 Q. Okay. How does including Highland as | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my 11 questioning, sir. But we're talking about 12 September 2018, not October 2019. 13 A. Got it. I apologize. 14 Q. Yeah. So going back to the period | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that — by adding Highland, 4 did — was — was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? 11 A. For capital flexibility and tax 12 efficiency. 13 Q. Okay. How does including Highland as 14 a co-borrower in the KeyBank loan provide capital | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my 11 questioning, sir. But we're talking about 12 September 2018, not October 2019. 13 A. Got it. I apologize. 14 Q. Yeah. So going back to the period 15 leading up to the closing of the KeyBank loan in | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that — by adding Highland, 4 did — was — was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? 11 A. For capital flexibility and tax 12 efficiency. 13 Q. Okay. How does including Highland as 14 a co-borrower in the KeyBank loan provide capital 15 flexibility? | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my 11 questioning, sir. But we're talking about 12 September 2018, not October 2019. 13 A. Got it. I apologize. 14 Q. Yeah. So going back to the period 15 leading up to the closing of the KeyBank loan in 16 September 2018, did KeyBank require Highland to | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that by adding Highland, 4 did was was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? 11 A. For capital flexibility and tax 12 efficiency. 13 Q. Okay. How does including Highland as 14 a co-borrower in the KeyBank loan provide capital 15 flexibility? 16 A. I think I think you want symmetry | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my 11 questioning, sir. But we're talking about 12 September 2018, not October 2019. 13 A. Got it. I apologize. 14 Q. Yeah. So going back to the period 15 leading up to the closing of the KeyBank loan in 16 September 2018, did KeyBank require Highland to 17 be added as a borrower as a co-borrower? | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that — by adding Highland, 4 did — was — was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? 11 A. For capital flexibility and tax 12 efficiency. 13 Q. Okay. How does including Highland as 14 a co-borrower in the KeyBank loan provide capital 15 flexibility? 16 A. I think — I think you want symmetry 17 with your LLC agreement, and everyone in the LLC | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my 11 questioning, sir. But we're talking about 12 September 2018, not October 2019. 13 A. Got it. I apologize. 14 Q. Yeah. So going back to the period 15 leading up to the closing of the KeyBank loan in 16 September 2018, did KeyBank require Highland to 17 be added as a borrower as a co-borrower? 18 A. It was already a co-borrower. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that — by adding Highland, 4 did — was — was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? 11 A. For capital flexibility and tax 12 efficiency. 13 Q. Okay. How does including Highland as 14 a co-borrower in the KeyBank loan provide capital 15 flexibility? 16 A. I think — I think you want symmetry 17 with your LLC agreement, and everyone in the LLC 18 agreement was providing or pledging assets other | Page 89 |
| Page 88 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my 11 questioning, sir. But we're talking about 12 September 2018, not October 2019. 13 A. Got it. I apologize. 14 Q. Yeah. So going back to the period 15 leading up to the closing of the KeyBank loan in 16 September 2018, did KeyBank require Highland to 17 be added as a borrower as a co-borrower? 18 A. It was already a co-borrower. 19 Q. And that's because Mr. Dondero made | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that by adding Highland, 4 did was was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? 11 A. For capital flexibility and tax 12 efficiency. 13 Q. Okay. How does including Highland as 14 a co-borrower in the KeyBank loan provide capital 15 flexibility? 16 A. I think I think you want symmetry 17 with your LLC agreement, and everyone in the LLC 18 agreement was providing or pledging assets other 19 than Highland, frankly. So that's why it be | Page 89 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my 11 questioning, sir. But we're talking about 12 September 2018, not October 2019. 13 A. Got it. I apologize. 14 Q. Yeah. So going back to the period 15 leading up to the closing of the KeyBank loan in 16 September 2018, did KeyBank require Highland to 17 be added as a borrower as a co-borrower? 18 A. It was already a co-borrower. 19 Q. And that's because Mr. Dondero made 20 the decision to add Highland as a co-borrower; | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that — by adding Highland, 4 did — was — was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? 11 A. For capital flexibility and tax 12 efficiency. 13 Q. Okay. How does including Highland as 14 a co-borrower in the KeyBank loan provide capital 15 flexibility? 16 A. I think — I think you want symmetry 17 with your LLC agreement, and everyone in the LLC 18 agreement was providing or pledging assets other 19 than Highland, frankly. So that's why it be — 20 that's why it became a co-borrower. | Page 89 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my 11 questioning, sir. But we're talking about 12 September 2018, not October 2019. 13 A. Got it. I apologize. 14 Q. Yeah. So going back to the period 15 leading up to the closing of the KeyBank loan in 16 September 2018, did KeyBank require Highland to 17 be added as a borrower as a co-borrower? 18 A. It was already a co-borrower. 19 Q. And that's because Mr. Dondero made 20 the decision to add Highland as a co-borrower; 21 correct? | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that — by adding Highland, 4 did — was — was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? 11 A. For capital flexibility and tax 12 efficiency. 13 Q. Okay. How does including Highland as 14 a co-borrower in the KeyBank loan provide capital 15 flexibility? 16 A. I think — I think you want symmetry 17 with your LLC agreement, and everyone in the LLC 18 agreement was providing or pledging assets other 19 than Highland, frankly. So that's why it be — 20 that's why it became a co-borrower. 21 Q. Which came first: The decision to | Page 89 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my 11 questioning, sir. But we're talking about 12 September 2018, not October 2019. 13 A. Got it. I apologize. 14 Q. Yeah. So going back to the period 15 leading up to the closing of the KeyBank loan in 16 September 2018, did KeyBank require Highland to 17 be added as a borrower as a co-borrower? 18 A. It was already a co-borrower. 19 Q. And that's because Mr. Dondero made 20 the decision to add Highland as a co-borrower; 21 correct? 22 A. That's right, yeah. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that — by adding Highland, 4 did — was — was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? 11 A. For capital flexibility and tax 12 efficiency. 13 Q. Okay. How does including Highland as 14 a co-borrower in the KeyBank loan provide capital 15 flexibility? 16 A. I think — I think you want symmetry 17 with your LLC agreement, and everyone in the LLC 18 agreement was providing or pledging assets other 19 than Highland, frankly. So that's why it be — 20 that's why it became a co-borrower. 21 Q. Which came first: The decision to 22 add Highland to the LLC agreement or the decision | Page 89 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my 11 questioning, sir. But we're talking about 12 September 2018, not October 2019. 13 A. Got it. I apologize. 14 Q. Yeah. So going back to the period 15 leading up to the closing of the KeyBank loan in 16 September 2018, did KeyBank require Highland to 17 be added as a borrower as a co-borrower? 18 A. It was already a co-borrower. 19 Q. And that's because Mr. Dondero made 20 the decision to add Highland as a co-borrower; 21 correct? 22 A. That's right, yeah. 23 Q. And Mr. Dondero made that decision | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that – by adding Highland, 4 did – was – was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? 11 A. For capital flexibility and tax 12 efficiency. 13 Q. Okay. How does including Highland as 14 a co-borrower in the KeyBank loan provide capital 15 flexibility? 16 A. I think – I think you want symmetry 17 with your LLC agreement, and everyone in the LLC 18 agreement was providing or pledging assets other 19 than Highland, frankly. So that's why it be – 20 that's why it became a co-borrower. 21 Q. Which came first: The decision to 22 add Highland to the LLC agreement or the decision 23 to make Highland a co-borrower under the KeyBank | Page 89 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And did Mr. Dondero try to remove 4 Highland as a co-borrower thereafter? 5 A. Yeah, we we did when we thought 6 that there was going to be a petition filing. 7 Q. But KeyBank would not agree; correct? 8 A. No, they agreed to remove Highland as 9 a borrower. 10 Q. You're you're maybe it's my 11 questioning, sir. But we're talking about 12 September 2018, not October 2019. 13 A. Got it. I apologize. 14 Q. Yeah. So going back to the period 15 leading up to the closing of the KeyBank loan in 16 September 2018, did KeyBank require Highland to 17 be added as a borrower as a co-borrower? 18 A. It was already a co-borrower. 19 Q. And that's because Mr. Dondero made 20 the decision to add Highland as a co-borrower; 21 correct? 22 A. That's right, yeah. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. And by that — by adding Highland, 4 did — was — was the idea that that would 5 increase the likelihood that the loan would 6 close? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Then why did Mr. Dondero decide to 9 add Highland as a co-borrower to the KeyBank 10 loan? 11 A. For capital flexibility and tax 12 efficiency. 13 Q. Okay. How does including Highland as 14 a co-borrower in the KeyBank loan provide capital 15 flexibility? 16 A. I think — I think you want symmetry 17 with your LLC agreement, and everyone in the LLC 18 agreement was providing or pledging assets other 19 than Highland, frankly. So that's why it be — 20 that's why it became a co-borrower. 21 Q. Which came first: The decision to 22 add Highland to the LLC agreement or the decision | Page 89 |

| | age 23 of 73 |
|---|---|
| Page 90 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 91 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 I don't know which came first. | 2 do you recall having any discussions with anybody |
| 3 Q. In the one-off conversation that you | 3 else in the world about why Highland was made a |
| 4 had with Mr. Dondero, did he describe for you in | 4 member of SE Multifamily? |
| 5 any way that you can recall what the tax benefits | 5 A. No. |
| 6 would be by adding Highland to the SE Multifamily | 6 Q. Other than the one-off conversation |
| 7 agreement? | 7 that you described with Mr. Dondero, do you |
| 8 A. No. | 8 recall asking anybody in the world why Highland |
| 9 Q. Did you ask him? | 9 was being made a party to the SE Multifamily |
| 10 A. No. I don't think he knew, either. | 10 agreement? |
| 11 Q. So neither you nor Mr. Dondero knew | 11 A. No. |
| 12 what the tax benefits were, but yet that was one | |
| 13 of the reasons that you made the decision to add | , , , |
| • | 13 Highland's inclusion as a member of |
| 14 Highland to the original LLC agreement. Do I | 14 SE Multifamily would have a positive impact on |
| 15 have that right? | 15 HCRE's tax burden? |
| 16 A. You have that right. | 16 A. Did I I have sorry the first |
| 17 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, | 17 part, did I have a what? |
| 18 neither you nor Mr. Dondero undertook any | 18 Q. Yeah. You've mentioned purported tax |
| 19 diligence or investigation to determine the | 19 benefits; right? |
| 20 nature of the tax benefits before the decision | 20 A. Right. |
| 21 was made to sign the original LLC agreement for | 21 Q. Okay. Who was going to ben be the |
| 22 SE Multifamily; correct? | 22 beneficiary of the tax benefits? Did you know? |
| 23 A. Correct. | 23 A. No. |
| 24 Q. Other than the one-off conversation | Q. Did you know if it was going to be |
| 25 that you've described with Mr. Dondero, do you | 25 HCRE? |
| | |
| Page 92 | Page 93 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 93 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated in Project Unicorn? |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated in Project Unicorn? |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. No. Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicorn? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated in Project Unicom? A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and NexPoint knew about it. Tim Cournoyer, who I |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? 6 A. No. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicom? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Cournoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. No. Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's inclusion was going to provide? A. No. Q. Did you did you did you ask | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicom? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Cournoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you did you did you ask 8 anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicorn? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Coumoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you did you did you ask 8 anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the 9 beneficiary of these tax benefits? | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicorn? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Coumoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you did you did you ask 8 anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the 9 beneficiary of these tax benefits? 10 A. No. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicom? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Cournoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two 10 people you identify are people still employed by |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you did you did you ask 8 anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the 9 beneficiary of these tax benefits? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did SE Multifamily rely on Highland's | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicorn? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Coumoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two 10 people you identify are people still employed by 11 Highland. Is that a coincidence? |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you did you did you ask 8 anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the 9 beneficiary of these tax benefits? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did SE Multifamily rely on Highland's 12 human resources to execute Project Unicom? | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicorn? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Coumoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two 10 people you identify are people still employed by 11 Highland. Is that a coincidence? 12 A. No. I I also mentioned that it |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you did you did you ask 8 anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the 9 beneficiary of these tax benefits? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did SE Multifamily rely on Highland's 12 human resources to execute Project Unicom? 13 A. You mean their personnel? | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicom? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Cournoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two 10 people you identify are people still employed by 11 Highland. Is that a coincidence? 12 A. No. I I also mentioned that it 13 was our team our real estate team and the tax |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you did you did you ask 8 anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the 9 beneficiary of these tax benefits? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did SE Multifamily rely on Highland's 12 human resources to execute Project Unicom? 13 A. You mean their personnel? 14 Q. Yeah. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicom? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Cournoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two 10 people you identify are people still employed by 11 Highland. Is that a coincidence? 12 A. No. I I also mentioned that it 13 was our team our real estate team and the tax 14 team at Highland. |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you did you did you ask 8 anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the 9 beneficiary of these tax benefits? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did SE Multifamily rely on Highland's 12 human resources to execute Project Unicom? 13 A. You mean their personnel? 14 Q. Yeah. 15 A. Other than the tax piece, no. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicorn? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Coumoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two 10 people you identify are people still employed by 11 Highland. Is that a coincidence? 12 A. No. I I also mentioned that it 13 was our team our real estate team and the tax 14 team at Highland. 15 Q. What role did Mr. Coumoyer play? |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you did you did you ask 8 anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the 9 beneficiary of these tax benefits? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did SE Multifamily rely on Highland's 12 human resources to execute Project Unicom? 13 A. You mean their personnel? 14 Q. Yeah. 15 A. Other than the tax piece, no. 16 Q. So so Highland's tax department is 17 the one who supported this transaction; correct? | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicom? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Cournoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two 10 people you identify are people still employed by 11 Highland. Is that a coincidence? 12 A. No. I I also mentioned that it 13 was our team our real estate team and the tax 14 team at Highland. 15 Q. What role did Mr. Cournoyer play? 16 A. He was Highland corporate counsel; so |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you did you did you ask 8 anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the 9 beneficiary of these tax benefits? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did SE Multifamily rely on Highland's 12 human resources to execute Project Unicom? 13 A. You mean their personnel? 14 Q. Yeah. 15 A. Other than the tax piece, no. 16 Q. So so Highland's tax department is 17 the one who supported this transaction; correct? 18 A. When you say "the one," that there | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicorn? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Coumoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two 10 people you identify are people still employed by 11 Highland. Is that a coincidence? 12 A. No. I I also mentioned that it 13 was our team our real estate team and the tax 14 team at Highland. 15 Q. What role did Mr. Coumoyer play? 16 A. He was Highland corporate counsel; so 17 I assumed he represented Highland. 18 Q. I don't want your assumptions, sir. |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you did you did you ask 8 anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the 9 beneficiary of these tax benefits? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did SE Multifamily rely on Highland's 12 human resources to execute Project Unicom? 13 A. You mean their personnel? 14 Q. Yeah. 15 A. Other than the tax piece, no. 16 Q. So so Highland's tax department is 17 the one who supported this transaction; correct? 18 A. When you say "the one," that there 19 was a a large, large effort, teams of people | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicorn? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Cournoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two 10 people you identify are people still employed by 11 Highland. Is that a coincidence? 12 A. No. I I also mentioned that it 13 was our team our real estate team and the tax 14 team at Highland. 15 Q. What role did Mr. Cournoyer play? 16 A. He was Highland corporate counsel; so 17 I assumed he represented Highland. 18 Q. I don't want your assumptions, sir. 19 Tell me based on your personal knowledge, what do |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you did you did you ask 8 anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the 9 beneficiary of these tax benefits? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did SE Multifamily rely on Highland's 12 human resources to execute Project Unicom? 13 A. You mean their personnel? 14 Q. Yeah. 15 A. Other than the tax piece, no. 16 Q. So so Highland's tax department is 17 the one who supported this transaction; correct? 18 A. When you say "the one," that there 19 was a a large, large effort, teams of people 20 internally and externally, bankers internally, | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicom? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Cournoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two 10 people you identify are people still employed by 11 Highland. Is that a coincidence? 12 A. No. I I also mentioned that it 13 was our team our real estate team and the tax 14 team at Highland. 15 Q. What role did Mr. Cournoyer play? 16 A. He was Highland corporate counsel; so 17 I assumed he represented Highland. 18 Q. I don't want your assumptions, sir. 19 Tell me based on your personal knowledge, what do 20 you understand that Mr. Cournoyer did in |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going 4 to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's 5 inclusion was going to provide? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you did you did you ask 8 anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the 9 beneficiary of these tax benefits? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did SE Multifamily rely on Highland's 12 human resources to execute Project Unicom? 13 A. You mean their personnel? 14 Q. Yeah. 15 A. Other than the tax piece, no. 16 Q. So so Highland's tax department is 17 the one who supported this transaction; correct? 18 A. When you say "the one," that there 19 was a a large, large effort, teams of people 20 internally and externally, bankers internally, 21 banker or lawyers internally, lawyers | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicom? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Cournoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two 10 people you identify are people still employed by 11 Highland. Is that a coincidence? 12 A. No. I I also mentioned that it 13 was our team our real estate team and the tax 14 team at Highland. 15 Q. What role did Mr. Cournoyer play? 16 A. He was Highland corporate counsel; so 17 I assumed he represented Highland. 18 Q. I don't want your assumptions, sir. 19 Tell me based on your personal knowledge, what do 20 you understand that Mr. Cournoyer did in 21 connection with Project Unicom? |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. No. Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's inclusion was going to provide? A. No. Q. Did you did you did you ask anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the beneficiary of these tax benefits? A. No. L. Did SE Multifamily rely on Highland's human resources to execute Project Unicom? A. You mean their personnel? A. You mean their personnel? Q. Yeah. A. Other than the tax piece, no. Q. So so Highland's tax department is the one who supported this transaction; correct? A. When you say "the one," that there was a a large, large effort, teams of people internally and externally, bankers internally, banker or lawyers internally, lawyers externally. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicom? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Cournoyer, who I 6 believe works for — for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two 10 people you identify are people still employed by 11 Highland. Is that a coincidence? 12 A. No. I — I also mentioned that it 13 was our team — our real estate team and the tax 14 team at Highland. 15 Q. What role did Mr. Cournoyer play? 16 A. He was Highland corporate counsel; so 17 I assumed he represented Highland. 18 Q. I don't want your assumptions, sir. 19 Tell me based on your personal knowledge, what do 20 you understand that Mr. Cournoyer did in 21 connection with Project Unicom? 22 A. I believe he took place in the |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. No. Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's inclusion was going to provide? A. No. Q. Did you did you did you ask anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the beneficiary of these tax benefits? A. No. Q. Did SE Multifamily rely on Highland's human resources to execute Project Unicom? A. You mean their personnel? A. You mean their personnel? A. Other than the tax piece, no. Q. So so Highland's tax department is the one who supported this transaction; correct? A. When you say "the one," that there was a a large, large effort, teams of people internally and externally, bankers internally, banker or lawyers internally, lawyers externally. Q. Were there any | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicom? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Coumoyer, who I 6 believe works for for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two 10 people you identify are people still employed by 11 Highland. Is that a coincidence? 12 A. No. I I also mentioned that it 13 was our team our real estate team and the tax 14 team at Highland. 15 Q. What role did Mr. Cournoyer play? 16 A. He was Highland corporate counsel; so 17 I assumed he represented Highland. 18 Q. I don't want your assumptions, sir. 19 Tell me based on your personal knowledge, what do 20 you understand that Mr. Cournoyer did in 21 connection with Project Unicom? 22 A. I believe he took place in the 23 conversations around the agreements the LLC |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A. No. Q. Did you ask anybody if HCRE was going to benefit from the tax benefits that Highland's inclusion was going to provide? A. No. Q. Did you did you did you ask anybody if SE Multifamily was going to be the beneficiary of these tax benefits? A. No. L. Did SE Multifamily rely on Highland's human resources to execute Project Unicom? A. You mean their personnel? A. You mean their personnel? Q. Yeah. A. Other than the tax piece, no. Q. So so Highland's tax department is the one who supported this transaction; correct? A. When you say "the one," that there was a a large, large effort, teams of people internally and externally, bankers internally, banker or lawyers internally, lawyers externally. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 any lawyers employed by Highland who participated 3 in Project Unicom? 4 A. Everyone in the firm, Highland and 5 NexPoint knew about it. Tim Cournoyer, who I 6 believe works for — for Highland still, was in a 7 lot of these conversations. Mr. Sergent was 8 aware of them also. 9 Q. It's interesting that the only two 10 people you identify are people still employed by 11 Highland. Is that a coincidence? 12 A. No. I — I also mentioned that it 13 was our team — our real estate team and the tax 14 team at Highland. 15 Q. What role did Mr. Cournoyer play? 16 A. He was Highland corporate counsel; so 17 I assumed he represented Highland. 18 Q. I don't want your assumptions, sir. 19 Tell me based on your personal knowledge, what do 20 you understand that Mr. Cournoyer did in 21 connection with Project Unicom? 22 A. I believe he took place in the |

| EXHIBIT 11 | uge 20 of 10 | |
|---|--|---------|
| Page 94 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 95 |
| 2 Anything else you got? | 2 A. We were deferential, as we have to | |
| 3 A. He helped with the allocations of – | 3 be, to any protocols within this transaction. | |
| 4 the compliance allocations on where assets were | 4 The assets were chopped up amongst various | |
| 5 ultimately held. | 5 entities and funds' companies. So there was | |
| 6 Q. Does HCRE contend that Mr. Cournoyer | 6 there was a compliance aspect to it that was – | |
| 7 made any mistakes in any of the services he | 7 that was in their realm of expertise that we – | |
| 8 provided in connection with Project Unicorn? | 8 that we didn't. | |
| 9 A. No. | | |
| | 9 Q. But you made the decisions based on | |
| 10 Q. Did Mr. Cournoyer play any role in | 10 the advice that you received; correct? | |
| 11 the decision to include Highland as a member in | 11 A. Sure. | |
| 12 SE Multifamily? | 12 Q. You didn't delegate decision-making | |
| A. Not that I'm aware of. | 13 authority to anybody except BH Equities in terms | |
| 14 Q. Did Mr. Cournoyer play any role in | 14 of property management; correct? That's what we | |
| 15 the allocation of membership interests among the | 15 talked about earlier. | |
| 16 members of SE Multifamily? | A. Yeah, that's right. I mean, there's | |
| 17 A. No. | 17 - there's certain rules we had to follow based | |
| 18 Q. Did Mr. Cournoyer was | 18 upon compliance that, you know, it wasn't really | |
| 19 Mr. Cournoyer given any decision-making authority | 19 a my decision or anyone's decisions. We just | |
| 20 with respect to any aspect of SE Multifamily? | 20 had to follow the rules. | |
| 21 A. Any decision-make I don't know. | Q. Do you have any reason to believe | |
| 22 Q. Let me ask a better question. | 22 that Mr. Cournoyer did anything wrong in | |
| 23 Did you personally delegate any | 23 connection with anything that he did as part of | |
| 24 decision-making authority to Mr. Cournoyer with | 24 Project Unicom? | |
| 25 respect to Project Unicorn? | 25 A. No. | |
| | | |
| Page 96 | | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 got the tax group. Any other group? | Page 97 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection | 2 got the tax group. Any other group?3 A. The accounting group because Jim | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? | Page 97 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection | 2 got the tax group. Any other group?3 A. The accounting group because Jim | Page 97 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection with anything he may have done in connection with | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his on his | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; 9 correct? | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his – on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; 9 correct? 10 A. Correct. | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland 10 employees. | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; 9 correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And he didn't have anything to do | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland 10 employees. 11 Q. Okay. And does HCRE contend that any | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; 9 correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And he didn't have anything to do 12 with how the membership interests at | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his – on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland 10 employees. 11 Q. Okay. And does HCRE contend that any 12 Highland employee made a mistake or did anything | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; 9 correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And he didn't have anything to do 12 with how the membership interests at 13 SE Multifamily would be allocated among its | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland 10 employees. 11 Q. Okay. And does HCRE contend that any 12 Highland employee made a mistake or did anything 13 wrong in connection with any of their duties on | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; 9 correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And he didn't have anything to do 12 with how the membership interests at 13 SE Multifamily would be allocated among its 14 members; correct? | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland 10 employees. 11 Q. Okay. And does HCRE contend that any 12 Highland employee made a mistake or did anything 13 wrong in connection with any of their duties on 14 Project Unicorn? | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; 9 correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And he didn't have anything to do 12 with how the membership interests at 13 SE Multifamily would be allocated among its 14 members; correct? 15 A. Correct. | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his – on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland 10 employees. 11 Q. Okay. And does HCRE contend that any 12 Highland employee made a mistake or did anything 13 wrong in connection with any of their duties on 14 Project Unicom? 15 A. I mean, other than, again, | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; 9 correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And he didn't have anything to do 12 with how the membership interests at 13 SE Multifamily would be allocated among its 14 members; correct? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. I think you you mentioned earlier | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland 10 employees. 11 Q. Okay. And does HCRE contend that any 12 Highland employee made a mistake or did anything 13 wrong in connection with any of their duties on 14 Project Unicorn? 15 A. I mean, other than, again, 16 collectively not amending the document filing | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; 9 correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And he didn't have anything to do 12 with how the membership interests at 13 SE Multifamily would be allocated among its 14 members; correct? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. I think you you mentioned earlier 17 that everybody knew about this Project Unicorn. | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland 10 employees. 11 Q. Okay. And does HCRE contend that any 12 Highland employee made a mistake or did anything 13 wrong in connection with any of their duties on 14 Project Unicom? 15 A. I mean, other than, again, 16 collectively not amending the document filing 17 prior to the petition filing, no. | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; 9 correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And he didn't have anything to do 12 with how the membership interests at 13 SE Multifamily would be allocated among its 14 members; correct? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. I think you you mentioned earlier 17 that everybody knew about this Project Unicorn. 18 Do I have that right? | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his — on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland 10 employees. 11 Q. Okay. And does HCRE contend that any 12 Highland employee made a mistake or did anything 13 wrong in connection with any of their duties on 14 Project Unicom? 15 A. I mean, other than, again, 16 collectively not amending the document filing 17 prior to the petition filing, no. 18 Q. And was anybody ever instructed to do | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; 9 correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And he didn't have anything to do 12 with how the membership interests at 13 SE Multifamily would be allocated among its 14 members; correct? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. I think you you mentioned earlier 17 that everybody knew about this Project Unicom. 18 Do I have that right? 19 A. That's right. | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland 10 employees. 11 Q. Okay. And does HCRE contend that any 12 Highland employee made a mistake or did anything 13 wrong in connection with any of their duties on 14 Project Unicorn? 15 A. I mean, other than, again, 16 collectively not amending the document filing 17 prior to the petition filing, no. 18 Q. And was anybody ever instructed to do 19 what you just described? | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; 9 correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And he didn't have anything to do 12 with how the membership interests at 13 SE Multifamily would be allocated among its 14 members; correct? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. I think you you mentioned earlier 17 that everybody knew about this Project Unicorn. 18 Do I have that right? 19 A. That's right. 20 Q. Okay. I want to distinguish between | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland 10 employees. 11 Q. Okay. And does HCRE contend that any 12 Highland employee made a mistake or did anything 13 wrong in connection with any of their duties on 14 Project Unicom? 15 A. I mean, other than, again, 16 collectively not amending the document filing 17 prior to the petition filing, no. 18 Q. And was anybody ever instructed to do 19 what you just described? 20 A. Yeah, I I think I've testified | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; 9 correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And he didn't have anything to do 12 with how the membership interests at 13 SE Multifamily would be allocated among its 14 members; correct? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. I think you you mentioned earlier 17 that everybody knew about this Project Unicom. 18 Do I have that right? 19 A. That's right. 20 Q. Okay. I want to distinguish between 21 what you think everybody knew and what you know | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his — on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland 10 employees. 11 Q. Okay. And does HCRE contend that any 12 Highland employee made a mistake or did anything 13 wrong in connection with any of their duties on 14 Project Unicom? 15 A. I mean, other than, again, 16 collectively not amending the document filing 17 prior to the petition filing, no. 18 Q. And was anybody ever instructed to do 19 what you just described? 20 A. Yeah, I — I think I've testified 21 earlier that I asked our internal legal team to | Page 97 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection with anything he may have done in connection with Project Unicom? A. No. Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; correct? A. Correct. A. Correct. A. Correct. SE Multifamily would be allocated among its members; correct? A. Correct. A. Correct. Q. I think you you mentioned earlier that everybody knew about this Project Unicom. Do I have that right? A. That's right. Q. Okay. I want to distinguish between what you think everybody knew and what you know actually happened. | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his — on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland 10 employees. 11 Q. Okay. And does HCRE contend that any 12 Highland employee made a mistake or did anything 13 wrong in connection with any of their duties on 14 Project Unicom? 15 A. I mean, other than, again, 16 collectively not amending the document filing 17 prior to the petition filing, no. 18 Q. And was anybody ever instructed to do 19 what you just described? 20 A. Yeah, I — I think I've testified 21 earlier that I asked our internal legal team to 22 review — to conduct a reverse due diligence on | Page 97 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 3 that Mr. Sergent did anything wrong in connection 4 with anything he may have done in connection with 5 Project Unicom? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Mr. Sergent wasn't involved in the 8 decision to include Highland in SE Multifamily; 9 correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And he didn't have anything to do 12 with how the membership interests at 13 SE Multifamily would be allocated among its 14 members; correct? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. I think you you mentioned earlier 17 that everybody knew about this Project Unicom. 18 Do I have that right? 19 A. That's right. 20 Q. Okay. I want to distinguish between 21 what you think everybody knew and what you know 22 actually happened. 23 Other than the tax department at | 2 got the tax group. Any other group? 3 A. The accounting group because Jim 4 pledged \$400 million of assets on his on his 5 personal balance sheet. So the accounting team, 6 the finance team, Frank Waterhouse, David Klos, 7 those guys would have been intimately familiar 8 with it. His personal accountant, Melissa 9 Schroth, I think those were all Highland 10 employees. 11 Q. Okay. And does HCRE contend that any 12 Highland employee made a mistake or did anything 13 wrong in connection with any of their duties on 14 Project Unicom? 15 A. I mean, other than, again, 16 collectively not amending the document filing 17 prior to the petition filing, no. 18 Q. And was anybody ever instructed to do 19 what you just described? 20 A. Yeah, I I think I've testified 21 earlier that I asked our internal legal team to 22 review to conduct a reverse due diligence on 23 our real estate agreements. | Page 97 |

| | | Page 99 |
|---|--|----------|
| Page 98 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | rage 99 |
| 2 A. Yeah, that's right. | 2 A. No. | |
| 3 Q. But you can't think of anything else; | 3 Q. Did anybody ever tell you before the | |
| 4 correct? | 4 document was signed that they believed there was | |
| 5 A. No, correct. | 5 a mistake in this document? | |
| 6 Q. All right. Let's just look quickly | 6 A. No. | |
| 7 at the original LLC agreement. It's Exhibit 2. | 7 Q. Did you did you provide any | |
| 8 We can put that up on the screen. | 8 comments to this document before it was signed? | |
| 9 (Exhibit 2 was marked.) | 9 A. No. I mean, other than just to | |
| 10 Q. All right. Do you see that this is | 10 review the economic provisions, no. | |
| 11 the original LLC agreement dated as of August 23, | 11 Q. And did the economic provisions | |
| 12 2018? | 12 include the allocation of membership interests? | |
| 13 A. I do. | 13 A. Sure. | |
| 14 Q. And you saw this before today; right? | 14 Q. So you personally reviewed the | |
| 15 A. I have. | 15 allocation of membership interests in this | |
| 16 Q. Yeah. I'll represent to you that | 16 document before it was signed; correct? | |
| 17 this is the document bearing Mr. Dondero's | 17 A. Sure. | |
| 18 signatures on behalf of HCRE and Highland. | 18 Q. And you didn't you didn't believe | |
| 19 Did you personally see this document | 19 there was any error in that regard; correct? | |
| 20 before Mr. Dondero signed it? | 20 A. Not as of the date of this agreement, | |
| 21 A. Iterations of it. | 21 no. | |
| 22 Q. Did you ever tell anybody that you | 22 Q. Okay. In your these questions are | |
| 23 thought there was a mistake in connection with | 23 now specifically in your capacity as a 30(b)(6) | |
| 24 any provision of this document before Mr. Dondero | 24 witness for HCRE. | |
| 25 signed it? | 25 Do you know whether were were | |
| Page 100 | | Page 101 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | |
| 2 HCRE and HCMLP engaged in an arm's length | 2 firms that I mentioned earlier were were | |
| 3 negotiation for this document? Do you know? | 3 were part of this and laid eyes on it. It's | |
| 4 A. I I would say we were all in the | 4 Winston & Strawn is our public company, corporate | |
| 5 same rowboat. | C acres and Mich Dhilling which are and the | |
| | 5 company, Wick Phillips, which generally does the | |
| 6 Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. | |
| 6 Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't 7 receive their own independent counsel, to the | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your | |
| 6 Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't 7 receive their own independent counsel, to the 8 best of your knowledge; correct? | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms | |
| 6 Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't 7 receive their own independent counsel, to the 8 best of your knowledge; correct? 9 A. I think that's fair. | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland | |
| 6 Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't 7 receive their own independent counsel, to the 8 best of your knowledge; correct? 9 A. I think that's fair. 10 Q. And it's fair to say that the two | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is | |
| 6 Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't 7 receive their own independent counsel, to the 8 best of your knowledge; correct? 9 A. I think that's fair. 10 Q. And it's fair to say that the two 11 entities were under the control of Mr. Dondero, | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is 11 that fair? | |
| Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't receive their own independent counsel, to the best of your knowledge; correct? A. I think that's fair. Q. And it's fair to say that the two entities were under the control of Mr. Dondero, and everybody working on behalf of Mr. Dondero | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is 11 that fair? 12 A. I think that's fair. | |
| 6 Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't 7 receive their own independent counsel, to the 8 best of your knowledge; correct? 9 A. I think that's fair. 10 Q. And it's fair to say that the two 11 entities were under the control of Mr. Dondero, 12 and everybody working on behalf of Mr. Dondero 13 was engaged in in this project; correct? | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is 11 that fair? 12 A. I think that's fair. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know do you have an | |
| 6 Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't 7 receive their own independent counsel, to the 8 best of your knowledge; correct? 9 A. I think that's fair. 10 Q. And it's fair to say that the two 11 entities were under the control of Mr. Dondero, 12 and everybody working on behalf of Mr. Dondero 13 was engaged in in this project; correct? 14 A. That that's right. As of the date | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is 11 that fair? 12 A. I think that's fair. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know do you have an 14 understanding as to who was responsible for | |
| Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't receive their own independent counsel, to the best of your knowledge; correct? A. I think that's fair. Q. And it's fair to say that the two entities were under the control of Mr. Dondero, and everybody working on behalf of Mr. Dondero was engaged in in this project; correct? A. That that's right. As of the date of this agreement, that's right. | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is 11 that fair? 12 A. I think that's fair. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know do you have an 14 understanding as to who was responsible for 15 looking out for HCRE's interests in the drafting | |
| Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't receive their own independent counsel, to the best of your knowledge; correct? A. I think that's fair. Q. And it's fair to say that the two entities were under the control of Mr. Dondero, and everybody working on behalf of Mr. Dondero was engaged in in this project; correct? A. That that's right. As of the date of this agreement, that's right. Q. That's right. And that was true | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is 11 that fair? 12 A. I think that's fair. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know do you have an 14 understanding as to who was responsible for 15 looking out for HCRE's interests in the drafting 16 of this document? Was it really just the | |
| 6 Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't 7 receive their own independent counsel, to the 8 best of your knowledge; correct? 9 A. I think that's fair. 10 Q. And it's fair to say that the two 11 entities were under the control of Mr. Dondero, 12 and everybody working on behalf of Mr. Dondero 13 was engaged in in this project; correct? 14 A. That that's right. As of the date 15 of this agreement, that's right. 16 Q. That's right. And that was true 17 until the petition date; isn't that right? | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is 11 that fair? 12 A. I think that's fair. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know do you have an 14 understanding as to who was responsible for 15 looking out for HCRE's interests in the drafting 16 of this document? Was it really just the 17 collective "we"? | |
| Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't receive their own independent counsel, to the best of your knowledge; correct? A. I think that's fair. Q. And it's fair to say that the two entities were under the control of Mr. Dondero, and everybody working on behalf of Mr. Dondero was engaged in in this project; correct? A. That that's right. As of the date of this agreement, that's right. Q. That's right. And that was true until the petition date; isn't that right? A. I would say it was true a couple of | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is 11 that fair? 12 A. I think that's fair. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know do you have an 14 understanding as to who was responsible for 15 looking out for HCRE's interests in the drafting 16 of this document? Was it really just the 17 collective "we"? 18 A. Yeah, I I mean, I think it was a | |
| 6 Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't 7 receive their own independent counsel, to the 8 best of your knowledge; correct? 9 A. I think that's fair. 10 Q. And it's fair to say that the two 11 entities were under the control of Mr. Dondero, 12 and everybody working on behalf of Mr. Dondero 13 was engaged in in this project; correct? 14 A. That that's right. As of the date 15 of this agreement, that's right. 16 Q. That's right. And that was true 17 until the petition date; isn't that right? 18 A. I would say it was true a couple of 19 week until a couple of weeks before the | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is 11 that fair? 12 A. I think that's fair. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know do you have an 14 understanding as to who was responsible for 15 looking out for HCRE's interests in the drafting 16 of this document? Was it really just the 17 collective "we"? 18 A. Yeah, I I mean, I think it was a 19 again, I think it was a collaborative as of | |
| Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't receive their own independent counsel, to the best of your knowledge; correct? A. I think that's fair. Q. And it's fair to say that the two entities were under the control of Mr. Dondero, and everybody working on behalf of Mr. Dondero was engaged in in this project; correct? A. That that's right. As of the date of this agreement, that's right. Q. That's right. And that was true until the petition date; isn't that right? A. I would say it was true a couple of week until a couple of weeks before the petition date when I learned that what was | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is 11 that fair? 12 A. I think that's fair. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know do you have an 14 understanding as to who was responsible for 15 looking out for HCRE's interests in the drafting 16 of this document? Was it really just the 17 collective "we"? 18 A. Yeah, I I mean, I think it was a 19 again, I think it was a collaborative as of 20 the date of this agreement, it was a | |
| 6 Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't 7 receive their own independent counsel, to the 8 best of your knowledge; correct? 9 A. I think that's fair. 10 Q. And it's fair to say that the two 11 entities were under the control of Mr. Dondero, 12 and everybody working on behalf of Mr. Dondero 13 was engaged in in this project; correct? 14 A. That that's right. As of the date 15 of this agreement, that's right. 16 Q. That's right. And that was true 17 until the petition date; isn't that right? 18 A. I would say it was true a couple of 19 week until a couple of weeks before the 20 petition date when I learned that what was 21 going to happen, yeah. | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is 11 that fair? 12 A. I think that's fair. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know do you have an 14 understanding as to who was responsible for 15 looking out for HCRE's interests in the drafting 16 of this document? Was it really just the 17 collective "we"? 18 A. Yeah, I I mean, I think it was a 19 again, I think it was a collaborative as of 20 the date of this agreement, it was a 21 collaborative effort. | |
| Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't receive their own independent counsel, to the best of your knowledge; correct? A. I think that's fair. Q. And it's fair to say that the two entities were under the control of Mr. Dondero, and everybody working on behalf of Mr. Dondero was engaged in in this project; correct? A. That that's right. As of the date of this agreement, that's right. Q. That's right. And that was true until the petition date; isn't that right? A. I would say it was true a couple of week until a couple of weeks before the petition date when I learned that what was going to happen, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know if any outside | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is 11 that fair? 12 A. I think that's fair. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know do you have an 14 understanding as to who was responsible for 15 looking out for HCRE's interests in the drafting 16 of this document? Was it really just the 17 collective "we"? 18 A. Yeah, I I mean, I think it was a 19 again, I think it was a collaborative as of 20 the date of this agreement, it was a 21 collaborative effort. 22 Q. So that there wasn't one person or | |
| Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't receive their own independent counsel, to the best of your knowledge; correct? A. I think that's fair. Q. And it's fair to say that the two entities were under the control of Mr. Dondero, and everybody working on behalf of Mr. Dondero was engaged in in this project; correct? A. That that's right. As of the date of this agreement, that's right. Q. That's right. And that was true until the petition date; isn't that right? A. I would say it was true a couple of week until a couple of weeks before the petition date when I learned that what was going to happen, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know if any outside counsel provided advice in connection with the | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is 11 that fair? 12 A. I think that's fair. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know do you have an 14 understanding as to who was responsible for 15 looking out for HCRE's interests in the drafting 16 of this document? Was it really just the 17 collective "we"? 18 A. Yeah, I I mean, I think it was a 19 again, I think it was a collaborative as of 20 the date of this agreement, it was a 21 collaborative effort. 22 Q. So that there wasn't one person or 23 one law firm who was looking out solely for | |
| Q. Okay. So so HCRE and HCMLP didn't receive their own independent counsel, to the best of your knowledge; correct? A. I think that's fair. Q. And it's fair to say that the two entities were under the control of Mr. Dondero, and everybody working on behalf of Mr. Dondero was engaged in in this project; correct? A. That that's right. As of the date of this agreement, that's right. Q. That's right. And that was true until the petition date; isn't that right? A. I would say it was true a couple of week until a couple of weeks before the petition date when I learned that what was going to happen, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know if any outside | 6 dirt work, quote/unquote, and Hunton & Williams. 7 Q. And and to the best of your 8 knowledge and understanding, those three firms 9 provided the advice jointly to the Highland 10 enterprise, which included HCRE and HCMLP. Is 11 that fair? 12 A. I think that's fair. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know do you have an 14 understanding as to who was responsible for 15 looking out for HCRE's interests in the drafting 16 of this document? Was it really just the 17 collective "we"? 18 A. Yeah, I I mean, I think it was a 19 again, I think it was a collaborative as of 20 the date of this agreement, it was a 21 collaborative effort. 22 Q. So that there wasn't one person or | |

| | Page 26 01 79 |
|--|--|
| Page 102 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 103 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 that's right. | 2 deals with us, and they'll still manage assets |
| 3 Q. And there wasn't one person or one | 3 for us today. |
| 4 law firm who was looking out solely for | 4 Q. And BH Equities became a member of |
| 5 Highland's interests; correct? | 5 SE Multifamily in March 2019; correct? |
| 6 A. I think I'd agree with that. | 6 A. That's correct. |
| 7 Q. And is it would you be comfortable | 7 Q. Did you personally speak with folks |
| 8 in just characterizing the work of Winston, | 8 at BH Equities in connection with the negotiation |
| 9 Wick Phillips, and who was the law | 9 or drafting of the Amended and Restated |
| 10 A. Hunton & Williams. | 10 SE Multifamily LLC Agreement? |
| 11 Q Hunton & Williams as as being | 11 A. Yes. |
| 12 kind of a joint representation? | 12 Q. Can you recall the substance of your |
| 13 A. That was my perspective. | 13 communications with BH Equities on on just |
| 14 Q. Let's turn to BH Equities. You're | 14 on the topic of the Amended and Restated LLC |
| 15 familiar with that entity; correct? | 15 Agreement? |
| 16 A. Iam. | 16 A. Yeah, I can. |
| 17 Q. Do you know how they got involved in | 17 Q. Please do so. |
| 18 Project Unicom? | 18 A. My primary contact at the time was |
| 19 A. Ido. | 19 Ben Rhode. Ben was their acquisitions senior |
| 20 Q. How did how did BH Equities get | 20 acquisitions manager and and probably our best |
| 21 involved in Project Unicom? | 21 relationship guy within BH. Given that the |
| 22 A. They they have BH Equities and | 22 trauma that had occurred with the KeyBank |
| 23 BH Management had from 2013 to 2014 been our sole | 23 re-trade, having to go find \$150 million in |
| 24 multi-family management partner. They would | 24 60 days, he was he and BH were okay with |
| 25 invest a sliver of equity in in the variety of | 25 waiting to see how the transaction would |
| | |
| Page 104 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 109 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 ultimately evolve. | 2 just identified, which is whether BH Equities |
| 3 And, you know, I think while they had | 3 would get more than the 6 percent, did you |
| 4 6 percent interest, that they probably wanted | 4 personally participate in any discussions with |
| 5 more. They did want more. But, again, it was a | 5 BH Equities regarding any aspect of the Amended |
| 6 there was a lot of wood to chop to pay down | 6 and Restated LLC Agreement? |
| 7 pay down that debt. So we kind of put that piece | 7 A. No. |
| 8 the the extension or the increase in their | 8 Q. Okay. |
| 9 in their allocation off until we figured that | 9 A. Well, I think we wanted to make |
| 10 — that paydown out. | 10 other than making sure that the waterfall to pay |
| 11 Q. And and you put it off until the | 11 off the debt was congruent with both of our |
| 12 moment that you signed the Amended and Restated | 12 understandings. |
| 13 LLC Agreement; is that fair? | 13 Q. So you you participated in the |
| 14 A. No. The Amended and Restated LLC | 14 in communications regarding the waterfall. Do I |
| 15 Agreement just reflected what we believe their | 15 have that right? |
| 16 capital contribution was as a percentage of the | 16 A. Yes. |
| 17 equity. | 17 Q. Okay. We'll get to that in a minute. |
| 18 Q. So discussions continued about their | 18 Were you involved in the negotiation |
| 19 — the extent of their interests post signing. | 19 of the terms of the KeyBank loan agreement? |
| 20 Do I have that right? | 20 A. Yeah, very much so. |
| 21 A. That's correct. | 21 Q. Okay. Were you aware that Highland |
| 22 Q. But no but no agreement was ever | 22 was going to be jointly and severally liable for |
| ן בב ע. בענווט מענווט מעוככוווכווג אימס כעכו | |
| | 23 all of the obligations under the KovRank loan? |
| 23 reached on an amendment of any kind; right? | 23 all of the obligations under the KeyBank loan? |
| | 23 all of the obligations under the KeyBank loan? 24 A. I was, but there was a little nuance 25 to that piece. |

| | age 23 01 73 | |
|---|--|----------|
| Page 106 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 107 |
| 2 Q. Okay. What was the nuance? | 2 any co-borrower on a joint and several basis. Do | |
| 3 A. I think it's Section 5.12 of the loan | 3 I have that right? | |
| 4 agreement lays out the collateral package which | 4 A. Yeah, it's a million three shares | |
| 5 describe the \$400 million of assets that were | 5 worth 75 million. After they get through their | |
| 6 pledged off of Jim's balance sheet largely. We | 6 75 million, they would have to they could | |
| 7 wanted to make sure that in the event of a | 7 choose whatever wherever they wanted to go. | |
| 8 default, that there wasn't contagion risk on a | 8 Q. Okay. Did Highland receive anything | |
| 9 joint and several basis. | 9 in return, to the best of your knowledge, in | |
| So there's a provision in there that | 10 exchange for its commitment to be jointly and | |
| 11 mandates that Key has to foreclose on the | 11 severally liable under the KeyBank loan, subject | |
| 12 publicly traded stock first, sort of a priority, | 12 to the exception that you just described? | |
| 13 if you will, of of exercising their | 13 A. Yeah, they received \$1.14 million | |
| 14 foreclosure rights in the event of default. So | 14 within 30 days of the transaction closing, which | |
| 15 while there's a joint and several piece to it, | 15 was, I think, 23 times their investment. | |
| 16 there's – it's a mitigating factor. | 16 Q. What did they receive that money for? | |
| 17 Q. And that mitigating factor was a | 17 A. Pain and suffering for KeyBank | |
| 18 direction to KeyBank that they had to go after | 18 re-trading us, they – KeyBank sent – sent back | |
| 19 the public stock before they could go after the | 19 a piece of their commitment fees. | |
| 20 assets of any co-borrower. Do I have that right? | 20 Q. And how was that how was that | |
| 21 A. That's right. And the stock was | 21 calculated? | |
| 22 owned by by Jim. | 22 A. It was calculated on a daily | |
| 23 Q. Okay. Other than and what was the | 23 outstanding basis. They they sent us a | |
| 24 - okay. So they have to go after that first, | 24 spreadsheet on how they calculated it, but they | |
| 25 but after that, KeyBank had the right to go after | 25 realized that we had a traumatic experience, | |
| | | |
| Pogo 109 | | Page 100 |
| Page 108 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 109 |
| | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. It was sort of out of necessity, if | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | | Page 109 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. | 2 A. It was sort of out of necessity, if | Page 109 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. We were becoming well known, and there was a lot | A. It was sort of out of necessity, if you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. | Page 109 |
| MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. We were becoming well known, and there was a lot of reputational issues for them. So they tried | 2 A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, | 2 A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. | A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any | A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? | 2 A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. | 2 A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged sorry, just one | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Why was the decision made to give it | 2 A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged sorry, just one 10 more and it pledged a significant amount of | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Why was the decision made to give it 11 to Highland? | 2 A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged sorry, just one 10 more and it pledged a significant amount of 11 its assets that it had at the time. | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Why was the decision made to give it 11 to Highland? 12 A. Jim asked me to — when I told Jim | 2 A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged sorry, just one 10 more and it pledged a significant amount of 11 its assets that it had at the time. 12 Q. Did Highland agree that HCMLP would | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Why was the decision made to give it 11 to Highland? 12 A. Jim asked me to — when I told Jim 13 about the money, he said go — go ask Klos where | 2 A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged sorry, just one 10 more and it pledged a significant amount of 11 its assets that it had at the time. 12 Q. Did Highland agree that HCMLP would 13 withdrawn. | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Why was the decision made to give it 11 to Highland? 12 A. Jim asked me to — when I told Jim 13 about the money, he said go — go ask Klos where 14 he wants it to be sent, send it to Highland. | 2 A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged sorry, just one 10 more and it pledged a significant amount of 11 its assets that it had at the time. 12 Q. Did Highland agree that HCMLP would 13 withdrawn. 14 Did Highland agree that HCRE should | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Why was the decision made to give it 11 to Highland? 12 A. Jim asked me to — when I told Jim 13 about the money, he said go — go ask Klos where 14 he wants it to be sent, send it to Highland. 15 Q. What were the other options? Do you | 2 A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged sorry, just one 10 more and it pledged a significant amount of 11 its assets that it had at the time. 12 Q. Did Highland agree that HCMLP would 13 withdrawn. 14 Did Highland agree that HCRE should 15 be designated as the lead borrower? | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Why was the decision made to give it 11 to Highland? 12 A. Jim asked me to — when I told Jim 13 about the money, he said go — go ask Klos where 14 he wants it to be sent, send it to Highland. 15 Q. What were the other options? Do you 16 know? | A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged sorry, just one 10 more and it pledged a significant amount of 11 its assets that it had at the time. 12 Q. Did Highland agree that HCMLP would 13 withdrawn. 14 Did Highland agree that HCRE should 15 be designated as the lead borrower? 16 A. I think they were deferential to Jim | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Why was the decision made to give it 11 to Highland? 12 A. Jim asked me to — when I told Jim 13 about the money, he said go — go ask Klos where 14 he wants it to be sent, send it to Highland. 15 Q. What were the other options? Do you 16 know? 17 A. Didn't ask. | 2 A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged sorry, just one 10 more and it pledged a significant amount of 11 its assets that it had at the time. 12 Q. Did Highland agree that HCMLP would 13 withdrawn. 14 Did Highland agree that HCRE should 15 be designated as the lead borrower? 16 A. I think they were deferential to Jim 17 and I on on that piece. | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Why was the decision made to give it 11 to Highland? 12 A. Jim asked me to when I told Jim 13 about the money, he said go go ask Klos where 14 he wants it to be sent, send it to Highland. 15 Q. What were the other options? Do you 16 know? 17 A. Didn't ask. 18 Q. Was any other money returned? | A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged sorry, just one 10 more and it pledged a significant amount of 11 its assets that it had at the time. 12 Q. Did Highland agree that HCMLP would 13 withdrawn. 14 Did Highland agree that HCRE should 15 be designated as the lead borrower? 16 A. I think they were deferential to Jim 17 and I on on that piece. 18 Q. In fact, Jim made that decision on | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Why was the decision made to give it 11 to Highland? 12 A. Jim asked me to when I told Jim 13 about the money, he said go go ask Klos where 14 he wants it to be sent, send it to Highland. 15 Q. What were the other options? Do you 16 know? 17 A. Didn't ask. 18 Q. Was any other money returned? 19 A. Just that. | A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged sorry, just one 10 more and it pledged a significant amount of 11 its assets that it had at the time. 12 Q. Did Highland agree that HCMLP would 13 withdrawn. 14 Did Highland agree that HCRE should 15 be designated as the lead borrower? 16 A. I think they were deferential to Jim 17 and I on on that piece. 18 Q. In fact, Jim made that decision on 19 behalf of Highland; correct? | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Why was the decision made to give it 11 to Highland? 12 A. Jim asked me to when I told Jim 13 about the money, he said go go ask Klos where 14 he wants it to be sent, send it to Highland. 15 Q. What were the other options? Do you 16 know? 17 A. Didn't ask. 18 Q. Was any other money returned? 19 A. Just that. 20 Q. Okay. Did you understand at the time | A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged sorry, just one 10 more and it pledged a significant amount of 11 its assets that it had at the time. 12 Q. Did Highland agree that HCMLP would 13 withdrawn. 14 Did Highland agree that HCRE should 15 be designated as the lead borrower? 16 A. I think they were deferential to Jim 17 and I on on that piece. 18 Q. In fact, Jim made that decision on 19 behalf of Highland; correct? 20 A. I yeah, I presume so. | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Why was the decision made to give it 11 to Highland? 12 A. Jim asked me to — when I told Jim 13 about the money, he said go — go ask Klos where 14 he wants it to be sent, send it to Highland. 15 Q. What were the other options? Do you 16 know? 17 A. Didn't ask. 18 Q. Was any other money returned? 19 A. Just that. 20 Q. Okay. Did you understand at the time 21 that the KeyBank loan was entered into, that HCRE | A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged sorry, just one 10 more and it pledged a significant amount of 11 its assets that it had at the time. 12 Q. Did Highland agree that HCMLP would 13 withdrawn. 14 Did Highland agree that HCRE should 15 be designated as the lead borrower? 16 A. I think they were deferential to Jim 17 and I on on that piece. 18 Q. In fact, Jim made that decision on 19 behalf of Highland; correct? 20 A. I yeah, I presume so. 21 Q. Did you have any understanding as to | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Why was the decision made to give it 11 to Highland? 12 A. Jim asked me to when I told Jim 13 about the money, he said go go ask Klos where 14 he wants it to be sent, send it to Highland. 15 Q. What were the other options? Do you 16 know? 17 A. Didn't ask. 18 Q. Was any other money returned? 19 A. Just that. 20 Q. Okay. Did you understand at the time 21 that the KeyBank loan was entered into, that HCRE 22 was going to be designated as the lead borrower? | A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think — I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged — sorry, just one 10 more — and it pledged a significant amount of 11 its assets that it had at the time. 12 Q. Did Highland agree that HCMLP would 13 — withdrawn. 14 Did Highland agree that HCRE should 15 be designated as the lead borrower? 16 A. I think they were deferential to Jim 17 and I on — on that piece. 18 Q. In fact, Jim made that decision on 19 behalf of Highland; correct? 20 A. I — yeah, I presume so. 21 Q. Did you have any understanding as to 22 how much money the lead borrower was going to | Page 109 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Starwood was the seller, they're very well known. 3 We were becoming well known, and there was a lot 4 of reputational issues for them. So they tried 5 to do right by us and they sent the money back, 6 and we sent it to Highland. 7 Q. Was it to reimburse Highland for any 8 expenses? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Why was the decision made to give it 11 to Highland? 12 A. Jim asked me to when I told Jim 13 about the money, he said go go ask Klos where 14 he wants it to be sent, send it to Highland. 15 Q. What were the other options? Do you 16 know? 17 A. Didn't ask. 18 Q. Was any other money returned? 19 A. Just that. 20 Q. Okay. Did you understand at the time 21 that the KeyBank loan was entered into, that HCRE 22 was going to be designated as the lead borrower? 23 A. It was yeah, I did, yeah. | A. It was sort of out of necessity, if 3 you will, but it was ultimately Jim and myself. 4 Q. And why did you decide to designate 5 HCRE as the lead borrower among all of the 6 co-borrowers? 7 A. I think I think because it had the 8 most flexibility in its operating agreement and 9 the mandate. And it pledged sorry, just one 10 more and it pledged a significant amount of 11 its assets that it had at the time. 12 Q. Did Highland agree that HCMLP would 13 withdrawn. 14 Did Highland agree that HCRE should 15 be designated as the lead borrower? 16 A. I think they were deferential to Jim 17 and I on on that piece. 18 Q. In fact, Jim made that decision on 19 behalf of Highland; correct? 20 A. I yeah, I presume so. 21 Q. Did you have any understanding as to 22 how much money the lead borrower was going to 23 borrow under the KeyBank loan prior to the time | Page 109 |

| EXHIBIT / I | Page 30 01 79 | |
|---|---|----------|
| Page 110 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 111 |
| 2 collateral package that we put together. | 2 Q. Then let's go to proceeds. | |
| 3 Q. I'm – I'm looking at it from the | 3 A. Okay. | |
| 4 other perspective, from the other side. How much | 4 Q. Right? I mean, \$250 million was | |
| 5 how much did you expect a need to borrow? | 5 allocated to HCRE for purposes of the Amended and | |
| 6 A. Sorry, say that again. | 6 Restated LLC Agreement; correct? | |
| 7 Q. How much – how much did the | 7 A. Correct. | |
| 8 borrowers expect to need to borrow under the | 8 Q. Okay. And who made that decision? | |
| 9 KeyBank loan document before they signed it? | 9 A. I think it was collective - | |
| 10 They have you had a plan; right? | 10 collectively HCRE and and KeyBank. | |
| 11 A. Yeah, we did. We needed I think, | 11 Q. All right. We'll get to this in a | |
| 12 roughly, 330, 340 million dollars. | 12 minute. Let's talk about the events leading up | |
| 13 Q. And did you understand that under the | 13 to the signing of the amended and restated. | |
| 14 KeyBank loan documentation, HCRE as the lead | 14 The KeyBank loan closed in | |
| 15 borrower would have the ability to allocate the | 15 September 2018; correct? | |
| 16 proceeds among the co-borrowers? | 16 A. Correct. | |
| 17 A. No, that's not how it worked. | 17 Q. And y'all had 60 days to pay back | |
| 18 Q. Ultimately, all of the loan proceeds | 18 \$150 million; is that right? | |
| 19 were allocated to HCRE; correct? | 19 A. That's right. | |
| 20 A. Loan proceeds? As the the loan | 20 Q. And so you spent those 60 days | |
| 21 the loan proceeds or the loan allocation? | 21 selling some of the real estate you had just | |
| 22 Q. Loan allocation. | 22 purchased in order to pay back the loan; is that | |
| 23 A. Okay. The allocation was made on a | 23 fair? | |
| 24 per-asset basis tested by an LTV ratio that Key | A. No. We went and got we went and | |
| 25 and we on the real estate agreed. | 25 got the capital from a third party. | |
| | | D 110 |
| Page 112 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 113 |
| 2 Q. Who did you get the capital from? | 2 A. They did. | |
| 3 A. Walker & Dunlop. | 3 Q. And so following the closing and the | |
| 4 Q. How much did Walker & Dunlop loan? | 4 paydown of the \$150 million, discussions | |
| 5 A. They KeyBank cut the cut the | 5 continued with BH Equities as to the scope of | |
| 6 \$330 million loan into two pieces, an A and a B | 6 their membership interests in to-be-formed or | |
| 7 note. They sold, if you will, 150 of the B note | 7 to-be-amended SE Multifamily LLC agreement; | |
| 8 to to Walker, and that counted towards our | 8 correct? | |
| 9 paydown requirement. | 9 A. I think we all had questions on our | |
| 10 Q. Okay. And BH Equities had put in | 10 scope of what what was going on, yeah. | |
| 11 approximately \$20 million towards Project | 11 Q. But it's fair to say that by the time | |
| 12 Unicom; correct? | 12 the Amended and Restated LLC Agreement, | |
| 13 A. Sounds about right. | 13 BH Equities had put in all of the capital that it | |
| 14 Q. And that money was funded before the | 14 ever put into this project; correct? | |
| 15 closing of the KeyBank loan; is that right? | 15 A. I think that's I think that's | |
| 16 A. Some of it was and I believe they | 16 right. | |
| 17 contributed some at closing to cover some some | | |
| The continuous de circuity to cover continuous | 17 Q. And prior to the time the Amended and | |
| 18 prorations and some other costs. | 17 Q. And prior to the time the Amended and18 Restated LLC Agreement, Highland had put in all | |
| | 1 | |
| 18 prorations and some other costs. | 18 Restated LLC Agreement, Highland had put in all | |
| prorations and some other costs.Q. So let me restate the question. All | 18 Restated LLC Agreement, Highland had put in all19 of the capital that it was ever going to put into | |
| prorations and some other costs. Q. So let me restate the question. All of BH Equities' \$20 million capital contribution | 18 Restated LLC Agreement, Highland had put in all19 of the capital that it was ever going to put into20 the project; correct? | |
| prorations and some other costs. Q. So let me restate the question. All of BH Equities' \$20 million capital contribution was funded on or before the closing of the | 18 Restated LLC Agreement, Highland had put in all 19 of the capital that it was ever going to put into 20 the project; correct? 21 A. Correct. | |
| prorations and some other costs. Q. So let me restate the question. All of BH Equities' \$20 million capital contribution was funded on or before the closing of the KeyBank loan; correct? | 18 Restated LLC Agreement, Highland had put in all 19 of the capital that it was ever going to put into 20 the project; correct? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. And prior to the time that the | |
| 18 prorations and some other costs. 19 Q. So let me restate the question. All 20 of BH Equities' \$20 million capital contribution 21 was funded on or before the closing of the 22 KeyBank loan; correct? 23 A. That's fair. | 18 Restated LLC Agreement, Highland had put in all 19 of the capital that it was ever going to put into 20 the project; correct? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. And prior to the time that the 23 Amended and Restated LLC Agreement was signed in | |

| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 corror? 3 A Turns out that way, yeah. 4 Q. Olay, So that at the time that the 5 agreement was speed, to the best of your 5 Mr. Rawer. 5 person that speed, to the best of your 5 Mr. Rawer. 6 browledge, HCRE, Highland, and BH Equities all 7 knew and undestool how much capte lack of the 8 members had not included to \$4 Mr. Rawer is? 7 knew and undestool how much capte lack of the 8 members had not included to \$4 Mr. Rawer is? 8 content? 9 content? 9 content? 10 A Leart – Leart speek for what BH 10 Q. Doyou show who Mr. Rawer is? 11 thought the – whore the capital caren from, from 14 thought the – whore the capital caren from, from 14 mr. Patrick and 15 person who was a second to the 14 question. So as of the date that the Amended and 15 person who was a second to the 15 person who was a second to the 16 person who was a second to the 16 person who was a second to the prospective members to the 16 person who was a second to the prospective members to the 20 agreement correc? 10 A Life that for right 10 person was a second to the prospective members to the 20 agreement correc? 11 A Life that for right 10 person was a second to the prospective members to the 15 person was a second to the prospective members to the 20 agreement correc? 12 A Child was marked.) 15 Cash allocation below 50 person to HOMLP to 20 agreement correct? 16 Cash allocation below 50 person to HOMLP to 20 agreement is speed to 17 years white the order to the prospective members to the 20 acid correctionated to 20 person to HOMLP to 20 acid correctionated to 20 person to HOMLP to 20 acid correctionated to 20 person to HOMLP to 20 acid correctionated to 20 person to HOMLP to 20 person to 40 per | | 1 age 01 01 70 |
|--|--|--|
| 2 CoRes 2 3 A. Turns out that way, yeah. 4 C. Okay. So that at the time that the 5 agreement was signed, to the best of your 6 Knowledge, MCRE, Highland, and BH Equilies al 7 knew and understood how much capital each of the 8 members had contributed to SE Multifamily, 9 correct? 9 correct? 9 A. No. 10 A. I can't – I can't speak for what BH 11 thought the – white the capital came from, from 12 what our – from what our – from our side. 12 what our – from what our – from our side. 13 C. Okay. So list me resistate the 14 question. So as of the date that the Amended and 15 Restand LLC Agreement is signed in March of 16 col al nineup. 19 among all of the prospective members to the 20 agreement correct? 21 A. I thirk hat's right. 22 Q. Okay. Let's put up on the screen 23 Echibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Chibit 4 was marked.) 26 (Chibit 4 was marked.) 27 A. Sure. 28 A. Sure. 39 A. While it's not scenaria. 30 Q. With the – with the understanding 41 that it's a gives, what do you believe that – 5 A. Sure. 41 that it's a gives, what do you believe that – 5 A. Sure. 5 A. Sure. 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. — I havy the surf betward to show that much 19 counterparies, they don't want to show that much 19 counterparies, they don't want to show that much 19 counterparies, they don't want to show that much 19 counterparies, they don't want to show that much 19 counterparies, they don't want to show that much 19 counterparies, they don't want to show that much 19 Carbot that they have to give a long and the warbus, you know, 11 reports that they have to give a long and the carbot of the scale allocation of the scale allocation of the scale allocation in the scale allocation of the scale | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 Colleys, So that aft he time that the 5 squeement was signed, to the best of your 6 knowledge, HCRE, Highland, and BH Equities all 7 knew and understood how much capital each of the 8 members allo contributed to SE Multifamily; 8 correct? 9 A. No. 10. A Leart – I can't speak for what BH 11 thought the – where the capital came from, from 11 A. I think so. 11 A. I think so. 12 What our – from what our – from our side. 12 Q. Who do you think Mr. Raver is? 11 A. I think so. 12 Q. Who do you think Mr. Raver is? 11 A. I think so. 12 Q. Who do you think Mr. Raver is? 11 A. I think so. 13 A. I – I can't speak for what BH 14 question. So as of the date that the Amended and 15 Resisted LLC Agreement is signed in March of 16 of a lineup. 12 Q. Who do you think th. Raver is? 13 A. I – I feel back. I – I think he 15 among all of the prospective members to the 18 Yeah, were not going to put him in a 19 among all of the prospective members to the 19 among all of t | | |
| 5 Mr. Raver. 6 knowledge, HCRE, Highland, and BH Equities all 7 knew and understood how much capital each of the 8 members had contributed to SE Multifamity; 9 correct? 9 A. No. 10 A. L cant – I cant speak for what BH 11 thought the – where the capital came from, from 12 what cur – from what our – from our side. 13 Q. O'kay, So let me restate the Amended and 14 questions. So as of the date that the Amended and 15 Restated LLC Agreement is signed in March of 16 2019, to the best of your knowledge and 17 understanding HCRE and Highland were aware of 18 the capital contributions that had been made 19 among all of the prospective members to the 20 agreement, cornect? 21 A. I think that's right. 22 Q. O'kay, Lefs put up on the screen 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 decisions below 50 percent to HCMLP to 27 a National Season of the – the large 28 monute of the – with the understanding 39 didn't want to have the – or for Highland's 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that – 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that – 5 A. Sure. 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. — theyer discussing? 7 A. I think because of the – the large 29 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 30 didn't want to have the – or for Highland's 31 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 31 didn't want to have the – or for Highland's 32 (Exhibit for the ware allocation of – cash allocation of – cash allocation of – sea hallocation of – se | 1 | · · |
| 6 knowledge, HCRE, Highland, and BH Equities all 7 knew and understood how much capital each of the 8 members had contributed to SE Multiarnity; 9 correct? 9 Lave you ever seen this email before? 9 Lave you have who Mir. Raver is? 9 Lave you have have you be were aver on the member of the you have have you you have any you have you you have you you have | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Restated LLC Agreement is signed in March of 15 20 year know the start outside to SE Multifamily, 8 a | , | |
| 8 | | · |
| 9 A No. 10 A I can't – I can't speak for what BH 11 thought the – where the capital came from, from 12 what our – from our side. 13 Q. Okay. So let me restate the 14 question. So as of the date that the Amended and 15 Restated LLC Agreement is signed in March of 16 2019, in the best of your knowledge and 17 understanding, HCRE and Highland were aware of 18 the capital contributions that had been made 19 among all of the prospective members to the 20 agreement; cornec? 21 A. I think that's right. 22 Q. Okay. Left sput up on the screen 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 27 A Only a guess, but. 28 A Sure. 29 A Only a guess, what do you believe that — 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that — 5 A Sure. 5 A Sure. 6 Q. — they're discussing? 7 A I think the curderstanding 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 9 didn't want to have to — of or Highland's 10 reports that they have to give out to 11 Consolidation means in the concept 12 Or opou have any idea what the concept 13 Or opou have an idea for head and 14 works on the accounting team, but I know the 15 name, but I couldn't – I couldn't pick him out 16 of a lineup. 16 of a lineup. 17 Or obeyou see this page — 18 Yeah, were not going to put him in a 19 lineup. Don'tworny. 20 Do you see it says, quote, "Must keep 21 cash allocation below 50 percent to HCMLP to 22 avoid consolidation?" 22 phrase? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Do you have any idea what's being 25 discussed in this brife email? Page 117 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 phrase? 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 3 A Visit is an email remove the start and the start and supplied the start of the start and supplied | • | |
| 10 A. I can't – I can't speak for what BH 11 thought the – where the capital came from, from 11 thought the – where the capital came from, from 12 Mart our – from what our – from what our – from our side. 13 A. I – I feel bad. I – I think he 14 question. So as of the date that the Amended and 15 Restated LLC Agreement is signed in March of 16 2019, to the best of your knowledge and 17 understanding, HCRE and Highland were aware of 18 the capital contributions that had been made 19 among all of the prospective members to the 20 agreement; correct? 21 A. I think that's right. 22 Q. O Ckay. Let's put up on the screen 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Exhibit A was marked.) 26 discussed in this brief email? 27 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 28 A. Only a guess, but 29 phrase? 30 Q. With the – with the understanding 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that – 4 the subject line being Unicom, so I would say 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that – 5 A. Sure. 5 the cash allocation of be below 50 percent. 7 A. I think because of the – the large 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 9 didn't want to have to – of rot Highland's 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparks they drow twant to show that much 13 (A. I think because of the – the large 14 D. Do you have any idea what's piece. 15 A. Sure. 5 the cash allocation of – cash allocation of 16 profits and losses need to be below 50 percent. 17 A. I think because of the – the large 18 The capital financials and the various, you know, 10 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 View. Particle to a number of people, including 13 yourself. Do you recall seeing this email 14 50, I dassume that that 5 – consolidation hunts 15 their – hust she financial reprofing. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 18 that there are a copy of the – a draft amended and 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Do you have an u | • | |
| 11 In Light the —where the capital came from, from 12 what our —from what our —from our side. 13 Q. Okay. So let me reside the 14 question. So as of the date that the Amended and 15 Restated LLC Agreement is signed in March of 16 2019, to the best of your knowledge and 17 understanding, HORE and Highland were aware of 18 he capital contributions that had been made 19 among all of the prospective members to the 20 agreement; correct? 21 A I think that's right. 22 —A O, Okay. Let's put up on the screen 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 — email. 25 — (Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 25 — (Exhibit No. 4) a guess, but. 26 — O, O', With the — with the understanding 27 — Think because of the — the large 28 — O, O', U' where — with the understanding 29 — Think because of the — the large 29 — O, They're discussing? 20 — They're discussing? 31 — A I think because of the — the large 32 — Think because of the — the large 33 — O, Think because of the — the large 34 — O, O', All right. Lets:— lets mowe on. 35 — A I think because of the — the large 36 — O, — they're discussing? 37 — A I think because of the — the large 38 — Think because of the — the large 39 — Think because of the — the large 40 — O, O', All right. Lets:— lets mowe on. 40 — They're discussing? 41 — A I don't think — mint to show that much 41 — O, Do you have any idea what to show that much 41 — O, Do you have any idea what the concept 41 — O, Do you have any idea what the concept 42 — A O o', O | | |
| 12 what our – from what our – from our side. 13 Q. Okay. So let me restate the 14 question. So as of the date that the Amended and 14 works on the accounting team, but I know the 15 name, but I question. So as of the date that the Amended and 15 name, but I couldn't – I couldn't pick him out 16 2019, to the best of your knowledge and 16 of a lineup. 17 Q. Okay. Do you see this page – 17 q. Okay. Do you see this page – 18 the capital contributions that had been made 18 Yeah, we're not going to put him in a 19 among all of the prospective members to the 20 agreement, correct? 20 Do you see it says, quote, "Must keep 21 A. I think that's right. 21 cash allocation below 50 percent to HCMLP to 22 avoid consolidation." 22 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 23 A. Yes. 24 email. 24 Q. Do you have any idea what's being 25 discussed in this brief email? 1 MATT McGRANER - 10'11/2022 1 phrase? 2 phrase? 2 phrase? 2 phrase? 2 phrase? 2 phrase? 3 A. Wile it's not specific other than 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that – 4 the subject line being Unicorn, sol would say 5 the cash allocation of – cas | I control of the cont | |
| 13 A. I – I feel bad. I – I think he 14 question. So as of the date that the Amended and 15 Restated LIC Agreement is signed in March of 16 Restated LIC Agreement is signed in March of 17 understanding, HCRE and Highland were aware of 18 the capital contributions that had been made 18 Yeah, we're not going to put him in a 19 among all of the prospective members to the 20 agreement, correct? 20 Do you see it says, quote, "Must keep 21 A. I think that's right. 22 Q. Okay. Let's put up on the screen 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 27 A. Whith the understanding 28 that it's a guess, but 29 agreement, correct? 20 Day ou see it says, quote, "Must keep 21 A. I think that's right. 21 cash allocation below 50 percent to HCMLP to 22 avoid consolidation"? 23 A. Yes. 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 discussed in this brief email? 27 A. Only a guess, but 28 pages. 29 phrase? 30 Q. With the – with the understanding 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that – 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that – 5 A. Sure. 5 the cash allocation of – cash allocation of 6 Q. – they're discussing? 7 A. I think because of the – the large 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 9 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 (A. Poly ou have any idea what the concept 15 fineir – hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 17 that the effort was made to be been by 50 percent. 19 A. With the sease of the – the large 19 Breat allocation of – cash allocation of 10 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 10 (Exhibit 6 was marked.) 11 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 12 Outerparties, they don't want to show that much 13 yourself. Do you recall estering the financial reporting. 14 On Oronosiodiation means in the context of – of 17 that the effort was made to have the Amended and | , | |
| 14 question. So as of the date that the Amended and 15 Resided LLC Agreement is signed in March of 16 Resided LLC Agreement is signed in March of 17 Understanding, HCRE and Highland were aware of 18 the capital contributions that had been made 18 the capital contributions that had been made 19 among all of the prospective members to the 20 agreement, correct? 21 A. I think that's right. 22 Q. Okay. Let's put up on the screen 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 27 A. O'With the – with the understanding 28 that is a guess, what do you believe that – 29 A. O'ney aguess, but. 20 Q. O'Ray. Do you see it says, quote, "Must keep 21 A. O'Ney aguess, but. 22 phrase? 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 discussed in this brief email? 27 A. O'Ney aguess, but. 28 Page 116 29 A. O'Ney aguess, but. 20 A. O'Ney aguess, but. 21 A. O'Ney aguess, but. 22 phrase? 31 Q. With the – with the understanding 33 A. While it's not specific other than 4 the subject line being Unicorn, so I vould say 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that – 5 A. Sure 6 Q. – they're discussing? 7 A. I think because of the – the large 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicorn, they 9 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 (exhibit 5 was marked.) 14 (C) A assume that that s – consolidation hurs 15 their – hurts their financial reporting, 16 Q. Do you have any idea what s being 17 A. I don't be a direct the context of – of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think — my — my personal 19 Interest case of the – a draft amended and 19 Interest case opp of the – a draft amended and 19 Interest case opp of the – a draft amended and 19 Interest case opp of the – a draft amended and 20 West. Hot or this charts what they're talking 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Do you have any of the a draft amended and | | • |
| 15 Restated LLC Agreement is signed in March of 16 2019, to the best of your knowledge and 16 of a lineup. 17 Understanding, HCRE and Highland were aware of 17 Q. Okay. Do you see this page – 18 the capital contributions that had been made 18 Yeah, we're not going to put him in a 19 among all of the prospective members to the 19 lineup. Don't worry. 20 agreement, correct? 20 Do you see it says, quote, "Must keep 21 A. I think that's right. 21 cash allocation below 50 percent to HCMLP to 22 avoid consolidation"? 23 avoid consolidation"? 23 exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 24 Q. Do you have any idea what's being 25 discussed in this brief email? 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 25 discussed in this brief email? 26 phrase? 27 A. Only a guess, but 28 phrase? 29 phrase? 29 phrase? 3 A. Wille it's not specific other than 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that 4 the subject the being Unicom, so I would say 5 the cash allocation of - cash allocation of 6 profits and losses need to be below 50 percent. 7 Q. All right. Lets – lets move on . 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 9 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 29 discussed in the external, Exhibit 5, please. 31 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 19 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 11 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 11 Q. And you'll see his is an email from 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50. I'd assume that that's – consolicidation hurs 14 before? 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. And – and do you – do you recall 15 their – hurs their financial reporting. 16 Q. And – and do you – do you recall 23 over, I don't think hards what the concept 10 refers the phrase 'cash allocation' refers to? 21 A. Yeah. 24 Pash. 24 Pash. 24 Pash. 25 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 20 award and what the charge 12 A. Yeah. 24 Pash. 25 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 20 award from than the phrase 'cash allocation' re | · | |
| 16 2019, to the best of your knowledge and 17 understanding, HCRE and Highland were aware of 18 the capital contributions that had been made 18 Yeah, we're not going to put him in a 19 among all of the prospective members to the 19 among all of the prospective members to the 20 appreement correct? 21 A. I think that's right. 22 Q. Okay. Let's put up on the screen 22 avoid consolidation? 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 27 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 28 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 29 (A. Only a guess, but 21 A. Only a guess, but 22 A. Only a guess, but 23 A. While it's not specific other than 24 that it's a guess, what do you believe that — 25 A. Sure. 26 (A. O. Hey're discussing? 27 A. I think because of the — the large 28 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 39 dich't want to have to — or for Highland's 40 audited financials and the various, you know, 41 reports that they have to give out to 42 contempartes, they don't want to show that much 43 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 44 before? 45 (A. D. Do you have any idea what's being 46 profits and losses need to be below 50 percent. 47 (A. I think because of the — the large 48 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 49 dich't want to have to — or for Highland's 40 audited financials and the various, you know, 40 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 41 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 42 (A. D. Ou ou have any idea what the concept 43 (A. O. A. Ond) and a marked in the concept 44 (A. O. Ond) and a marked in the concept 45 (A. O. Ond) ou have any idea what the concept 46 (A. O. Ond) ou have any idea what the concept 47 (A. I think because of the — the large 48 (A. O. Ond) ou have any idea what the concept 49 (If the response of the extremal public of the concept of the firm of the concept of the concep | 1 ' | |
| 17 understanding, HCRE and Highland were aware of 18 the capital contributions that had been made 19 among all of the prospective members to the 20 agreement, correct? 21 A. I think that's right. 22 Q. Okay. Let's put up on the screen 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 27 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 28 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 29 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 29 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 20 (Do you have any idea what's being 21 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 29 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 20 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 21 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 22 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 23 (Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 27 (Exhibit 6 was parked.) 28 (Exhibit 8 was marked.) 29 (Exhibit 9 was parked.) 29 (Exhibit 9 was parked.) 20 (Exhibit 9 was parked.) 21 (Exhibit 9 was parked.) 22 (Exhibit 10 was parked.) 23 (Exhibit 10 was parked.) 24 (Exhibit 9 was parked.) 25 (Exhibit 10 was parked.) 26 (Exhibit 9 was parked.) 27 (Exhibit 9 was parked.) 28 (Exhibit 10 was parked.) 29 (Exhibit 9 was parked.) 20 (Exhibit 9 was parked.) 20 (Exhibit 9 was parked.) 21 (Exhibit 9 was parked.) 22 (Exhibit 10 was parked.) 23 (Exhibit 10 was parked.) 24 (Exhibit 9 was parked.) 25 (Exhibit 10 was parked.) 26 (Exhibit 9 was parked.) 27 (Exhibit 9 was parked.) 28 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 29 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 20 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 20 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 21 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 21 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 22 (With there had parked.) 23 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 24 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 25 (Exhibit 10 was parked.) 26 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 27 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 28 (Exhibit 10 was parked.) 29 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 29 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 20 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 20 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 21 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 22 (Exhibit 10 was parked.) 23 (Exhibit 10 was parked.) 24 (Exhibit 10 was parked.) 25 (Exhibit 10 was parked.) 26 (Exhibit 10 was park | 1 | · |
| 18 the capital contributions that had been made 19 among all of the prospective members to the 20 agreement correct? 21 A. I think that's right. 22 Q. Okay. Let's put up on the screen 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 discussed in this brief email? 27 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 28 A. Only a guess, but 29 phrase? 20 Do you have any idea what's being 29 discussed in this brief email? 29 phrase? 20 Do you have any idea what the screen 20 avoid consolidation? 21 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 22 A. Only a guess, but 33 Q. With the - with the understanding 34 Matt it's a guess, what do you believe that - 4 the subject line being Unicorn, sol would say 55 A. Sure. 55 the cash allocation of - cash allocation of 6 profits and losses need to be below 50 percent. 60 Q they're discussing? 61 A. I think because of the - the large 62 amount of leverage put on Project Unicorn, they 63 didn't want to have to - or for Highland's 64 udited financials and the various, you know, 65 and the various, you know, 66 Q. phrase? 67 A. I think because of the - the large 68 arount of leverage put on Project Unicorn, they 69 didn't want to have to - or for Highland's 60 udited financials and the various, you know, 61 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 62 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 63 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 64 (D. Q. And - and do you - do you recall 65 their - hurts their financial reporting. 66 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 67 (D. Q. And - and do you - do you recall 68 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 69 (D. Q. And - and do you - do you recall 70 of consolidation means in the context of - of 71 that the effort was made to have the Amended and 73 leverage. On think that's what they're talking 74 (D. Q. On you have any idea what the concept 75 (D. Q. On you have any idea what the concept 76 (D. Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see | , | · |
| 19 among all of the prospective members to the 20 agreement; correct? 21 A. I think that's right. 22 Q. Okay. Let's put up on the screen 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 discussed in this brief email? 27 mart MATT McGRANER -10/11/2022 28 A. Only a guess, but 39 Q. With the – with the understanding 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that – 4 the subject line being Unicorn, so I would say 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that – 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. – they're discussing? 7 A. I think because of the – the large 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicorn, they 9 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 15 their – hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 18 SEMultifamily: 19 A. I don't think - my – my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 24 A. Yes. 29 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 20 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 20 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see | | |
| 20 agreement; correct? 21 A. I think that's right. 22 Q. Okay. Let's put up on the screen 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 27 A. Only a guess, but 28 A. Only a guess, but 29 A. Only a guess, but 20 A. With the – with the understanding 20 that it is a guess, what do you believe that – 21 A. They're discussing? 22 A. Only a guess, but 23 A. While it's not specific other than 25 their ash allocation of – cash allocation of 26 Q. – they're discussing? 27 A. I think because of the – the large 28 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 29 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 29 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 20 didn't want to have to wor to to 11 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 21 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 23 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 24 M. Sure. 25 didn't want to have to in experiment of the porting. 26 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 27 A. I think because of the – the large 28 amount of leverage put the project Unicom, they 39 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 40 didn't want to have to a various, you know, 41 reports that they have to give out to 41 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 42 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 43 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 44 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 45 (b. I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 46 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 47 of consolidation means in the context of – of 48 Establed LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 49 A. I don't think f may – my personal 40 view, I don't think f may – my personal 41 estated duc be made retroactive to August 23, 40 view, I don't think f may – my personal 41 don't think that's what they're talking 42 A. Yesh. 40 Do you have an understanding as to 41 don't think that's what they a understanding as to 42 don't the here's a copy of the – a draft amended and 43 SE that the phrase "cash a | 1 | |
| 21 cash allocation below 50 percent to HCMLP to 22 Q. Okay. Let's put up on the screen 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 discussed in this brief email? 27 A. Only a guess, but 28 phrase? 3 Q. With the – with the understanding 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that – 4 the subject line being Unicorn, so I would say 5 A. Sure. 5 Q they're discussing? 6 profits and losses need to be below 50 percent. 7 A. I think because of the – the large 8 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 9 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 15 their – hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 A. I don't think – my – my personal 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think + my – my personal 20 View, I don't think har's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase 'cash allocation' refers to? 24 A. Yes. 21 cash allocation below 30 percent to HCMLP to 22 avoid consolidation below? 22 avoid consolidation below? 23 avoid consolidation below? 24 A. Yes. 21 cash allocation below 50 percent to December? 22 avoid consolidation below? 23 avoid consolidation below? 24 A. Yes. 21 cash allocation below 50 percent to December? 22 avoid consolidation below 4 per allocate one than 24 A. Yes. 25 discussed in this brief andi? 26 A. Yes. 27 Ca. All right. Let's – lot'l 11/2022 28 What the phrase 'cash allocation' refers to? 29 avoid the email? 20 avoid consolidation below 4 pour beau what the concept 30 classed allocation below 50 percent. 31 A. Yes. 31 A. Yes. 32 avoid average by black to HCMLP to December 5 percent 5 | 19 among all of the prospective members to the | |
| 22 Q. Okay. Let's put up on the screen 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 27 discussed in this brief email? 28 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 29 A. Only a guess, but 30 Q. With the – with the understanding 40 that it's a guess, what do you believe that – 50 A. Sure. 50 the cash allocation of – cash allocation of 60 Q. – they're discussing? 61 A. Ithink because of the – the large 62 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 63 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 64 undeted financials and the various, you know, 65 undeted financials and the various, you know, 66 undeted financials and the various, you know, 67 A. Ithink because of the – the large 68 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 69 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 69 tits also dated February 28, 2019. 60 undeted financials and the various, you know, 61 Q. Counterparties, they don't want to show that much 62 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 63 (A. Sure.) 64 So, if a ssume that that's – consolidation hurts 65 A. Sure. 66 profits and losses need to be below 50 percent. 77 A. Ithink because of the – the large 78 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 79 It's also dated February 28, 2019. 70 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 71 reports that they have to give out to 71 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 71 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 72 A. Sure. 73 A. While it's not specific other than 74 before? 75 A. Sure. 76 A. Sure. 77 A. Ithink because of the – the large 78 amount of leverage but on Project Unicom, they 99 It's also dated February 28, 2019. 90 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 91 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 91 A. Sure. 91 A. Sure. 92 A. A Out a number of people, including 93 you'll see this is an email from 94 A. Sure. 95 A. Sure. 96 It's a number of people, including 95 A. Sure. 96 A. Sure. 97 A. Sure. 98 A. Sure. 99 A. I don't think harfs what the concept 99 A. I don't think tharfs what they're talking 90 A. I don't think tharfs wh | 20 agreement; correct? | |
| 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 discussed in this brief email? 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Only a guess, but 3 Q. With the — with the understanding 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that — 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. — they're discussing? 7 A. I think because of the — the large 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 9 didn't want to have to — or for Highland's 9 didn't want to have to — or for Highland's 11 quantity and the various, you know, 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's — consolidation hurts 15 their — hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of — of of Highland's 19 A. I don't think — my — my personal 10 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 A. Yes. 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Do you have any idea what s being 25 discussed in this brief email? 26 discussed in this brief email? 27 discussed in this brief email? 28 discussed in this brief email? 29 discussed in this brief email? 29 discussed in this brief email? 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase 'cash allocation' refers to? 24 A. Yes. | 21 A. I think that's right. | 21 cash allocation below 50 percent to HCMLP to |
| 24 email. 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 26 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 27 | 22 Q. Okay. Let's put up on the screen | 22 avoid consolidation"? |
| 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 25 discussed in this brief email? MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 A Only a guess, but 2 phrase? 3 A. While it's not specific other than 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that — 4 the subject line being Unicom, so I would say 5 A. Sure. 5 the cash allocation of — cash allocation of 6 Q. — they're discussing? 7 A. I think because of the — the large 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 9 didn't want to have to — or for Highland's 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, Id assume that that's — consolidation hurts 15 their — hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of — of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think — my — my personal 10 about here. 21 A. Yes. 25 discussed in this brief email? 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 phrase? 3 A. While it's not specific other than 4 the subject line being Unicom, so I would say 4 the subject line being Unicom, so I would say 5 the cash allocation of — cash allocation of 6 profits and losses need to be below 50 percent. 7 Q. All right. Let's — let's move on. 8 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 9 lit's also dated February 28, 2019. 18 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 9 lit's also dated February 28, 2019. 10 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 11 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 12 Win. Patrick to a number of people, including 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 13 yourself. Do you recall seeing this email 14 before? 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 16 Q. And — and do you — do you recall 17 that the effort was made to have the Amended and 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think hat's what they're talking 20 zoll 8? 21 about here. 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see 23 what the ph | 23 Exhibit No. 4, which is a February 28, 2019, | 23 A. Yes. |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Only a guess, but 3 Q. Wift the - with the understanding 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that 5 A. Sure. 6 Q they're discussing? 7 A. I think because of the - the large 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 9 didn't want to have to - or for Highland's 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's - consolidation hurts 15 their - hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of - of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think - my - my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 What the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 23 that there's a copy of the - a draft amended and 24 A. Yes. | 24 email. | 24 Q. Do you have any idea what's being |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Only a guess, but 3 Q. With the – with the understanding 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that — 5 A. Sure. 5 the cash allocation of — cash allocation of 6 Q. — they're discussing? 6 profits and losses need to be below 50 percent. 7 A. I think because of the — the large 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 9 didn't want to have to — or for Highland's 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's — consolidation hurts 15 their — hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of — of 18 Extated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 19 A. I don't think + mry — my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase 'cash allocation' refers to? 24 R. Yes. 2 I restated agreement. | 25 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) | 25 discussed in this brief email? |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A. Only a guess, but 3 Q. With the – with the understanding 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that — 5 A. Sure. 5 the cash allocation of — cash allocation of 6 Q. — they're discussing? 6 profits and losses need to be below 50 percent. 7 A. I think because of the — the large 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 9 didn't want to have to — or for Highland's 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's — consolidation hurts 15 their — hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of — of 18 Extated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 19 A. I don't think — my — my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase 'cash allocation' refers to? 24 R. Yes. 2 I restated agreement. | Page 116 | Page 117 |
| 3 A. While it's not specific other than 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that – 4 the subject line being Unicorn, so I would say 5 A. Sure. 5 the cash allocation of – cash allocation of 6 Q. – they're discussing? 6 A. I think because of the – the large 7 A. I think because of the – the large 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicorn, they 9 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 9 It's also dated February 28, 2019. 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 15 their – hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think + my – my personal 19 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 24 A. Yes. 24 restated LLC agreement. | | |
| 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that — A. Sure. 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. — they're discussing? 7 A. I think because of the — the large 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicorn, they 9 didn't want to have to — or for Highland's 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's — consolidation hurts 15 their — hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of — of 18 SM Ultifamily? 19 A. I don't think + my — my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 A. Yes. 4 the subject line being Unicorn, so I would say 5 the cash allocation of — cash allocation of 6 profits and losses need to be below 50 percent. 7 Q. All right. Let's — let's move on. 8 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 9 lt's also dated February 28, 2019. (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 11 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 12 Mr. Patrick to a number of people, including 13 yourself. Do you recall seeing this email 14 before? 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 16 Q. And — and do you — do you recall 17 of consolidation means in the context of — of 18 SEMultifamily? 19 A. I don't think — my — my personal 20 view, I don't think + my — my personal 21 hat it could be made retroactive to August 23, 22 2018? 23 about here. 24 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 25 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see 26 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 27 A. Yes. | 2 A. Only a guess, but | 2 phrase? |
| 5 the cash allocation of – cash allocation of 6 Q. – they're discussing? 7 A. I think because of the – the large 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 9 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 15 their – hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 It's also dated February 28, 2019. 10 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 11 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 12 Mr. Patrick to a number of people, including 13 yourself. Do you recall seeing this email 14 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 14 before? 15 their – hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think – my – my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 25 the cash allocation of – cash allocation of 6 profits and losses need to be below 50 percent. 5 the cash allocation of – cash allocation of 6 profits and losses need to be low 50 percent. 7 Q. All right. Let's – let's move on. 8 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 9 lt's also dated February 28, 2019. (Exhibit 5 was marked.) (Exhibit 5 was m | 3 Q. With the with the understanding | 3 A. While it's not specific other than |
| 6 profits and losses need to be below 50 percent. 7 A. I think because of the – the large 7 Q. All right. Let's – let's move on. 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 8 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 9 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 9 It's also dated February 28, 2019. 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 10 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 11 reports that they have to give out to 11 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 12 Mr. Patrick to a number of people, including 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 13 yourself. Do you recall seeing this email 14 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 14 before? 15 their – hurts their financial reporting. 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 16 Q. And – and do you – do you recall 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 17 that the effort was made to have the Amended and 18 SE Multifamily? 18 Restated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 19 A. I don't think – my – my personal 19 that it could be made retroactive to August 23, 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 20 2018? 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 22 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 23 that there's a copy of the – a draft amended and 24 A. Yes. | 4 that it's a guess, what do you believe that | 4 the subject line being Unicorn, so I would say |
| 7 A. I think because of the – the large 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicorn, they 9 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 9 lt's also dated February 28, 2019. 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 15 their – hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think – my – my personal 19 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 27 Q. All right. Let's – let's move on. 28 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 29 lt's also dated February 28, 2019. 20 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 29 lt's also dated February 28, 2019. 20 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 29 lt's also dated February 28, 2019. 20 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 20 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 21 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 22 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 23 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 24 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 29 Ut's also dated February 28, 2019. 20 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 29 look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 29 look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 29 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 29 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 20 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 20 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 21 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 29 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 20 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 20 Let's look at the next email from 20 Let's look at the next email from 21 Q. And - and bot | 5 A. Sure. | 5 the cash allocation of cash allocation of |
| 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicom, they 9 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 15 their – hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think – my – my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 24 What the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 28 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. 9 It's also dated February 28, 2019. 10 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 11 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 12 Mr. Patrick to a number of people, including 13 yourself. Do you recall seeing this email 14 before? 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. And – and do you – do you recall 17 that the effort was made to have the Amended and 18 Restated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 19 that it could be made retroactive to August 23, 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 that there's a copy of the – a draft amended and 24 A. Yes. | 6 Q they're discussing? | 6 profits and losses need to be below 50 percent. |
| 9 didn't want to have to – or for Highland's 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 15 their – hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 It's also dated February 28, 2019. 10 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 11 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 12 Mr. Patrick to a number of people, including 13 yourself. Do you recall seeing this email 14 before? 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 16 Q. And – and do you – do you recall 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 17 that the effort was made to have the Amended and 18 SE Multifamily? 18 Restated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 19 A. I don't think – my – my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 25 Yes. 26 Visable dated February 28, 2019. 27 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 28 It's also dated February 28, 2019. 29 It's also dated February 28, 2019. 20 And you'll see this is an email from 20 And you'll see this is an email from 21 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 22 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see 23 that there's a copy of the – a draft amended and 24 A. Yes. | 7 A. I think because of the the large | 7 Q. All right. Let's – let's move on. |
| 10 audited financials and the various, you know, 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 15 their – hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think – my – my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 10 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 11 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 12 Mr. Patrick to a number of people, including 13 yourself. Do you recall seeing this email 14 before? 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. And – and do you – do you recall 17 that the effort was made to have the Amended and 18 Restated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 19 that it could be made retroactive to August 23, 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 that there's a copy of the – a draft amended and 24 A. Yes. | 8 amount of leverage put on Project Unicorn, they | 8 Let's look at the next email, Exhibit 5, please. |
| 11 reports that they have to give out to 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's consolidation hurts 15 their hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think my my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 19 Q. And you'll see this is an email from 12 Mr. Patrick to a number of people, including 13 yourself. Do you recall seeing this email 14 before? 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. And and do you do you recall 17 that the effort was made to have the Amended and 18 Restated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 19 that it could be made retroactive to August 23, 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 that there's a copy of the a draft amended and 24 restated agreement. | 9 didn't want to have to or for Highland's | 9 It's also dated February 28, 2019. |
| 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 15 their – hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think – my – my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 18 Mr. Patrick to a number of people, including 13 yourself. Do you recall seeing this email 14 before? 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. And – and do you – do you recall 17 that the effort was made to have the Amended and 18 Restated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 19 that it could be made retroactive to August 23, 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 20 2018? 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see 23 that there's a copy of the – a draft amended and 24 restated agreement. | 10 audited financials and the various, you know, | 10 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) |
| 12 counterparties, they don't want to show that much 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 15 their – hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think – my – my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 18 Mr. Patrick to a number of people, including 13 yourself. Do you recall seeing this email 14 before? 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. And – and do you – do you recall 17 that the effort was made to have the Amended and 18 Restated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 19 that it could be made retroactive to August 23, 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 20 2018? 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see 23 that there's a copy of the – a draft amended and 24 restated agreement. | • | 11 Q. And you'll see this is an email from |
| 13 leverage. So if they were allocated more than 14 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 15 their – hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think – my – my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 25 Yesh 26 Yesh 27 you'recall seeing this email 26 you'recall seeing this email 27 you'recall seeing this email 28 you'recall seeing this email 29 you'recall seeing this email 29 you'recall seeing this email 20 you'recall seeing this email 20 you recall seeing this email 20 you'recall seeing this email 20 you'recall seeing this email 20 you'recall seeing this email 29 you'recall seeing this email 20 you'recall seeing this email | | |
| 14 50, I'd assume that that's – consolidation hurts 15 their – hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of – of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think my my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 25 A. Sure. 26 Q. And – and do you do you recall 27 that the effort was made to have the Amended and 28 Restated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 29 Use, I don't think that's what they're talking 20 2018? 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see 23 that there's a copy of the –- a draft amended and 24 A. Yes. 25 Yestated agreement. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 15 their hurts their financial reporting. 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think my my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 25 A. Sure. 26 Q. And and do you do you recall 27 that the effort was made to have the Amended and 28 Restated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 29 that it could be made retroactive to August 23, 20 2018? 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see 23 that there's a copy of the a draft amended and 24 restated agreement. | , | , |
| 16 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 17 of consolidation means in the context of of 18 SE Multifamily? 19 A. I don't think my my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 26 Q. Do you have any idea what the concept 27 that the effort was made to have the Amended and 28 Restated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 19 that it could be made retroactive to August 23, 20 2018? 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see 23 that there's a copy of the a draft amended and 24 restated agreement. | l · | |
| 17 that the effort was made to have the Amended and 18 SE Multifamily? 18 Restated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 19 A. I don't think my my personal 19 that it could be made retroactive to August 23, 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 27 that the effort was made to have the Amended and 28 Restated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 29 2018? 20 2018? 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see 23 that there's a copy of the a draft amended and 24 restated agreement. | | |
| 18 SE Multifamily? A. I don't think my my personal view, I don't think that's what they're talking view, I don't think that's what they're talking 20 2018? 21 about here. 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 28 Restated LLC Agreement signed by March 15th so 19 that it could be made retroactive to August 23, 20 2018? 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see 23 that there's a copy of the a draft amended and 24 restated agreement. | | • • |
| 19 A. I don't think my my personal 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 21 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 29 that it could be made retroactive to August 23, 20 2018? 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see 23 that there's a copy of the a draft amended and 24 restated agreement. | | |
| 20 view, I don't think that's what they're talking 21 about here. 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 25 Yeah. 26 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see 27 Sthat there's a copy of the a draft amended and 28 Yes. 29 2018? 20 2018? 21 A. Yeah. 22 P. And if we scroll down, you'll see 23 that there's a copy of the a draft amended and 24 restated agreement. | 1 | |
| 21 about here. 22 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 25 A. Yeah. 26 Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see 27 a that there's a copy of the a draft amended and 28 a restated agreement. 29 A. Yes. | | |
| Q. Do you have an understanding as to What the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? A. Yes. Q. And if we scroll down, you'll see that there's a copy of the a draft amended and restated agreement. | · - | |
| 23 what the phrase "cash allocation" refers to? 24 A. Yes. 25 that there's a copy of the a draft amended and 27 restated agreement. | | |
| 24 A. Yes. 24 restated agreement. | , , | , |
| | • | |
| 25 Q. vviiats your understanding or that 25 Do you see that? | | |
| | 20 Q. What's your understanding of that | 25 Du you see that! |

| EXHIDIL 71 | Fage 32 01 79 |
|---|---|
| Page 118 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 119 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 A. Ido. | 2 that it did with respect to the First Amended and |
| 3 Q. Do you have any understanding as to | 3 Restated LLC Agreement for SE Multifamily? |
| 4 who was responsible for the drafting of the | 4 A. No. |
| 5 amended and restated agreement? | 5 Q. Is do you recall is this the first |
| 6 A. I believe Hunton & Williams. | 6 draft of the amended and restated agreement that |
| 7 Q. And who was instructing Hunton & | 7 you saw? |
| 8 Williams, if you know? | 8 A. Probably. But I don't I don't |
| 9 A. Mark Patrick. He was the primary | 9 recall. |
| 10 contact. | 10 Q. Do – do you recall ever providing |
| 11 Q. And did you know at the time that | 11 any comments to anybody at any time concerning |
| 12 Mark Patrick was the primary contact with | 12 any aspect of the first amended and restated |
| 13 Hunton & Williams in the drafting of the Amended | 13 agreement before it was signed? |
| 14 and Restated LLC Agreement? | 14 A. No. |
| 15 A. I believe so. | 15 Q. Did you personally review drafts of |
| 16 Q. Does HCRE contend that Mr. Patrick | 16 this agreement before it was signed? |
| 17 made any errors or mistakes in the work that he | 17 A. Just a waterfall. |
| 18 did in connection with the drafting of the | 18 MR. MORRIS: Can we go back to the |
| 19 Amended and Restated LLC Agreement? | 19 email, please? |
| 20 A. As of this date? | 20 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Do you see |
| 21 Q. Yep. | 21 Mr. Patrick has described four changes to the |
| 22 A. No. | 22 agreement? |
| 23 Q. How about Hunton & Williams? Does | 23 A. I do. |
| 24 HCRE contend that Hunton & Williams made any | 24 Q. And were you aware of those changes |
| 25 errors or mistakes in connection with the work | 25 at the time that he proposed them? |
| | · · |
| Page 120 | Page 121 |
| I 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | │ 1 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 A Lwas | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 O Do you recall how the P&L was |
| 2 A. I was. | 2 Q. Do you recall how the P&L was |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was 3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and 4 restated agreement? |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was 3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and 4 restated agreement? 5 A. I think I think largely the |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was 3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and 4 restated agreement? 5 A. I think I think largely the 6 majority was went went to Highland |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was 3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and 4 restated agreement? 5 A. I think I think largely the 6 majority was went went to Highland 7 Q. Okay. |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was 3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and 4 restated agreement? 5 A. I think I think largely the 6 majority was went went to Highland 7 Q. Okay. 8 A passively. |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was ultimately allocated in the final amended and restated agreement? A. I think I think largely the majority was went went to Highland Q. Okay. A passively. Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was ultimately allocated in the final amended and restated agreement? A. I think I think largely the majority was went went to Highland Q. Okay. A passively. Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I think is 13 of 55. And do you see |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was 3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and 4 restated agreement? 5 A. I think I think largely the 6 majority was went went to Highland 7 Q. Okay. 8 A passively. 9 Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I 10 think is 13 of 55. And do you see 11 MR. MORRIS: You can scroll up a |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding 12 of why there was a need to get Barker Viggato | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was 3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and 4 restated agreement? 5 A. I think I think largely the 6 majority was went went to Highland 7 Q. Okay. 8 A passively. 9 Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I 10 think is 13 of 55. And do you see 11 MR. MORRIS: You can scroll up a 12 little bit. Okay. Stop there. |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding 12 of why there was a need to get Barker Viggato 13 comfortable before executing the document with | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was 3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and 4 restated agreement? 5 A. I think I think largely the 6 majority was went went to Highland 7 Q. Okay. 8 A passively. 9 Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I 10 think is 13 of 55. And do you see 11 MR. MORRIS: You can scroll up a 12 little bit. Okay. Stop there. 13 Q that in paragraph 6.4(a), profits |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding 12 of why there was a need to get Barker Viggato 13 comfortable before executing the document with 14 respect to the anticipated tax allocations of the | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was 3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and 4 restated agreement? 5 A. I think I think largely the 6 majority was went went to Highland 7 Q. Okay. 8 A passively. 9 Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I 10 think is 13 of 55. And do you see 11 MR. MORRIS: You can scroll up a 12 little bit. Okay. Stop there. 13 Q that in paragraph 6.4(a), profits 14 and losses were allocated 94 percent to Highland |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding 12 of why there was a need to get Barker Viggato 13 comfortable before executing the document with 14 respect to the anticipated tax allocations of the 15 P&L? | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was 3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and 4 restated agreement? 5 A. I think I think largely the 6 majority was went went to Highland 7 Q. Okay. 8 A passively. 9 Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I 10 think is 13 of 55. And do you see 11 MR. MORRIS: You can scroll up a 12 little bit. Okay. Stop there. 13 Q that in paragraph 6.4(a), profits 14 and losses were allocated 94 percent to Highland 15 and 6 percent to BH. |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding 12 of why there was a need to get Barker Viggato 13 comfortable before executing the document with 14 respect to the anticipated tax allocations of the 15 P&L? 16 A. A billion-dollar complex | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was 3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and 4 restated agreement? 5 A. I think I think largely the 6 majority was went went to Highland 7 Q. Okay. 8 A passively. 9 Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I 10 think is 13 of 55. And do you see 11 MR. MORRIS: You can scroll up a 12 little bit. Okay. Stop there. 13 Q that in paragraph 6.4(a), profits 14 and losses were allocated 94 percent to Highland 15 and 6 percent to BH. 16 Do you see that? |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding 12 of why there was a need to get Barker Viggato 13 comfortable before executing the document with 14 respect to the anticipated tax allocations of the 15 P&L? 16 A. A billion-dollar complex 17 transaction | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was ultimately allocated in the final amended and restated agreement? A. I think I think largely the majority was went went to Highland Q. Okay. A passively. Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I think is 13 of 55. And do you see MR. MORRIS: You can scroll up a little bit. Okay. Stop there. Q that in paragraph 6.4(a), profits and losses were allocated 94 percent to Highland and 6 percent to BH. Do you see that? A. I do. |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding 12 of why there was a need to get Barker Viggato 13 comfortable before executing the document with 14 respect to the anticipated tax allocations of the 15 P&L? 16 A. A billion-dollar complex 17 transaction 18 Q. Well, but what about | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was 3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and 4 restated agreement? 5 A. I think I think largely the 6 majority was went went to Highland 7 Q. Okay. 8 A passively. 9 Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I 10 think is 13 of 55. And do you see 11 MR. MORRIS: You can scroll up a 12 little bit. Okay. Stop there. 13 Q that in paragraph 6.4(a), profits 14 and losses were allocated 94 percent to Highland 15 and 6 percent to BH. 16 Do you see that? 17 A. I do. 18 Q. Do you have an understanding that |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding 12 of why there was a need to get Barker Viggato 13 comfortable before executing the document with 14 respect to the anticipated tax allocations of the 15 P&L? 16 A. A billion-dollar complex 17 transaction 18 Q. Well, but what about 19 A I guess. | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was 3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and 4 restated agreement? 5 A. I think I think largely the 6 majority was went went to Highland 7 Q. Okay. 8 A passively. 9 Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I 10 think is 13 of 55. And do you see 11 MR. MORRIS: You can scroll up a 12 little bit. Okay. Stop there. 13 Q that in paragraph 6.4(a), profits 14 and losses were allocated 94 percent to Highland 15 and 6 percent to BH. 16 Do you see that? 17 A. I do. 18 Q. Do you have an understanding that 19 that's the very provision that Mr. Patrick said |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding 12 of why there was a need to get Barker Viggato 13 comfortable before executing the document with 14 respect to the anticipated tax allocations of the 15 P&L? 16 A. A billion-dollar complex 17 transaction 18 Q. Well, but what about 19 A I guess. 20 Q. Again, it's not a guessing game. If | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was ultimately allocated in the final amended and restated agreement? A. I think I think largely the majority was went went to Highland Q. Okay. A passively. Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I think is 13 of 55. And do you see MR. MORRIS: You can scroll up a little bit. Okay. Stop there. Q that in paragraph 6.4(a), profits and losses were allocated 94 percent to Highland and 6 percent to BH. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. Do you have an understanding that that's the very provision that Mr. Patrick said they needed to get Barker & Viggato comfortable |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding 12 of why there was a need to get Barker Viggato 13 comfortable before executing the document with 14 respect to the anticipated tax allocations of the 15 P&L? 16 A. A billion-dollar complex 17 transaction 18 Q. Well, but what about 19 A I guess. 20 Q. Again, it's not a guessing game. If 21 you remember, great; if you don't, that's fine. | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was ultimately allocated in the final amended and restated agreement? A. I think I think largely the majority was went went to Highland Q. Okay. A passively. Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I think is 13 of 55. And do you see MR. MORRIS: You can scroll up a little bit. Okay. Stop there. Q that in paragraph 6.4(a), profits and losses were allocated 94 percent to Highland and 6 percent to BH. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. Do you have an understanding that that's the very provision that Mr. Patrick said they needed to get Barker & Viggato comfortable with? |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding 12 of why there was a need to get Barker Viggato 13 comfortable before executing the document with 14 respect to the anticipated tax allocations of the 15 P&L? 16 A. A billion-dollar complex 17 transaction 18 Q. Well, but what about 19 A I guess. 20 Q. Again, it's not a guessing game. If 21 you remember, great; if you don't, that's fine. 22 But was there a discussion or any communications | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was ultimately allocated in the final amended and restated agreement? A. I think I think largely the majority was went went to Highland Q. Okay. A passively. Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I think is 13 of 55. And do you see MR. MORRIS: You can scroll up a little bit. Okay. Stop there. Q that in paragraph 6.4(a), profits and losses were allocated 94 percent to Highland and 6 percent to BH. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. Do you have an understanding that that's the very provision that Mr. Patrick said they needed to get Barker & Viggato comfortable with? A. I don't I don't agree with that |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding 12 of why there was a need to get Barker Viggato 13 comfortable before executing the document with 14 respect to the anticipated tax allocations of the 15 P&L? 16 A. A billion-dollar complex 17 transaction 18 Q. Well, but what about 19 A I guess. 20 Q. Again, it's not a guessing game. If 21 you remember, great; if you don't, that's fine. 22 But was there a discussion or any communications 23 concerning the anticipated tax allocations of the | 2 Q. Do you recall how the P&L was 3 ultimately allocated in the final amended and 4 restated agreement? 5 A. I think I think largely the 6 majority was went went to Highland 7 Q. Okay. 8 A passively. 9 Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I 10 think is 13 of 55. And do you see 11 MR. MORRIS: You can scroll up a 12 little bit. Okay. Stop there. 13 Q that in paragraph 6.4(a), profits 14 and losses were allocated 94 percent to Highland 15 and 6 percent to BH. 16 Do you see that? 17 A. I do. 18 Q. Do you have an understanding that 19 that's the very provision that Mr. Patrick said 20 they needed to get Barker & Viggato comfortable 21 with? 22 A. I don't I don't agree with that 23 statement. |
| 2 A. I was. 3 Q. Okay. Looking at the the last 4 line, there's a reference to the return preparer. 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who that refers to? 8 A. Mark Barker. 9 Q. At Barker Viggato? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding 12 of why there was a need to get Barker Viggato 13 comfortable before executing the document with 14 respect to the anticipated tax allocations of the 15 P&L? 16 A. A billion-dollar complex 17 transaction 18 Q. Well, but what about 19 A I guess. 20 Q. Again, it's not a guessing game. If 21 you remember, great; if you don't, that's fine. 22 But was there a discussion or any communications | Q. Do you recall how the P&L was ultimately allocated in the final amended and restated agreement? A. I think I think largely the majority was went went to Highland Q. Okay. A passively. Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, which I think is 13 of 55. And do you see MR. MORRIS: You can scroll up a little bit. Okay. Stop there. Q that in paragraph 6.4(a), profits and losses were allocated 94 percent to Highland and 6 percent to BH. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. Do you have an understanding that that's the very provision that Mr. Patrick said they needed to get Barker & Viggato comfortable with? A. I don't I don't agree with that |

| EXHIDIL 71 | Fage 33 01 79 |
|---|---|
| Page 122 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 123 |
| 2 comfortable with that you're aware of? | 2 any of the profits and losses? |
| 3 A. I think I think Mark's email | 3 A. No. |
| 4 refers to those four items, not just the not | 4 Q. Did you ever discuss with anybody why |
| 5 just 6.4(a) from my read of it, the inclusion of | 5 Highland was taking 94 percent of the profits and |
| 6 new parties and all the other stuff. | 6 losses other than the fact that it got \$1 million |
| 7 Q. Okay. Did did you participate in | 7 at closing? |
| 8 any discussions with anybody at any time | 8 A. I mean, that it it's a lot of |
| 9 concerning Section 6.4? | 9 money. |
| 10 A. Yes. | 10 Q. I didn't ask you if it was a lot of |
| 11 Q. Who did you discuss 6.4 with? | 11 money, sir. Do you need me to restate the |
| 12 A. Our tax team. | 12 question? |
| 13 Q. And what do you recall about those | 13 A. Yes, please. |
| 14 conversations? | 14 Q. Is there any reason that Highland was |
| 15 A. Since Highland received the | 15 allocated 94 percent of the profits and losses |
| 16 1.14 million, BH receive the acquisition fees at | 16 other than the fact that it got \$1 million at |
| 17 closing, that it made sense to allocate 2018 this | 17 closing? |
| 18 way. | 18 A. I I think we I think they |
| 19 Q. Is your testimony that the reason | 19 looked at it the income and that's where it |
| 20 that 6.4 exists in the manner that it does is | 20 went, that's how they allocated it. |
| 21 because Highland got \$1 million at closing? | 21 Q. I know. I can read the document. |
| 22 A. Yeah, that's that's my no one | 22 I'm asking if you know why they did that for any |
| 23 else got any money. | 23 reason other than the fact, according to you, it |
| 24 Q. Right. And is there any reason that | 24 was \$1 million that was paid to Highland at |
| 25 you can think of that HCRE isn't being allocated | 25 closing? |
| Page 124 | Page 125 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 A. No. That that would be that | 2 to get through the Walker & Dunlop and KeyBank |
| 3 would be my perspective. | 3 issues, 20 to 40 million bucks. |
| 4 Q. Do you know if the allocation of | 4 Q. 20 to 40 million dollars of profits |
| 5 94 percent of the profits and losses to Highland | 5 and losses. Do I have that right? |
| 6 had anything to do with the purported tax | 6 A. Well, profits, right. |
| 7 benefits that you mentioned earlier, that was one | 7 Q. Right. On a net basis it would have |
| 8 of the reasons Highland was included in this | 8 been 20 to 40 million dollars of profit? |
| 9 deal? | 9 A. That's right. At the end of the |
| 10 A. No. | 10 rainbow of a five-year hold, 20 to 40 million. |
| 11 Q. Okay. Who on behalf of Highland | 11 Q. Did you do any analysis to see what |
| 12 agreed that did in all of the work that you | 12 Highland's tax liability was going to be on 20 to |
| 13 did, did you ever do an estimate as to what the | 13 40 million dollars of tax profit? |
| 14 profits and losses were going to be from | 14 A. No. |
| 15 SE Multifamily? | 15 Q. Do you have any idea how the |
| 16 A. Ultimately? | 16 projected tax liability from 20 to 40 million |
| 17 Q. Yeah. | 17 dollars of tax profits correlates to the |
| 18 A. Yeah, I we had a we had a | 18 \$1 million that it received at the closing of the |
| 19 business plan. We had a underwriting.20 Q. So under that business plan or under | 19 KeyBank deal?20 A. Do I have any what? Any sorry, |
| Q. So under that business plan or underthat underwriting, do you recall what the | |
| ra i martinerwinner occyclifecall What the | 21 can you repeat the question. |
| | 22 O Sura Do you hayo did you do any |
| 22 projected profits and losses were for | 22 Q. Sure. Do you have did you do any |
| 22 projected profits and losses were for23 SE Multifamily? | 23 analysis to see how Highland's tax liability on |
| 22 projected profits and losses were for | |

| EXHIBIT 71 | 1 1 age 54 of 75 |
|---|--|
| Page 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 127 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 of the KeyBank that you say is the reason for | 2 A. For 2018, that's correct. |
| 3 this provision? | 3 Q. That's what the document says; right? |
| 4 A. I don't think I said that this was | 4 A. For 2018, that's correct. |
| 5 the reason for this provision. | 5 Q. And you – and you did that with the |
| 6 Q. I think you said that the reason for | 6 expectation, because you didn't know about Corona |
| 7 for the 94 percent allocation to Highland was | 7 in March of 2019; right? |
| land the second of the second | |
| 8 because it got \$1 million in connection with the9 KeyBank closing; correct? | 8 A. No. But we knew about KeyBank and9 Walker & Dunlop's, correct. |
| 1 . | · |
| 10 A. Right. For 2018, that's right. | 10 Q. And you've taken all of that and |
| 11 Q. Okay. So now they're going to be | 11 you took and you took all of that into |
| 12 faced with tax liability on receipt on on | 12 account, though, already; right? |
| 13 having 20 – 94 percent of 20 to 40 million | 13 A. Yeah, sure. |
| 14 dollars of profits being allocated to it; | 14 Q. And yet – and yet you still |
| 15 correct? | 15 projected 20 to 40 million dollars of profits; |
| 16 A. No. | 16 correct? |
| 17 Q. Why not? | A. We're real estate, we're optimists. |
| 18 A. Because 2019 could have been a | 18 Q. Okay. You see where I'm going here; |
| 19 different year. 2020 could have been a different | 19 right? Does it make any sense at all for |
| 20 year. And it was. Coronavirus hit. It | 20 somebody to agree to take 94 percent of the tax |
| 21 sustained losses, I mean, there we didn't know | 21 liability on projected 20 to 40 million dollars |
| 22 what was going to happen. | 22 of profits when they in exchange for |
| 23 Q. You made a decision to sign an | 23 \$1 million? Would you do that? |
| 24 agreement that allocated 94 percent of the | 24 A. If I put in 49,000 and I got |
| 25 profits, correct, to Highland? | 25 \$1 million back in 30 days, I might. |
| Page | 128 Page 129 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 Q. How much how much is 1 over 20? | 2 A. It's what I was told. |
| 3 That's 5 percent; right? | 3 Q. What were you told? |
| 4 A. Sure. | 4 A. That it could be adjusted over time. |
| 5 Q. And 1 over 40 is 2.5 percent; right? | 5 Q. Did they who told you that? |
| 6 A. Right. | 6 A. Our tax team or Highland's tax |
| 7 Q. Do you believe that Highland was | 7 team. |
| 8 going to pay 2.5 to 5 percent withdrawn. | 8 Q. Who on the tax team told you that the |
| 9 If if Highland's tax liability was | 9 94 percent could be adjusted? |
| 10 2.5 to 5 percent, then they would be made and | 10 A. Mark Patrick. |
| 11 then it would be a wash right? and they | 11 Q. Did he tell you that HCRE had the |
| 12 received 20 to 40 million dollars of of | 12 unilateral right to ad adjust that percentage? |
| 13 profits, then Highland would be no better off | 13 A. No. |
| 14 than it was before it received \$1 million; right? | 14 Q. Did he tell you how it would be |
| 15 A. Under that narrow example, yeah. | 15 adjusted? |
| 16 Q. So why would Highland agree to do | 16 A. No. |
| 17 that? | 17 Q. Did he tell you what factors would be |
| 18 A. I think the again, the purpose was | 18 taken into account in making the adjustment? |
| 19 the document was a living document to reflect the | 19 A. No. |
| | IO Λ. INO. |
| | 20 O Did he tell you whether or not their |
| 20 transition of the portfolio, the first year is | 20 Q. Did he tell you whether or not their |
| 20 transition of the portfolio, the first year is21 allocated this way. | 21 consent of the other members would be required |
| 20 transition of the portfolio, the first year is 21 allocated this way. 22 Q. Did you believe that the 94 percent | 21 consent of the other members would be required22 before an adjustment would be made? |
| 20 transition of the portfolio, the first year is 21 allocated this way. 22 Q. Did you believe that the 94 percent 23 could be adjusted over time? | 21 consent of the other members would be required 22 before an adjustment would be made? 23 A. No. |
| 20 transition of the portfolio, the first year is 21 allocated this way. 22 Q. Did you believe that the 94 percent 23 could be adjusted over time? 24 A. Yes. | 21 consent of the other members would be required 22 before an adjustment would be made? 23 A. No. 24 Q. Do you know if this percentage was |
| 20 transition of the portfolio, the first year is 21 allocated this way. 22 Q. Did you believe that the 94 percent 23 could be adjusted over time? | 21 consent of the other members would be required 22 before an adjustment would be made? 23 A. No. |

| | age 33 01 73 |
|--|---|
| Page 130 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 13 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 signed? | 2 12:32 p.m. and we're back on the record. |
| 3 A. No, because the bankruptcy petition | 3 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Let's just go to the |
| 4 was filed the next year. | 4 next exhibit, Exhibit 6, please. |
| 5 Q. But between the time it was signed | 5 (Exhibit 6 was marked.) |
| 6 and the petition date, it was never adjusted; | 6 Q. So if we can scroll down to the email |
| 7 correct? | |
| | |
| 8 A. That six- or seven-month period, no. | 8 email from Mr. Broaddus dated March 14, 2019? |
| 9 Q. Did you ever ask them how how this | 9 A. Ido. |
| 10 percentage could be adjusted? | 10 Q. And you're copied on that email; |
| 11 A. I didn't. | 11 right? |
| 12 Q. Did you ever ask him the bases that | 12 A. Uh-huh, right. |
| 13 would be taken into account, the factors that | 13 Q. And Mr. Broaddus says, among other |
| 14 would be taken into account to adjust this | 14 things that "The contributions schedule in the |
| 15 percentage? | 15 attached needs to be updated with the actual |
| 16 MR. MORRIS: I've lost you Mr. – | 16 contribution numbers." |
| 17 (Zoom technical difficulty.) | 17 Do you see that? |
| 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah, I think we | 18 A. I do. |
| 19 lost the witness. Do you want to go off | 19 Q. Is it is it your recollection you |
| 20 the record, sir? | 20 recall that just before the agreement was signed, |
| 21 MR. MORRIS: Yeah. | 21 that Mr. Broaddus was working to update the |
| 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is | 22 contributions schedule to include the actual |
| 23 12:21 p.m. and we are going off the record. | 23 contribution numbers? |
| 24 (Break from 12:19 p.m. to 12:30 p.m.) | 24 A. Yes. |
| 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is | 25 Q. Okay. And did you have any |
| Page 132 | Page 13 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 communications with him at around that time | 2 with the work he did updating the contributions |
| 3 concerning the updated contributions schedule? | 3 schedule to include the actual contribution |
| 4 A. I didn't but that's not to say he | 4 numbers? |
| 5 didn't with my team. | 5 A. No, but I don't see the actual |
| 6 Q. I'm just asking for your knowledge. | 6 contribution numbers on the screen. So |
| 7 Did you have any conversations with him at around | 7 Q. Okay. |
| 8 that time on this topic? | 8 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the top |
| 9 A. I didn't. | 9 of the page? |
| 10 Q. Was Mr. Broaddus working under your | 10 Q. Do you see you're copied again on a |
| 11 direction? | 11 follow-up email where he informs BH Equities that |
| 12 A. In the scope of this email? | 12 he has attached the contributions schedule? |
| · | |
| 13 Q. Yeah. | |
| 14 A. Yes. | Q. And if we can go to the next page, is |
| 15 Q. Okay. And you understood that one of | 15 it your understanding that the document that |
| 16 the things that Mr. Broaddus was doing at this | 16 Mr. Broaddus sent to you and to BH Equities in |
| 17 time was updating the contributions schedule with | 17 this email contains the capital contributions by |
| 18 the actual contribution numbers; right? | 18 each of SE Multifamily's members? |
| 19 A. Yes. | 19 A. Yes. |
| 20 Q. And that was within the scope of | 20 Q. And you saw this at the time; |
| 21 Mr. Broaddus' responsibilities at the time; | 21 correct? |
| 22 correct? | 22 A. Yes. |
| 23 A. Yes. | 23 Q. Do you see where it shows HCRE |
| 24 Q. Okay. Does HCRE contend that | |
| | 24 Partners contributed approximately \$291 million? |
| 25 Mr. Broaddus ever made a mistake in connection | 24 Partners contributed approximately \$291 million?25 A. Yes. |

| EXHIDIL 71 | Page 30 01 79 | |
|---|---|----------|
| Page 134 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 135 |
| 2 Q. In your capacity as HCRE's 30(b)(6) | 2 understanding but I think the prior testimony | |
| 3 witness, do you know what the source of funding | 3 was that approximately \$250 million was the | |
| 4 was for that capital contribution? | 4 allocation to HCRE of at least a portion of the | |
| 5 A. The KeyBank bridge and some cash that | 5 KeyBank loan, and the other 40 approximately | |
| 6 that that we got from distributions from | 6 \$40 million was sourced through a loan with | |
| 7 NexBank NexVest, I mean. | 7 NexBank or with NexVest? | |
| 8 Q. What's the name of that entity? | 8 A. Yeah, generally that's right. | |
| 9 NexBank? | 9 Q. Okay. So then let's try this again. | |
| 10 A. NexVest, I believe it was. | 10 As HCRE's 30(b)(6) witness, would you agree that | |
| 11 Q. Let's talk about the the first | 11 approximately \$250 million of the capital | |
| 12 piece, the KeyBank bridge. Am I right that | 12 contribution reflected on Schedule A was | |
| 13 approximately 250 million of that \$291 million is | 13 allocated to HCRE Partners under the KeyBank loan | |
| 14 the allocation to HCRE of a portion of the | 14 and the balance of approximately \$40 million was | |
| 15 proceeds under the KeyBank loan? | 15 borrowed by HCRE from NexVest or NexBank? | |
| 16 A. Yes, although I thought the number | 16 A. Yes. | |
| 17 was the total number was 332 million. So | 17 Q. And is NexVest or NexBank an | |
| 18 Q. Let me ask the question again then. | 18 affiliate of Mr. Dondero's? | |
| 19 What portion of the 291 do you | 19 A. It is. | |
| 20 understand to be sourced from the KeyBank loan? | 20 Q. And who made the decision to allocate | |
| 21 A. That's probably all of the KeyBank | 21 to HCRE approximately \$250 million of the KeyBank | |
| 22 loan, but there should be another 30 in change in | 22 loan for purposes of setting the capital | |
| 23 there, I think. | 23 contributions schedule here? | |
| 24 Q. I was told I was told and you | 24 A. Highland and – and HCRE. | |
| 25 can tell me if it doesn't comport with your | 25 Q. Would that be Mr. Dondero on behalf | |
| · · · | 20 Q. Would that bo Wil. Dondoro on bornain | |
| Page 136 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 137 |
| 2 of both parties? | 2 jointly and severally liable for all obligations | |
| 3 A. In – informed by professionals, but, | 3 under the KeyBank loan. Have I misunderstood | |
| 4 yeah, that's right. | 4 that? | |
| 5 Q. Do you know what factors Mr. Dondero | 5 A. I yeah, I think you have. | |
| 6 took into account in deciding to allocate HCRE | 6 Q. So what part of the KeyBank loan was | |
| 7 \$250 million of the KeyBank loan? | 7 Highland liable for as a co-borrower? Any | |
| 8 A. II don't know. | 8 portion? | |
| 9 Q. Did you ever discuss with him why | 9 A. There's a yeah, so sorry. | |
| 10 HCRE was being allocated \$250 million of the | 10 There's a pledge and security agreement that | |
| 11 KeyBank loan and Highland was being allocated | 11 accompanies the KeyBank loan. And the recourse | |
| 12 zero? | 12 provisions enure to the collateral package, which | |
| 13 A. Yes. | 13 was the NexBank stock, the NXRT stock, the | |
| 14 Q. Yeah. What did you discuss? | 14 various assets that HCRE owned, all | |
| 15 A. I think it's the same reason that you | 15 these specific collateral package listed in 5.12, | |
| 16 saw in the Mark Patrick consolidation email, | 16 that's that's the collateral. Those that | |
| 17 Highland didn't want to take on \$250 million of | 17 that's the re that's the recourse KeyBank | |
| 18 additional indebtedness. | 18 would have against the borrowers. | |
| 19 Q. Well, it did so already, did it not? | 19 Q. So that's | |
| 20 A. No. It didn't have any guaranty, | 20 | |
| 21 there's nonrecourse debt to to Highland. You | 21 So it wasn't wasn't a guarantor; so they | |
| 22 don't you don't consolidate non nonrecourse | 22 weren't ultimately liable. | |
| 23 debt. | 23 Q. So it's your it's your testimony | |
| 24 Q. I thought we established earlier that | 24 under that KeyBank loan, if there was a default, | |
| 25 but for the senior collateral, Highland was | 25 KeyBank would never have the right to go after | |
| 20 bat for the serior collateral, ringrillatia was | 20 RoyDaille Would Hovel Have the highle to go alter | |

| EXHIBIT 11 | | |
|--|---|----------|
| Page 138 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 139 |
| 2 Highland for any of the obligations that were the | 2 Q. Okay. So even though HCRE had only | |
| 3 then outstanding under the KeyBank loan, is that | 3 put up \$100 million, it took \$250 million of the | |
| 4 your understanding? | 4 proceeds as credit for its interests in this | |
| 5 A. To the extent that there were losses | 5 agreement; right? | |
| 6 that were beyond the value of the collateral | 6 A. No. Dugaboy Investment Trust was a | |
| 7 pledged under the pledge in the security | 7 partner is a partner is Jim's Jim's | |
| | 8 member of HCRE, and it's pledged the balance of | |
| 8 agreement and under the guaranty, you're right.9 But there's 500 million of of collateral | 9 the collateral. So | |
| | | |
| 10 there, none of which was pledged by by | 10 Q. They're not a member – they're not a | |
| 11 Highland. | 11 member of this agreement; correct? | |
| 12 Q. How does how does your statement | 12 MR. GAMEROS: Which agreement, | |
| 13 about consolidation withdrawn. | 13 John? | |
| 14 Is there any reason withdrawn. | 14 MR. MORRIS: The one that's on the | |
| 15 And of that collateral, how much of | 15 screen, the HCRE agreement. | |
| 16 it was pledged by HCRE? | 16 A. The SE Multifamily? | |
| 17 A. Do you have the KeyBank loan | 17 Q. Yeah. | |
| 18 agreement by chance? There's a schedule of the | 18 A. Yeah, they're they're not. But | |
| 19 of the collateral listed. | 19 we're talking, I thought, about HCRE's collateral | |
| 20 Q. Do you know do you know if it was | 20 package. And so I think Jim could make a | |
| 21 more or less than \$291 million? | 21 determination what he wants to pledge as a member | |
| 22 A. It was less. | 22 of HCRE. | |
| 23 Q. Do you know if it was more or less | 23 Q. Okay. So he decided to allocate | |
| 24 than \$100 million? | 24 \$291 million to HCRE; correct? Withdrawn. | |
| 25 A. It was probably right around 100. | 25 Let me ask it this way: When you | |
| Page 140 | | Page 141 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | |
| 2 received this, did you tell anybody that there | 2 Q. How much? | |
| 3 was a mistake? | 3 A. I I don't know how much. But a | |
| 4 A. No. | 4 portion of the initial 10 million or 12 million | |
| 5 Q. Did you review it? | 5 dollar million dollars of earnest money was | |
| 6 A. Yeah. | 6 was partially funded by HCRE for the deal. | |
| 7 Q. Did it reflect your understanding of | 7 Q. Anything else? | |
| 8 what the terms were between Highland and HCRE? | 8 A. I'm sorry? | |
| 9 A. At the time it was signed, yes. | 9 Q. Anything else other than that portion | |
| 10 Q. Okay. Did HCRE pay back the | 10 of the earnest money? | |
| 11 approximately \$40 million that it harrowed from | AA A Nictid at Least deather a const | |
| 11 approximately \$40 million that it borrowed from | 11 A. Not that I not that I'm aware of. | |
| 12 NexBank as part of the capital contribution? | 11 A. Not that I — not that I'm aware of. 12 Q. Okay. Who else contributed to the | |
| | | |
| 12 NexBank as part of the capital contribution? | 12 Q. Okay. Who else contributed to the | |
| 12 NexBank as part of the capital contribution?13 A. I believe it did. | 12 Q. Okay. Who else contributed to the 13 earnest money you just mentioned? | |
| 12 NexBank as part of the capital contribution? 13 A. I believe it did. 14 Q. And where did it get the money to do | 12 Q. Okay. Who else contributed to the 13 earnest money you just mentioned? 14 A. I think BH did, too. | |
| 12 NexBank as part of the capital contribution? 13 A. I believe it did. 14 Q. And where did it get the money to do 15 that? | Q. Okay. Who else contributed to the earnest money you just mentioned? A. I think BH did, too. Q. And how much did BH contribute to | |
| 12 NexBank as part of the capital contribution? 13 A. I believe it did. 14 Q. And where did it get the money to do 15 that? 16 A. It sold assets the remaining | Q. Okay. Who else contributed to the earnest money you just mentioned? A. I think BH did, too. Q. And how much did BH contribute to that 10 or 12 million dollars? | |
| 12 NexBank as part of the capital contribution? 13 A. I believe it did. 14 Q. And where did it get the money to do 15 that? 16 A. It sold assets the remaining 17 assets. | Q. Okay. Who else contributed to the earnest money you just mentioned? A. I think BH did, too. Q. And how much did BH contribute to that 10 or 12 million dollars? A. I bel this is this is my | |
| 12 NexBank as part of the capital contribution? 13 A. I believe it did. 14 Q. And where did it get the money to do 15 that? 16 A. It sold assets the remaining 17 assets. 18 Q. It sold assets on behalf of | Q. Okay. Who else contributed to the earnest money you just mentioned? A. I think BH did, too. Q. And how much did BH contribute to that 10 or 12 million dollars? A. I bel this is this is my recollection I believe of the 40 that went | |
| NexBank as part of the capital contribution? A. I believe it did. Q. And where did it get the money to do that? A. It sold assets the remaining assets. Q. It sold assets on behalf of SE Multifamily; correct? A. That's right. | Q. Okay. Who else contributed to the earnest money you just mentioned? A. I think BH did, too. Q. And how much did BH contribute to that 10 or 12 million dollars? A. I bel this is this is my recollection I believe of the 40 that went up or 30 or 40 that went up, we were we were 20 or so and they were 10. | |
| NexBank as part of the capital contribution? A. I believe it did. Q. And where did it get the money to do that? A. It sold assets the remaining assets. Q. It sold assets on behalf of SE Multifamily; correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. Did HCRE reach into its pocket | 12 Q. Okay. Who else contributed to the 13 earnest money you just mentioned? 14 A. I think BH did, too. 15 Q. And how much did BH contribute to 16 that 10 or 12 million dollars? 17 A. I bel this is this is my 18 recollection I believe of the 40 that went 19 up or 30 or 40 that went up, we were we 20 were 20 or so and they were 10. 21 Q. Okay. I'm asking you it may be my | |
| 12 NexBank as part of the capital contribution? 13 A. I believe it did. 14 Q. And where did it get the money to do 15 that? 16 A. It sold assets the remaining 17 assets. 18 Q. It sold assets on behalf of 19 SE Multifamily; correct? 20 A. That's right. 21 Q. Okay. Did HCRE reach into its pocket 22 for any portion of the approximately \$291 million | 12 Q. Okay. Who else contributed to the 13 eamest money you just mentioned? 14 A. I think BH did, too. 15 Q. And how much did BH contribute to 16 that 10 or 12 million dollars? 17 A. I bel this is this is my 18 recollection I believe of the 40 that went 19 up or 30 or 40 that went up, we were we 20 were 20 or so and they were 10. 21 Q. Okay. I'm asking you it may be my 22 questioning, sir, and if it is, I apologize. I | |
| 12 NexBank as part of the capital contribution? 13 A. I believe it did. 14 Q. And where did it get the money to do 15 that? 16 A. It sold assets the remaining 17 assets. 18 Q. It sold assets on behalf of 19 SE Multifamily; correct? 20 A. That's right. 21 Q. Okay. Did HCRE reach into its pocket 22 for any portion of the approximately \$291 million 23 that's reflected on this document? Any a | 12 Q. Okay. Who else contributed to the 13 earnest money you just mentioned? 14 A. I think BH did, too. 15 Q. And how much did BH contribute to 16 that 10 or 12 million dollars? 17 A. I bel- — this is — this is my 18 recollection — I believe of the 40 that went 19 up — or 30 or 40 that went up, we were — we 20 were 20 or so and they were 10. 21 Q. Okay. I'm asking you — it may be my 22 questioning, sir, and if it is, I apologize. I 23 really want to know how much money HCRE put into | |
| 12 NexBank as part of the capital contribution? 13 A. I believe it did. 14 Q. And where did it get the money to do 15 that? 16 A. It sold assets the remaining 17 assets. 18 Q. It sold assets on behalf of 19 SE Multifamily; correct? 20 A. That's right. 21 Q. Okay. Did HCRE reach into its pocket 22 for any portion of the approximately \$291 million | 12 Q. Okay. Who else contributed to the 13 eamest money you just mentioned? 14 A. I think BH did, too. 15 Q. And how much did BH contribute to 16 that 10 or 12 million dollars? 17 A. I bel this is this is my 18 recollection I believe of the 40 that went 19 up or 30 or 40 that went up, we were we 20 were 20 or so and they were 10. 21 Q. Okay. I'm asking you it may be my 22 questioning, sir, and if it is, I apologize. I | |

| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/20/22 2 proceeds after sealer GSE Multipariny property. 3 How much money did HCRE put into this 4 deal out of its own pocker? 5 A I don't have the - I don't have the 6 specific number. 7 Q. Is timore or less than \$5 million? 8 A I - I honestly don't know. I - we 9 could - we could find that four I - I don't 10 know string right here. 11 Q. Is timore or less than \$5 million? 12 A. Same arswer: I don't know. I - we 13 know that it pledged every single asset that it 14 had that it was - that was available to be 15 pledged. 16 Q. And all of that - the entire loan 17 was repaid within a year; srift that right? 18 A. Oh, no, I don't hink so. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 19 much longer than that. 20 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 21 A. I think so. 2 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 22 A. I saw correspondence on this. Id - 24 Id just be guessing. I don't - I don't 25 termember. 26 Selpout have specifically, at the time he sent 27 O. Q. Was but here was a mistake in the stable? 28 A. Yeah. 29 Was wrong. I think that was pretip evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document; right? 5 A. You have you here wit at the time he sent 10 was signed unit today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So Im 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated, connect? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the generont, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gottha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 active the repair and out the waterfall 22 A. No. 23 A. Yeah. 24 A. No. 25 the first first first. 26 Q. Ordera. Okay. There's nothing 27 and pour precipied in contributions 28 chief first first. 38 A. Yeah. 39 Q. Gottha. Okay. There's nothing 30 arbiguous about this capital contributions 31 this was repaid and of you 31 this ensail, you thought the that the sent ton a green | | Exhibit 71 1 age 30 01 73 | |
|--|--|---|--------|
| 2 proceeds at the sale of SE Multifamily property. 3 How much money did HCRE put into this 4 deal out of its own pocket? 5 A I don't have the -1 don't have the 5 especific number. 7 Q. Is it more or less than \$5 million? 8 A I -1 honestly don't know. I -w w 9 could -we could find that I don't ledn't 10 know sitting right here. 11 Q. Is it more or less than \$1 million? 12 A Same answer. I don't know. I do 13 know that it piedged every single asset that it it had that it was shall be deeply single asset that it it had that it was -that was available to be 14 pedigadd. 17 was repaid within a year; ent that right? 18 A Oth, no, I don't think so. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 20 Q. Has the lose heen repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the lose in fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. Id- 24 filjust be guessing. I don't - I don't 25 remember. 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, you know it at the time he sent you the document; right? 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent you it so document, right? 5 A Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A You just asked me from he time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So Itm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that S49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highlands 46.06 percent interest was 16 Q. And of our was north the time he sent you 18 the senal, you thought that S49 million capital 19 Q. Gotha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 capital contributions 22 A. No. 24 Contributions about the wasterfall 25 at his time? 26 and you or the document right? 27 A. Yeah. 28 A. Yeah. Therefore it was entered into, yes. 39 A You but you the document right? 4 A. A. His file in the sent you the document right? 5 A. Yeah. 7 Q. Contributions accounted yisted and you 19 A You just asked m | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | | ge 143 |
| 3 November 2019? | 1 | | |
| 4 A. No. I dou'th. Are you taking 5 specific number. 7 Q. Is it more or less than \$5 million? 8 A. I – I honestly don't know. I – we 9 could – we could find it but I don't – I don't 10 know stiting right here. 11 Q. Is it more or less than \$1 million? 12 A. Same answer. I don't know. I – we 13 know that it pledged every single asset that it 14 had that it was – that was available to be 15 pledged. 16 Q. And all of that – the entire loan 17 was repaid within a year is nit that right? 18 A. Ot, no, I don't think so. 19 Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 20 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 21 A. I link so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. Id – 24 If ly last be guessing. I don't – I don't 25 remember. 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document, right? 7 Q. And you den't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document fright? 8 Q. A rough stasked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document, right? 7 Q. And you den't that Sight. 8 Q. Lot you specifically, at the time he sent you 10 this document inght? 1 Q. You'd saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this remail, you thought that \$3 millione; page and the was green, that the firm it was entered into, yes. 1 Q. And you denote that Sight. 1 Q. And you denote that Sight in a pretty evident, and 2 at the time he sent you the document right? 3 A. Yesh. 1 Denote the pretty evident and you 15 thought Highland's 46.00 percent interest was 1 Sequentially the sight in the second you 15 for you specifically, at the time he sent you 16 the remaindent of the prevention of the greenent, 17 Call on the waterfall? 18 that was discussed, one- 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 and yo | 1 | | |
| 5 about the KeyBank bridge? 6 specific number. 7 O. Is it more or less than \$5 million? 8 A. I – I honeshly don't know. I – we 9 could – we could find it but I don't – I don't 10 know stiling right here. 11 Q. Is it more or less than \$1 million? 12 A. Same answer. I don't hrow. I do 13 know that it pledged every single asset that it 14 had that it was – that was available to be 15 piedged. 16 Q. And all of that – the entire loan 17 was rapid within a year; isn't that right? 18 A. Oh, no, I don't think so. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 19 Q. Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. Id – 24 I foljust be guessing. I don't – I don't 25 remember. 1 MATT McGRANER - 10°11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew tat the time he sent 5 you this document, right? 5 a boout the KeyBank bridge? 6 Q. Vhen was the oar fully repaid? 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew tat the time he sent 5 you this document, right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 A. Oh, no, I doubt think was wrong 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 1 think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. And you gendically, at the time he sent 5 you this document, right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 10 C. You'de saying everybody knew. So I'm 11 Sayou specifically, at the time he sent you the document right? 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you the document right? 13 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 14 desiration ones accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.00 persont interest was 16 A. Or, and you or emerity its before the 17 deal was signed, that BHE quitties was concerned 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 10 and or the right of the waterfall? 11 Q. | 1 | | |
| 6 Q. Yeah. 7 Q. Is it more or less than \$5 million? 8 A. I -I honestly don't know. I -we 9 could -we could find it but I don't -I don't 10 know sitting right here. 10 know sitting right here. 11 Q. Is it more or less than \$1 million? 12 A. Same answer. I don't know. I do 13 know that it pledged every single asset that it 14 had that if wasthat was available to be 15 pledged. 16 Q. And all off thatthe entire loan 17 was repaid within a year; isn't that right? 18 A. Oth, no, I don't think so. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 20 Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. Id - 24 Id just be guessing. I don't - I don't 25 remember. 26 Was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document, right? 5 Q. And you dich't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document, right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today, to the time he sent 11 Q. Surfayou specifically, at the time he sent you the document, right? 12 A. You, but says the me he sent you the document, right? 13 A. You just asked me from the time it 14 don't hid you specifically, at the time he sent you the document, right? 15 A. You, and all this each often that the ime he sent you the document right? 16 A. Yeah. 17 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 18 a sent you the document right? 19 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you the document right? 13 A. Yeah. I think you have a more right or each and it you be a more right? 14 A. Yeah. Q. And you dich't tell him it was wrong 15 thought highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 A. Yeah. 17 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 18 this email, you brough that 45 all mills no gaptal 19 Q. Gottha. Okay. There's nothing 19 Q. Gottha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this c | · | , , , | |
| 7 A. Yeah. No, I doubt it. 8 A. I – I honestly don't know. I – we 9 oould – we was allocated to HCRE for purposes of 10 know sitting right here. 11 O. Is it more or less than \$1 million? 12 A. Same answer. I don't know. I do 13 know that it pledged every single asset that it 14 had that it was – that was available to be 15 pledged. 16 Q. And all of that – the entire loan 16 Q. And all of that – the entire loan 17 was repaid within a year sint that right? 18 A. Oh, no, I don't think so. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 19 A. I think so. 20 Q. Has the loan fully repaid? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 22 A. I saw correspondence on this. If d – 24 If glust be guessing. I don't – I don't 22 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew I at the time he sent 5 you this doument, right? 5 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you don't think imit was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you specifically, at the time he sent you the document right? 7 A. Yeah, at the time he sent you the document right? 8 A. You just asked me from the time it 9 was signed until today. 11 Q. You's saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you the document right? 19 A. You's saying everybody knew. So I'm 10 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you the document right? 10 A. Yeah, at the time he document right? 11 A. No. 12 A. Think was accurately stated and you 13 this email, you specifically, at the time he sent you the document right? 19 A. You'd saying everybody knew. So I'm 11 Inche you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 A. Yeah. 17 Q. Odotha. Okay. Th | | | |
| 8 A. I – I honestly don't know, I – we 9 could – we could into't but id but if both 9 could – we could into't but id both – I don't 10 know stiling right here. 11 Q. Is it more or less than \$1 million? 12 A. Same answer. I don't know. I do 13 know that it pledged every single asset that it 14 had that it was – that was available to be 15 pledged. 16 Q. And all of that – the entire loan 17 was repaid within a year; isn't that right? 18 A. Oh, no, I don't thinks o. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 20 Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. Id – 24 Idjust be guessing. I don't – I don't 25 tremember. Page 144 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you don't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document, right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until boday. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So fm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you through that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accustedly stated and you 15 thought Highlands 46.06 percent interest was 16 A. Yeah. 17 Gothan A. Yeah. 18 A. I remember this discussion. 19 Q. Cotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 arabibyous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 23 A. Yeah. I remember just before the 24 day our specifically, at the time he sent you the document, right? 25 Gothan. Okay. There's nothing 26 A. Yeah. 27 (Carbibli 7 was singed not how and how 28 at the time he sent you the document, right? 29 A. Yeah, at the time he sent you of the day our specifically at the time he sent you of the day our specifically at the time he sent you of the day our specifically at the time he sent you of the day our specifically at the day of the day of the day of the day our specifically at the day of the day of the day of the day of the d | 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 9 could—we could find it but I don't —I don't 10 know sitting right here. 11 Q. Is it more or less than \$1 million? 12 A. Same answer. I don't know. I do 13 know that it pledged every single asset that it 13 know that it pledged every single asset that it 14 had that it was — that was available to be 15 pledged. 16 Q. And all of that —the entire loan 16 Q. And all of that —the entire loan 17 was repaid within a year, int that right? 18 A. Oh, no, I don't think so. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 19 Q. Did you ever tell him that? 18 A. Ever? Like — 19 much longer than that. 19 Q. From —from the date you received 20 Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. If d — 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't —I don't 25 remember. 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document, right? 5 Q. A Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent intenest was 16 accurately stated, correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right? 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambityous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | |
| 10 know sitting right here. 11 Q. Is it more or less than \$1 million? 12 A. Same answer. I clort know. I do 13 know that it pledged every single asset that it 14 had that it was – that was available to be 15 A. Not at the time it was expaid within a year, isn't that right? 16 Q. And all of that – the entire loan 17 was repaid within a year, isn't that right? 18 A. Oh, no, I don't think's. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 20 Q. Has the lean been repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. I'd – 24 I drijust be guessing. I don't – I don't – 25 remember. 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was preitly evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document, right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You, ust asked me from the time it 10 was signed until boday. 11 N. No. 12 Cotcha, Okay. There's nothing 12 and playing everybody knew. So I'm 13 document, fight? 16 no. 17 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Broaddus, you knew it at the time he sent you find that the fine he sent you the document; right? 19 A. You, ust asked me from the time it 10 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you the obcument; right? 10 A. You, at the time he sent you the document; right? 11 A. Thoras to Mr. Broaddus, Now you're not 10 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 hope you'll agree that you'll see that this asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 12 thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you ever tell Mr. Broaddus, Now you're not 10 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 email concerns the waterfail that I think you 15 thought that \$49 million capital 14 contributions was accurately stated correct? 19 Q. Cotcha. Okay. The | <u> </u> | · | |
| 11 Q. Is it more or less than \$1 million? 12 A. Same answer. I don't know. I do 13 know that it pleaged every single asset that it 14 had that it was – that was available to be 15 pleaged. 16 Q. And all of that – the entire loan 17 was repaid within a year, isn't that right? 18 A. On, no, I don't think so. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 20 Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. Id – 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't – I don't remember. 25 remember. 26 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 27 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent you this document, right? 28 A. Q. Hou you knew it at the time he sent to you this document ingit? 29 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document ingit? 5 you this document, right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document ingit? 7 A. You just asked me from the time it was signed until today. 10 You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you personally that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highlands 46.06 personal interest was 15 accurately stated, correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time he sent you 14 distributions shout the waterfall at lithink you 15 thought Highlands 46.06 personal interest was 15 accurately stated, correct? 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 19 A. Yeah. 20 Catha. Okay. There's nothing 19 A. Yeah. 31 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 19 A. Yeah. 42 A. And and did you personally 21 arthis time? 43 A. Yeah and the incorributions shout the waterfall at lithic you 22 at this time? | | · · | |
| 12 A. Same answer. I don't know. I do 13 know that it pledged every single asset that it 14 had that it was – that was available to be 15 pledged. 16 Q. And all of that – the entire loan 17 was repaid within a year, isn't that right? 18 A. Oh, no, I don't think so. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 20 Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. Id – 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't – I don't 25 remember. 29 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document, right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed untill today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you the sidocument today. 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 to contribution was accurately stated; correct? 15 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 16 and you den't tell him it was was oncerned 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that it night. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 23 A. Yeah at the ime of the agreement, 24 A. At the Ime in was entered into, yes. 25 Lefts got to the next email, please, 26 Exhibit 7. 27 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 28 A. Yeah it think the was entered into, yes. 3 (girt)? 4 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 4 D. Left go to the next email, please, 4 Exhibit 7. 5 Q. Left go to the next email please, 5 Exhibit 7. 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you girt that \$49 million capital 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated for the agreement, 18 that is night. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No | | | |
| 13 know that it pledged every single asset that it 14 had that it was — that was available to be 15 pledged. 16 Q. And all of that — the entire loan 17 was repaid within a year; isn't that right? 18 A. Oh, no, I don't think so. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 20 Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. Id— 24 Id just be guessing. I don't—I don't 25 remember. 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document, right? 9 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 9 at the time he sent you the document, right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you fish email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 to contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highlands 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 at this time? 22 at this time? 23 A. Yeah. 24 In think we ware the counter think the time he sent 25 \$49,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was 26 Exhibit 7. 27 Q. Let's go to the next email, please, 28 Exhibit 7. 29 A. You just asked me from the time it 29 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 210 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 211 In prey ou'll agree that you'll see that this 212 email concerns the waterfall that this you 23 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 24 contribution was accurately stated and you 25 this decurrency the decurrency the capital and you 26 this decurrency this discussion. 27 Q. Othan Okay. There's nothing 28 and the time he sent you the accurated into year and how 29 Q. Cothan. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 at this | · · | | |
| 14 had that it was – that was available to be 15 pledged. 16 Q. And all of that – the entire loan 17 was repaid within a year; isn't that right? 18 A. Oh, no, I don't think so. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 20 Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. Id – 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't – I don't 25 remember. 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 3 Q. Let's go to the next email, please, 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document, right? 4 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 5 Q. Let's go to the next email, please, 6 Exhibit 7 7 (Exhibit 7 was marked.) 8 at the time he sent you the document, right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Indep you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 A. No. 19 A. You, 19 A. Yeah. 10 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiliguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 23 A. Yeah. 24 C. But you shew it also the mere the waterfall 25 can be invested that be in the sent you 26 can be invested the rew as a mistake in this table? 27 A. No. 28 A. Chat the time it was entered into, 29 C. Do you see this in this table? 29 A. You just asked me from the time it 29 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 20 capied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 21 Indep you'll agree that you'll see that this 22 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 23 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 24 C. Do you see this is an email from 25 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 26 A. Yeah, I the time of the agreement, 27 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 28 that's right. 29 | | | |
| 15 pledged. 16 Q. And all of that – the entire loan 17 was repaid within a year; isn't that right? 18 A. Oh, no, I don't think so. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 20 Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. I'd – 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't – I don't remember. 25 quas wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document, right? 5 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it was sended until today. 10 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you thought thet \$49 million capital to was signed until today. 21 A think of the contribution was accurately stated and you thought thet she fine fire the greenent, 18 that's right. 22 A. No. 23 A. Yeah. No. 24 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 25 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document; right? 4 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 5 Q. Let's go to the next email, please, 6 Exhibit 7. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 7 (Eshibit 7 was marked.) 8 Q. Do you see this is an email from 9 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 10 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 don'thinking a million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 And – and did you personally 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 this time? 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall | 1 | | |
| 16 O. And all of that – the entire loan 17 was repaid within a year, isn't that right? 18 A. Oh, no, I don't think so. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 19 Q. From – from the date you received 20 Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 21 A. I thinks o. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. I'd – 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't – I don't remember. 25 Way.000 to receive a 46 percent interest was – 26 Way.000 to receive a 46 percent interest was – 27 Was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 3 ngth? 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 4 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 5 you this document, right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document, right? 9 A. You, just asked me from the time it was signed until today. 10 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm asking you specifically, at the time he sent you thought thighland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated on you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated and you 15 schedule; right? 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about the waterfall can be repaired into, yes. 21 participate in one waterfall? 20 A. No. 21 A. Yeah. 22 Page 1.44 23 A. Yeah. I think we – we – we all 24 knew and all toid each other that the – the 25 \$49,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was – 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't – I don't 24 knew and all toid each other that the – the 25 \$49,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was – 25 Way.000 to receive a 46 percent interest was 16 for the contributions schedule; right? 26 A. Yeah. 27 (Exhibit 7. 28 Q. Do you see this is an email from 9 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 10 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 lhope you'll agree that you'll see that this 11 lhink you 13 referred to earlier and how – and how 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 A. Yeah, | | | |
| 17 Was repaid within a year, isn't that right? 18 A. Oh, no, I don't think so. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 19 Q. From – from the date you received 20 Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. I'd – 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't – I don't 25 remember. Page 144 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document, right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document, right? 9 A. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's night. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about the waterfall 21 strike in the waterfall 22 at this time? | 1 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| 18 A. Ch, no, I don't think so. No, it was 19 much longer than that. 20 Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. Id - 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't - I don't 25 remember. 26 When was the loan fully repaid? 27 A. I saw correspondence on this. Id - 28 I'd just be guessing. I don't - I don't 29 I'member. 29 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 20 Was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 31 that was discussed, yes. 40 De but you knew it at the time he sent 40 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 50 Q. Let's go to the next email, please, 61 Exhibit 7. 62 A. Yeah. 63 A. Yeah. 64 C. Do you see this is an email from 95 Mr. Thornas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 10 was signed until today. 11 C. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you throught that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highlands 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 16 Q. And do you remember this discussion. 17 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 18 about the waterfall Hat I think you 19 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 19 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 19 A. Yeah. 20 Areian. 21 A. Yeah. 22 A. Yeah. 23 A. Yeah. 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't - I don't 25 A. Yeah. 26 Exhibit 7. 27 (Exhibit 7 was marked.) 28 A. Do you see this is an email from 29 Mr. Thornas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 20 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 21 I'd hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 22 email concerns the waterfall Hat I think you 23 this email, you emember this discussion. 29 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 29 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 20 Q. And – and did you personally 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 A. No. | | | |
| 19 much longer than that. 20 Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. I'd— 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't — I don't 25 remember. 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document, right? 5 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document, right? 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document, right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Lope you'll agree that you'll see that his asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highlands 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. From — from the date you received this doy ou rereatly to would you receive a 46 you cover say, Hey, 21 Mr. Broaddus, you know that document you would 22 create — you created, it was wrong? 23 A. Yeah. I think we — we — we all 24 knew and all told each other that the — the 25 \$49,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was— 2 under this draft of the contributions schedule; 3 right? 2 a. Neth. I think we — we — we all 24 knew and all told each other that the — the 25 \$49,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was— 2 under this draft of the contributions schedule; 3 right? 2 part to this draft of the contributions would each other that the me the 2 page 14 4 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 5 Q. Let's go to the next email, please, 6 Exhibit 7. 7 (Exhibit 7 was marked.) 8 Q. Do you see this is an email from 9 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 10 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 11 email concerns the waterfall that I think y | | · | |
| 20 Q. Has the loan been repaid as of today? 21 A. I think so. 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. I'd - 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't - I don't 25 remember. 26 WART McGRANER - 10/11/2022 27 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document; right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated; correct? 15 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 16 that's right. 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 20 this document until today, did you ever say, Hey, 21 M. Broaddus, you know was rong? 22 create - you created, it was wrong? 23 A. Yeah. I think the 4 e- we - we all 24 knew and all told each other that the - the 25 \$49,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was - 24 knew and all told each other that the - the 25 \$49,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was - 25 Was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 26 Left got of the contributions schedule; 27 inght? 28 A. A that the time I the - the 28 \$49,000 to receive, a 46 percent interest was - 29 Left got of the contributions schedule; 20 Left got to the contributions schedule; 21 this time? 22 there was an all told each other that the - the 24 knew and all told each other that the - the 24 knew and all told each other that the - the 24 knew and all told each other that the - the 25 *49,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was - 26 Left got of the contributions schedule; 27 Left got of the contributions wrong. 28 A. the time in the esent you the contributions of the contributions of t | | | |
| 21 Mr. Broaddus, you know that document you would 22 Q. When was the loan fully repaid? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. I'd – 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't – I don't 25 Fremember. 26 Was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 27 Was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 28 Was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 4 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 5 you this document; right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highlands 46.06 percent interest was 16 A. Yeah. 17 A. Yeah, at the time he sent you 18 this triph. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 A. Yeah. 22 urace this draft of the contribution short that the circle was word. 22 under this draft of the contributions schedule; 23 hay,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was — 24 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 under this draft of the contributions schedule; 3 right? 4 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 5 Q. Let's go to the next email, please, 6 Exhibit 7. 7 (Exhibit 7 was marked.) 8 Q. Do you see this is an email from 9 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 10 was signed until today. 11 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highlands 46.06 percent interest was 16 A. Yeah, I remember his discussion. 18 accurately stated; correct? 19 A. Yeah. 10 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 19 A. Yeah. 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 20 Q. And - and did you personally 21 this time? | _ | | |
| 22 Create — you created, it was wrong? 23 A. I saw correspondence on this. I'd — 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't — I don't 25 remember. 26 I'd just be guessing. I don't — I don't 27 I'd just be guessing. I don't — I don't 28 I'd just be guessing. I don't — I don't 29 I'd just be guessing. I don't — I don't 29 I'd just be guessing. I don't — I don't 29 I'd just be guessing. I don't — I don't 29 I'd shew and all told each other that the — the 20 S49,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was — 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 3 right? 4 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 5 you this document; right? 5 Q. Let's go to the next email, please, 6 Exhibit 7. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 9 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. | | | |
| 23 A. Yeah. I think we – we – we all 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't – I don't 25 remember. 26 Page 144 27 I'd just be guessing. I don't – I don't 28 knew and all told each other that the – the 29 \$49,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was – 29 Image: 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 20 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 21 Image: 20 makes discussed, yes. 22 under this draft of the contributions schedule; 23 Individual in the contributions schedule; 25 \$49,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was – Page 14 26 Image: 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 27 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 28 Image: 20 mider this draft of the contributions schedule; 38 Individual in the contributions schedule; 40 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 41 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 42 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 43 right? 44 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 45 you this document; right? 45 Q. Let's go to the next email, please, 46 Exhibit 7. 47 (Exhibit 7 was marked.) 48 at the time he sent you the document, right? 48 Q. Do you see this is an email from 49 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 40 was signed until today. 40 Copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 41 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 41 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 42 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 43 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 44 contribution was accurately stated and you 45 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 46 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 47 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 48 that's right. 49 A. Yeah. 40 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 50 Q. And do you remember just before the 51 A. Yeah, at the time he sent you the document; 52 A. Yeah. 53 A. Yeah, at the time he sent you the document; 53 right? 54 A. Yeah, 1 termenther this discussion. 55 A. Yeah, 1 remember this discussion. 66 A. Yeah, 1 remember this discussion. 67 A. Yeah, 1 remember this discussion. 68 A. Yeah, 1 remember this did you | | | |
| 24 I'd just be guessing. I don't – I don't 25 remember. 24 knew and all told each other that the – the 25 \$49,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was – Page 144 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document; right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. Page 14 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 knew and all told each other that the – the 25 \$49,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was | , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | , | |
| 25 stay,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was — Page 144 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and that was discussed, yes. Q. But you knew it at the time he sent A. A. At the time it was entered into, yes. Q. Let's go to the next email, please, Exhibit 7. Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong that the time he sent you the document; right? A. Yeah. Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong that the time he sent you the document; right? A. You just asked me from the time it was signed until today. Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm this email, you thought that \$49 million capital this email, you thought that \$49 million capital thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was that's right. Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing Q. ambiguous about this capital contributions 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. Page 144 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 under this draft of the contributions schedule; and the time it was entered into, yes. Q. Let's go to the next email, please, Exhibit 7. Q. Let's go to the next email, please, Exhibit 7. Q. Do you see this is an email from Page 1 M. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 10 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 lhope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 13 this email, you thought that \$40 million capital 13 referred to earlier and how — and how 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 20 Q. And — and did you personally 21 schedule; right? 22 at this time? | ' | | |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document; right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 2 under this draft of the contributions schedule; a marked.) 2 under this draft of the contribution sehelder; 3 right? 4 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 5 Q. Let's go to the next email, please, 6 Exhibit 7. 7 (Exhibit 7 was marked.) 8 Q. Do you see this is an email from 9 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 10 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 13 referred to earlier and how — and how 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 at this time? | | | |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 was wrong. I think that was pretty evident, and 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document; right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 achieve the sent you the agreement was a pertoyenished and you personally 22 A. No. 21 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 22 under this draft of the contributions schedule; and instinction this draft of the contributions schedule; and instinction this draft of the contribution senter of the contribution schedule; and into this draft of the contribution schedule; and into this draft of the contributions schedule; and into this draft of the contributions schedule; and into this draft of the contributions schedule; and into the contribution schedule; and into the contribution schedule; and into the waterfall 22 at this time? | 25 Terriember. | 25 \$49,000 to receive a 46 percent interest was | |
| 2 under this draft of the contributions schedule; 3 that was discussed, yes. 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document; right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 under this draft of the contributions schedule; 3 right? 4 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 5 Q. Let's go to the next email, please, 6 Exhibit 7. 7 (Exhibit 7 was marked.) 8 Q. Do you see this is an email from 9 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 10 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 accurately stated; correct? 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | | ge 145 |
| 3 fright? 4 Q. But you knew it at the time he sent 5 you this document; right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 Exhibit 7. 7 (Exhibit 7 was marked.) 8 Q. Do you see this is an email from 9 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 10 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 13 treferred to earlier and how – and how 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 23 right? 4 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 6 Exhibit 7. 7 (Exhibit 7 was marked.) 8 Q. Let's go to the next email, please, 6 Exhibit 7. 7 (Exhibit 7 was marked.) 8 Q. Do you see this is an email from 9 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 10 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 13 trise and how – and how 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 about the waterfall? 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 Q. And – and did you personally 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 at this time? | | | |
| 4 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 5 you this document; right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 at this time? 22 A. No. 24 A. At the time it was entered into, yes. 5 Q. Let's go to the next email, please, 6 Exhibit 7. 7 (Exhibit 7. 7 (Exhibit 7 was marked.) 8 Q. Do you see this is an email from 9 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 10 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 13 referred to earlier and how — and how 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And — and did you personally 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. | 1 | · | |
| 5 you this document; right? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 contribution about the waterfall 22 A. No. 25 Q. Let's go to the next email, please, 6 Exhibit 7. 7 (Exhibit 7 was marked.) 8 Q. Do you see this is an email from 9 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 10 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 13 referred to earlier and how and how 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 Q. And and did you personally 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 22 at this time? | • | | |
| 6 Exhibit 7. 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 exhibit 7. 22 Exhibit 7. 25 (Exhibit 7. 26 (Exhibit 7. 27 (Exhibit 7 was marked.) 28 Q. Do you see this is an email from 29 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 10 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 13 referred to earlier and how and how 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 20 Q. And and did you personally 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 22 at this time? | | | |
| 7 Q. And you didn't tell him it was wrong 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 at the time he sent you the document; right? 22 A. No. 25 (Exhibit 7 was marked.) 8 Q. Do you see this is an email from 9 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 20 Evaluate. Now you're not 21 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 13 referred to earlier and how – and how 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 at this time? | · · | | |
| 8 at the time he sent you the document; right? 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 examined this is an email from 22 of Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 25 more of Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 26 more of Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 27 examined to this, but if you take a moment to read, 28 more opied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 29 mail concerns the waterfall that I think you 29 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 20 at this time? 20 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 21 distributions were going to be made. 22 at this time? | | | |
| 9 A. You just asked me from the time it 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 22 on Mr. Thomas to Mr. Broaddus. Now you're not 24 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 25 moment to read, 26 expect the you'll agree that you'll see that this 26 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 27 distributions were going to be made. 28 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 29 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 30 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 31 about the waterfall? 32 A. Yeah. 33 A. Yeah. 34 Pach. 35 A. Yeah. 36 Q. And and did you personally 36 A. Yeah. 37 Pach. 38 A. Yeah. 39 Q. And and did you personally 30 A. Yeah this time? | , , | | |
| 10 was signed until today. 11 Q. You're saying everybody knew. So I'm 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 10 copied on this, but if you take a moment to read, 11 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 13 referred to earlier and how — and how 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 about the waterfall? 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And — and did you personally 21 schedule; right? 22 at this time? | , | · | |
| 11 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 11 I hope you'll agree that you'll see that this 12 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 13 referred to earlier and how — and how 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 about the waterfall? 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And — and did you personally 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 at this time? | • | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| asking you specifically, at the time he sent you 13 this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 21 email concerns the waterfall that I think you 13 referred to earlier and how and how 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 about the waterfall? 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And and did you personally 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 at this time? | 1 | | |
| this email, you thought that \$49 million capital 13 referred to earlier and how and how 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 13 referred to earlier and how and how 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 about the waterfall? 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And and did you personally 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 at this time? | , - , , | | |
| 14 contribution was accurately stated and you 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 14 distributions were going to be made. 15 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 about the waterfall? 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And – and did you personally 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 at this time? | 1 | | |
| 15 thought Highland's 46.06 percent interest was 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 25 A. Yeah, I remember this discussion. 26 Q. And do you remember just before the 27 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 28 about the waterfall? 29 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And and did you personally 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 at this time? | | | |
| 16 accurately stated; correct? 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 16 Q. And do you remember just before the 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 about the waterfall? 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And and did you personally 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 at this time? | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 17 A. Yeah, at the time of the agreement, 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 17 deal was signed, that BH Equities was concerned 18 about the waterfall? 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And – and did you personally 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 at this time? | | · · | |
| 18 that's right. 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 18 about the waterfall? 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And and did you personally 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 at this time? | 1 | | |
| 19 Q. Gotcha. Okay. There's nothing 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 29 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And – and did you personally 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 at this time? | - | · | |
| 20 ambiguous about this capital contributions 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 20 Q. And and did you personally 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 at this time? | 1 | | |
| 21 schedule; right? 22 A. No. 21 participate in conversations about the waterfall 22 at this time? | , , | | |
| 22 A. No. 22 at this time? | 1 | | |
| | 1 | | |
| | | | |
| | 23 Q. You knew exactly what Highland was | 23 A. Sure, yeah. | |
| 24 credited as having contributed and you knew 25 except what percentage interest it was getting | 1 | , | |
| 25 exactly what percentage interest it was getting 25 scroll down a little bit BH Equities had made | 25 exactly what percentage interest it was getting | 25 Scroll down a little bit bri Equities had made | |

| | age 53 of 73 | |
|---|---|-------|
| Page 146 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | e 147 |
| 2 a proposal concerning the waterfall? | 2 Q. We can go back we can go back and | |
| 3 A. Yeah. | 3 look if you want. There was a whole proposal. | |
| 4 Q. Okay. And here's their version of | 4 It was they proposed the whole waterfall and | |
| 5 6.1. Are you aware that Section 6.1 concerns the | 5 you guys do you want to go back and look at | |
| 6 waterfall? | 6 it, we can do that. | |
| 7 A. Yeah. | 7 If we can go back to Exhibit 7, | |
| 8 Q. Okay. And are you aware that people | 8 please. If we can go to the top of the email | |
| 9 acting on behalf of the Highland group made a | 9 itself. | |
| 10 counter proposal? | 10 Do you see Mr. Thomas tells | |
| 11 A. Yes. | 11 Mr. Broaddus, Attached is what we proposed in | |
| 12 Q. Okay. Can we go to the next exhibit, | 12 October | |
| 13 Exhibit 8? | 13 A. Yeah. | |
| 14 (Exhibit 8 was marked.) | 14 Q try to handle this. | |
| 15 Q. Do you see that at the bottom, | 15 A. Yeah. You you can go back to | |
| 16 there's an email from Mr. Chang to Mr. Broaddus? | 16 their their proposed language, if you don't | |
| 17 A. Yes. | 17 mind, please. | |
| 18 Q. And is it your understanding that | 18 Q. Okay. Let's just scroll down a | |
| 19 notwithstanding the fact that it's Section 1.1 | 19 little bit. And this is their version of 6.1. | |
| 20 instead of 6.1, that this is the waterfall that | 20 So this is the way they wanted the waterfall to | |
| 21 Highland provided to BH Equities as an | 21 set up. Do I have that right? | |
| 22 alternative to the one we just looked at? | 22 A. Yes. | |
| 23 A. The the email that we just looked | 23 Q. Okay. And if we can go back to the | |
| 24 at was just that, it was a email, it wasn't | 24 top of this | |
| 25 specific language. | 25 A. They | |
| Page 148 | Page | e 149 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 0 140 |
| 2 Q. I'm sorry to interrupt. Go ahead, | 2 knowledge and recollection as and and in | |
| 3 sir. | 3 your capacity as HCRE's 30(b)(6) witness, that | |
| 4 A. No, that's all right. I go ahead. | 4 the version that Mr. Chang drafted is the version | |
| 5 I get it. | 5 that ultimately made its way into the agreement? | |
| 6 Q. Okay. So if we go back to the top of | 6 A. Yeah. | |
| 7 this amail you'll see he conde this at Laures | | |
| 7 this email, you'll see he sends this at, I guess, | 7 Q. Okay. And the language that | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 7 Q. Okay. And the language that | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? | 7 Q. Okay. And the language that 8 Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that?9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't | Q. Okay. And the language that Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was language that was drafted by the Highland side; | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? 9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't 10 know what time zone. | 7 Q. Okay. And the language that 8 Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was 9 language that was drafted by the Highland side; 10 correct? | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? 9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't 10 know what time zone. 11 A. Yeah, of course. | Q. Okay. And the language that Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was language that was drafted by the Highland side; correct? A. The Highland tax team, that's | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? 9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't 10 know what time zone. 11 A. Yeah, of course. 12 Q. But he says now, if we go to the | Q. Okay. And the language that Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was language that was drafted by the Highland side; correct? A. The Highland tax team, that's correct. | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? 9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't 10 know what time zone. 11 A. Yeah, of course. 12 Q. But he says now, if we go to the 13 next email, you understood these discussions | 7 Q. Okay. And the language that 8 Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was 9 language that was drafted by the Highland side; 10 correct? 11 A. The Highland tax team, that's 12 correct. 13 Q. And at this point, the Highland tax | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? 9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't 10 know what time zone. 11 A. Yeah, of course. 12 Q. But he says now, if we go to the 13 next email, you understood these discussions 14 about the waterfall were ongoing right up until | Q. Okay. And the language that Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was language that was drafted by the Highland side; correct? A. The Highland tax team, that's correct. Q. And at this point, the Highland tax team is working on behalf of Highland and HCRE; | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? 9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't 10 know what time zone. 11 A. Yeah, of course. 12 Q. But he says now, if we go to the 13 next email, you understood these discussions 14 about the waterfall were ongoing right up until 15 the last minute; right? | 7 Q. Okay. And the language that 8 Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was 9 language that was drafted by the Highland side; 10 correct? 11 A. The Highland tax team, that's 12 correct. 13 Q. And at this point, the Highland tax 14 team is working on behalf of Highland and HCRE; 15 correct? | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? 9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't 10 know what time zone. 11 A. Yeah, of course. 12 Q. But he says now, if we go to the 13 next email, you understood these discussions 14 about the waterfall were ongoing right up until 15 the last minute; right? 16 A. Yes. | Q. Okay. And the language that Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was language that was drafted by the Highland side; correct? A. The Highland tax team, that's correct. Q. And at this point, the Highland tax team is working on behalf of Highland and HCRE; correct? A. Correct. | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? 9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't 10 know what time zone. 11 A. Yeah, of course. 12 Q. But he says now, if we go to the 13 next email, you understood these discussions 14 about the waterfall were ongoing right up until 15 the last minute; right? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. You'll see that Mr. Chang sent had | Q. Okay. And the language that Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was language that was drafted by the Highland side; correct? A. The Highland tax team, that's correct. Q. And at this point, the Highland tax team is working on behalf of Highland and HCRE; correct? A. Correct. Q. Everybody's rowing in the same | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? 9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't 10 know what time zone. 11 A. Yeah, of course. 12 Q. But he says now, if we go to the 13 next email, you understood these discussions 14 about the waterfall were ongoing right up until 15 the last minute; right? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. You'll see that Mr. Chang sent had 18 sent this email to Mr. Broaddus earlier in the | Q. Okay. And the language that Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was language that was drafted by the Highland side; correct? A. The Highland tax team, that's correct. Q. And at this point, the Highland tax team is working on behalf of Highland and HCRE; correct? A. Correct. Q. Everybody's rowing in the same direction direction for the Highland group of | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? 9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't 10 know what time zone. 11 A. Yeah, of course. 12 Q. But he says now, if we go to the 13 next email, you understood these discussions 14 about the waterfall were ongoing right up until 15 the last minute; right? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. You'll see that Mr. Chang sent had 18 sent this email to Mr. Broaddus earlier in the 19 date on the 15th; right? | 7 Q. Okay. And the language that 8 Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was 9 language that was drafted by the Highland side; 10 correct? 11 A. The Highland tax team, that's 12 correct. 13 Q. And at this point, the Highland tax 14 team is working on behalf of Highland and HCRE; 15 correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. Everybody's rowing in the same 18 direction direction for the Highland group of 19 companies that's the subject to this agreement; | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? 9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't 10 know what time zone. 11 A. Yeah, of course. 12 Q. But he says now, if we go to the 13 next email, you understood these discussions 14 about the waterfall were ongoing right up until 15 the last minute; right? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. You'll see that Mr. Chang sent had 18 sent this email to Mr. Broaddus earlier in the 19 date on the 15th; right? 20 A. Yes. | 7 Q. Okay. And the language that 8 Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was 9 language that was drafted by the Highland side; 10 correct? 11 A. The Highland tax team, that's 12 correct. 13 Q. And at this point, the Highland tax 14 team is working on behalf of Highland and HCRE; 15 correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. Everybody's rowing in the same 18 direction direction for the Highland group of 19 companies that's the subject to this agreement; 20 correct? | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? 9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't 10 know what time zone. 11 A. Yeah, of course. 12 Q. But he says now, if we go to the 13 next email, you understood these discussions 14 about the waterfall were ongoing right up until 15 the last minute; right? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. You'll see that Mr. Chang sent had 18 sent this email to Mr. Broaddus earlier in the 19 date on the 15th; right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And if we could scroll to the top, | 7 Q. Okay. And the language that 8 Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was 9 language that was drafted by the Highland side; 10 correct? 11 A. The Highland tax team, that's 12 correct. 13 Q. And at this point, the Highland tax 14 team is working on behalf of Highland and HCRE; 15 correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. Everybody's rowing in the same 18 direction direction for the Highland group of 19 companies that's the subject to this agreement; 20 correct? 21 A. At at this date, that's right. | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? 9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't 10 know what time zone. 11 A. Yeah, of course. 12 Q. But he says now, if we go to the 13 next email, you understood these discussions 14 about the waterfall were ongoing right up until 15 the last minute; right? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. You'll see that Mr. Chang sent had 18 sent this email to Mr. Broaddus earlier in the 19 date on the 15th; right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And if we could scroll to the top, 22 all Mr. Broaddus does is forward this to | 7 Q. Okay. And the language that 8 Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was 9 language that was drafted by the Highland side; 10 correct? 11 A. The Highland tax team, that's 12 correct. 13 Q. And at this point, the Highland tax 14 team is working on behalf of Highland and HCRE; 15 correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. Everybody's rowing in the same 18 direction direction for the Highland group of 19 companies that's the subject to this agreement; 20 correct? 21 A. At at this date, that's right. 22 Q. That's right. And | |
| 8 3/15 at 9:00 in the evening. Do you see that? 9 Now, I can't adjust for time change as I don't 10 know what time zone. 11 A. Yeah, of course. 12 Q. But he says now, if we go to the 13 next email, you understood these discussions 14 about the waterfall were ongoing right up until 15 the last minute; right? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. You'll see that Mr. Chang sent had 18 sent this email to Mr. Broaddus earlier in the 19 date on the 15th; right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And if we could scroll to the top, 22 all Mr. Broaddus does is forward this to 23 Mr. Thomas at 11:00 on the 15th; correct? | 7 Q. Okay. And the language that 8 Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus, that was 9 language that was drafted by the Highland side; 10 correct? 11 A. The Highland tax team, that's 12 correct. 13 Q. And at this point, the Highland tax 14 team is working on behalf of Highland and HCRE; 15 correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. Everybody's rowing in the same 18 direction direction for the Highland group of 19 companies that's the subject to this agreement; 20 correct? 21 A. At at this date, that's right. 22 Q. That's right. And 23 A. It changed pretty dramatically six | |

| EXHIBIT 71 1 | - ago 10 01 10 | |
|---|--|----------|
| Page 150 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 151 |
| 2 quite and you didn't anticipate that at the | 2 this allocation of distributable cash was fair, | |
| 3 time; correct? | 3 reasonable, and consistent with the parties' | |
| 4 A. That Highland filed bankruptcy? | 4 intent; correct? | |
| 5 Q. Correct. | 5 A. Correct. | |
| 6 A. Believe me, I did not. | 6 Q. Okay. So did anything change your | |
| 7 Q. That's right. That's right. And | 7 view on that other than the filing of the | |
| 8 that that event was not foresee you did not | 8 bankruptcy by Highland? | |
| 9 personally foresee that event in March of 2019; | 9 A. I mean, yeah, I – I – are you | |
| 10 correct? | 10 talking about me personally or me – or I guess | |
| | 11 it's the same same answer. | |
| · ** | | |
| 12 Q. Okay. And but for that bankruptcy | 12 Q. Yeah, it's a fair question. But in | |
| 13 filing, we wouldn't be here today; is that fair? | 13 your capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness, is there any | |
| 14 A. Yeah, I think that's fair. | 14 reason to believe that this you know, what | |
| 15 Q. Okay. So the dispute really is a | 15 caused HCRE to believe that this was wrong other | |
| 16 consequence of the bankruptcy filing – do I have | 16 than the bankruptcy filing as we just discussed? | |
| 17 that right? from your perspective? | 17 Anything else? Any other fact that came to light | |
| 18 A. Yeah, I mean, I think that's right. | 18 that you didn't have at the time? | |
| 19 Q. Okay. So it was it was the | A. Yeah, I mean, ultimately, again, | |
| 20 Highland side that has do you see in Section A | 20 making a 400 million percent IRR on a real estate | |
| 21 here in Mr. Chang's email, it has the allocation | 21 transaction would not be a just result in my | |
| 22 that we just looked at from the schedule that | 22 in my view and HCRE's view, putting in 49,000, | |
| 23 Mr. Broaddus prepared; correct? | 23 receiving at a minimum 1.14 million 30 days | |
| 24 A. Correct. | 24 later, plus additional, you know, 46 percent | |
| 25 Q. And at the time you believed that | 25 interest down the line, that's not I don't | |
| Page 152 | | Page 153 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | |
| 2 know how that can stand up in a in a rational | 2 is incorrect? | |
| 3 investor's mind. | 3 A. I mean, yeah, there's 332 million of | |
| 4 Q. Well, but are you a rational | 4 debt outstanding that had to be retired. | |
| 5 investors? | 5 Q. Did you know did you know that at | |
| 6 A. Yeah, I think so. | 6 the time? | |
| 7 Q. And that's exactly what you agreed to | 7 A. There wasn't I did. There was no | |
| 8 in March of 2019; correct? | 8 other additional services provided by Highland | |
| 9 A. Yeah, at the time, that's correct. | 9 really other than this. This is the last they | |
| 10 Q. Okay. Okay. And so the only | 10 did and and look where we are. So, I mean, | |
| 11 thing that happened | 11 this I wouldn't characterize that as as an | |
| 12 A. But under the circumstances it | 12 equitable percentage for for the work or or | |
| 13 Q. The only thing that's happened is | 13 the whatever you want to call the the | |
| 14 that Highland has filed for bankruptcy, and HCRE | 14 co-borrowing or whatever, purported tax benefits, | |
| 15 and Highland were no longer related entities | 15 capital flexibility, they didn't do anything. | |
| 16 under the common control of Mr. Dondero; isn't | 16 Q. Did you think to make it a condition | |
| 17 that right? | 17 of their allocations to do any of the things you | |
| 18 A. No, that's not right. | 18 just described? | |
| 19 Q. Okay. So tell me tell me the | 19 A. There was – there wasn't going to be | |
| 20 basis on which HCRE contends today that the | 20 any distributable cash for years because you had | |
| 21 allocations set forth in Mr. Chang's email was | 21 to retire debt, \$322 million of debt and sell 20 | |
| 22 wrong at the time they made it. | 22 assets over the course of years before you got | |
| 23 Is there any fact that you're aware | 23 into this place, and Highland didn't do any of | |
| 24 of that you didn't have on March 15, 2019, that | 24 that. | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 25 leads you to believe today that that allocation | 25 Q. Can I just ask you this question: | |

| | age 41 01 73 | |
|--|---|----------|
| Page 154 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 155 |
| 2 Can you please identify a fact that HCRE did not | 2 sure that it was. | |
| 3 have when it entered into this agreement that | 3 Q. You're a lawyer; right? | |
| 4 causes it today to say that there was a mistake? | 4 A. Wasn't a very good one. | |
| 5 A. Yeah. I mean, the intent was always | 5 Q. So you made a mistake? | |
| 6 for this to be a living document and and | 6 A. I think we all made a mistake. | |
| 7 everyone knew that. | 7 That's the point. | |
| 8 Q. Can you | 8 Q. Is there any mistake that was made | |
| 9 A. The tax team, the legal team, the | 9 other than the failure to include a provision | |
| 10 accounting team, the finance team. | 10 that would have allowed this agreement to be | |
| 11 Q. What does that mean, a legal doc | 11 amended, any other mistake you can identify? | |
| 12 living document? What does that mean? | 12 A. I think that's the biggest one. The | |
| 13 A. It means as those facts change, as | 13 intent was it for it to live on and adjust it | |
| 14 the circumstances change, as assets are held, | 14 as economic economic conditions changed. That | |
| 15 sold, refinanced, that the economics can change. | 15 intent was reflected. Everyone looked at this. | |
| 16 It's not unique to this transaction, by the way. | 16 No one caught it. | |
| 17 Q. Did you on behalf of HCRE do anything | 17 Q. Do you think that you need a | |
| 18 to make sure that intent was reflected in the | 18 provision saying that it can be amended in order | |
| 19 documentation? | 19 to amend the agreement? Can't you amend the | |
| 20 A. I thought it was, because the people | 20 agreement without that provision? Can't you | |
| 21 that were my partners all understood what I | 21 amend the agreement anytime you have the | |
| 22 understood, there would be a living document. | 22 agreement of all of the member? | |
| 23 Q. Did you do anything to make sure that | 23 A. You can if there was automatic stay | |
| 24 it was a living document? | 24 in place. | |
| 25 A. I – I relied on people to – to make | 25 Q. Did anybody on behalf of HCRE ever | |
| | | |
| Page 156 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 157 |
| 2 request Highland to amend this agreement? | 2 interests? | |
| 3 A. During what? Prepetition? | 3 A. Ever. | |
| 4 Postpetition? | 4 Q. Yeah. | |
| 5 Q. Let's talk about the history of the | 5 A. Yeah, I'd ask I'd ask it right | |
| 6 world. Did anybody from HCRE ever ask anybody | 6 now. | |
| 7 from Highland to amend this agreement at any time | 7 Q. But have you ever done it before | |
| 8 in the history of the world? | 8 right now? | |
| 9 A. I recall that I testified earlier | 9 A. I other than what what I said | |
| 10 that I asked our internal legal team to review | 10 about the the reverse due diligence or the | |
| 11 these documents to make sure that there was no | 11 prepetition review. | |
| 12 issues with respect to the – the petition filing | 12 Q. I'm not asking about diligence. | |
| 13 that could have caused contagion risk amongst | 13 Please, it's a very simple question. | |
| 14 certainly of the the KeyBank loan agreement. | 14 Did HCRE ever ask the other members | |
| 15 So had I not gotten them off of the KeyBank loan | 15 in SE Multifamily to amend the amended and | |
| 16 agreement, we might not be sitting here saying | 16 restated agreement for purposes of changing the | |
| 17 that this 46 percent interest is worth anything. | 17 allocation of membership interests? | |
| 18 MR. MORRIS: I'm going to move to | 18 A. We didn't need to. | |
| 19 strike, and I'll just ask you to listen | 19 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. | |
| 20 carefully to my question. | 20 Q. I'm going to ask you the question | |
| 21 A. You got it. | 21 again. It is a factual question. | |
| 22 Q. Do you know whether HCRE ever asked | 22 A. It's a factual answer. | |
| 23 the other members to the SE Multifamily agreement | 23 Q. To the best of your knowledge, as | |
| 24 that it wanted to amend the document for the | 24 HCRE's corporate representative, did HCRE ever | |
| 25 purpose of adjusting the allocated percentage | 25 ask either of the members of the SE Multifamily | |
| Fair-100 of daylooming a to amounted portor hago | 25 data of a life morning of a life of a minute may | |

| Page 158 | Page 159 |
|---|--|
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 agreement whether or not they would consent to an | 2 you talk to anybody about your deposition during |
| 3 amendment for purposes of changing the allocation | 3 our break? |
| 4 of interests? You either did or you didn't. | 4 A. Not no, sir, I didn't. |
| 5 A. No. No, because we didn't need to | 5 Q. Okay. Let's let's cut to the |
| 6 because we knew it was a living document to the | 6 chase now and let's take a look at the executed |
| 7 agreement. | 7 Amended and Restated LLC Agreement. |
| 8 MR. MORRIS: But I move to | 8 MR. MORRIS: If we can put |
| 9 strike I move to strike everything after | 9 Exhibit 9 up on the screen. |
| 10 "no." It's going to be a longer day I'm | 10 (Exhibit 9 was marked.) |
| 11 sure counsel will let you know, it will be | 11 Q. I'll remind you, Mr. McGraner, if at |
| 12 a longer day when you continue to give the | 12 any time you think there is a portion of this |
| 13 answers you want as opposed to answers to | 13 document that you think you need to review in |
| 14 my questions. | 14 order to give a complete and accurate and |
| 15 Let's take a break now. It's | 15 truthful answer, will you let me know that. |
| 16 it's 12:00 it's 1:05. Let's come back | 16 A. Of course. |
| 17 at 1:30, please. | 17 Q. Okay. |
| 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. The time | 18 MR. MORRIS: Can we just quickly go |
| 19 is 12:07 p.m. and we're going off the | 19 to page 18 so we can show Mr. McGraner that |
| 20 record. Excuse me, 1:07 p.m. and we're | 20 this is the executed version. |
| 21 going off the record. | 21 Q. All right. Do you see the signature |
| 22 (Break from 1:06 p.m. to 1:31 p.m.) | 22 lines there by Mr. Dondero on behalf of Highland |
| 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is | 23 and HCRE Partners? |
| 24 1:33 p.m. and we are back on the record. | 24 A. I do. |
| 25 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Mr. McGraner, did | 25 Q. And do you understand that despite |
| Page 160 | Page 161 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 the faint nature of the signatures, these are | 2 Q. Any any part of the agreement |
| 3 Mr. Dondero's signatures and this is the final | 3 other than Section 6.1 and the waterfall that you |
| 4 agreement? | 4 recall reviewing? |
| 5 A. Ido. | 5 A. That was the area of my focus. |
| 6 Q. Okay. And you understood in March of | 6 Q. Okay. But you had seen Schedule A in |
| 7 2019 that he was going to bind HCRE and Highland | 7 its form from earlier the same day that this was |
| 8 to this agreement; correct? | 8 signed |
| A 1 " 1 | |
| 9 A. I did. | 9 A. Sure. |
| 10 Q. Did you have any discussions with him | 10 Q right? |
| Q. Did you have any discussions with himabout any of the terms of this agreement before | 10 Q right? 11 A. Sure. |
| Q. Did you have any discussions with him about any of the terms of this agreement before he put his pen to paper? | 10 Q right? 11 A. Sure. 12 Q. Okay. And were the same three law |
| Q. Did you have any discussions with him about any of the terms of this agreement before he put his pen to paper? A. Sorry, the computer's acting up | 10 Q right? 11 A. Sure. 12 Q. Okay. And were the same three law 13 firms that you identified earlier involved in the |
| Q. Did you have any discussions with him about any of the terms of this agreement before he put his pen to paper? A. Sorry, the computer's acting up again. Can you repeat that, please. | 10 Q right? 11 A. Sure. 12 Q. Okay. And were the same three law 13 firms that you identified earlier involved in the 14 preparation of this agreement? |
| Q. Did you have any discussions with him about any of the terms of this agreement before he put his pen to paper? A. Sorry, the computer's acting up again. Can you repeat that, please. Q. Sure. Did you speak with Mr. Dondero | 10 Q right? 11 A. Sure. 12 Q. Okay. And were the same three law 13 firms that you identified earlier involved in the 14 preparation of this agreement? 15 A. As far as I know. |
| Q. Did you have any discussions with him about any of the terms of this agreement before he put his pen to paper? A. Sorry, the computer's acting up again. Can you repeat that, please. Q. Sure. Did you speak with Mr. Dondero about any aspect of this agreement before he | 10 Q right? 11 A. Sure. 12 Q. Okay. And were the same three law 13 firms that you identified earlier involved in the 14 preparation of this agreement? 15 A. As far as I know. 16 Q. So that's Winston |
| Q. Did you have any discussions with him about any of the terms of this agreement before he put his pen to paper? A. Sorry, the computer's acting up again. Can you repeat that, please. Q. Sure. Did you speak with Mr. Dondero about any aspect of this agreement before he signed it? | 10 Q right? 11 A. Sure. 12 Q. Okay. And were the same three law 13 firms that you identified earlier involved in the 14 preparation of this agreement? 15 A. As far as I know. 16 Q. So that's Winston 17 A. Wick and Hunton. |
| Q. Did you have any discussions with him about any of the terms of this agreement before he put his pen to paper? A. Sorry, the computer's acting up again. Can you repeat that, please. Q. Sure. Did you speak with Mr. Dondero about any aspect of this agreement before he signed it? A. No. | 10 Q right? 11 A. Sure. 12 Q. Okay. And were the same three law 13 firms that you identified earlier involved in the 14 preparation of this agreement? 15 A. As far as I know. 16 Q. So that's Winston 17 A. Wick and Hunton. 18 Q Wick and Hunton. All right. And |
| Q. Did you have any discussions with him about any of the terms of this agreement before he put his pen to paper? A. Sorry, the computer's acting up again. Can you repeat that, please. Q. Sure. Did you speak with Mr. Dondero about any aspect of this agreement before he signed it? A. No. Q. Did you review it with him before he | 10 Q right? 11 A. Sure. 12 Q. Okay. And were the same three law 13 firms that you identified earlier involved in the 14 preparation of this agreement? 15 A. As far as I know. 16 Q. So that's Winston 17 A. Wick and Hunton. 18 Q Wick and Hunton. All right. And 19 to the best of your knowledge and recollection |
| Q. Did you have any discussions with him about any of the terms of this agreement before he put his pen to paper? A. Sorry, the computer's acting up again. Can you repeat that, please. Q. Sure. Did you speak with Mr. Dondero about any aspect of this agreement before he signed it? A. No. Q. Did you review it with him before he signed it? | 10 Q right? 11 A. Sure. 12 Q. Okay. And were the same three law 13 firms that you identified earlier involved in the 14 preparation of this agreement? 15 A. As far as I know. 16 Q. So that's Winston 17 A. Wick and Hunton. 18 Q Wick and Hunton. All right. And 19 to the best of your knowledge and recollection 20 and as HCRE's 30(b)(6) witness, would it be fair |
| Q. Did you have any discussions with him about any of the terms of this agreement before he put his pen to paper? A. Sorry, the computer's acting up again. Can you repeat that, please. Q. Sure. Did you speak with Mr. Dondero about any aspect of this agreement before he signed it? A. No. Q. Did you review it with him before he signed it? A. Not with him. | 10 Q right? 11 A. Sure. 12 Q. Okay. And were the same three law 13 firms that you identified earlier involved in the 14 preparation of this agreement? 15 A. As far as I know. 16 Q. So that's Winston 17 A. Wick and Hunton. 18 Q Wick and Hunton. All right. And 19 to the best of your knowledge and recollection 20 and as HCRE's 30(b)(6) witness, would it be fair 21 to say that those three law firms were all |
| Q. Did you have any discussions with him about any of the terms of this agreement before he put his pen to paper? A. Sorry, the computer's acting up again. Can you repeat that, please. Q. Sure. Did you speak with Mr. Dondero about any aspect of this agreement before he signed it? A. No. Q. Did you review it with him before he signed it? A. Not with him. Q. Did you review it of your own accord | 10 Q right? 11 A. Sure. 12 Q. Okay. And were the same three law 13 firms that you identified earlier involved in the 14 preparation of this agreement? 15 A. As far as I know. 16 Q. So that's Winston 17 A. Wick and Hunton. 18 Q Wick and Hunton. All right. And 19 to the best of your knowledge and recollection 20 and as HCRE's 30(b)(6) witness, would it be fair 21 to say that those three law firms were all 22 working jointly on behalf of Highland and HCRE in |
| Q. Did you have any discussions with him about any of the terms of this agreement before he put his pen to paper? A. Sorry, the computer's acting up again. Can you repeat that, please. Q. Sure. Did you speak with Mr. Dondero about any aspect of this agreement before he signed it? A. No. Q. Did you review it with him before he signed it? A. Not with him. Q. Did you review it of your own accord before you understood he was signing it? | 10 Q right? 11 A. Sure. 12 Q. Okay. And were the same three law 13 firms that you identified earlier involved in the 14 preparation of this agreement? 15 A. As far as I know. 16 Q. So that's Winston 17 A. Wick and Hunton. 18 Q Wick and Hunton. All right. And 19 to the best of your knowledge and recollection 20 and as HCRE's 30(b)(6) witness, would it be fair 21 to say that those three law firms were all 22 working jointly on behalf of Highland and HCRE in 23 connection with the preparation of this document? |
| Q. Did you have any discussions with him about any of the terms of this agreement before he put his pen to paper? A. Sorry, the computer's acting up again. Can you repeat that, please. Q. Sure. Did you speak with Mr. Dondero about any aspect of this agreement before he signed it? A. No. Q. Did you review it with him before he signed it? A. Not with him. Q. Did you review it of your own accord | 10 Q right? 11 A. Sure. 12 Q. Okay. And were the same three law 13 firms that you identified earlier involved in the 14 preparation of this agreement? 15 A. As far as I know. 16 Q. So that's Winston 17 A. Wick and Hunton. 18 Q Wick and Hunton. All right. And 19 to the best of your knowledge and recollection 20 and as HCRE's 30(b)(6) witness, would it be fair 21 to say that those three law firms were all 22 working jointly on behalf of Highland and HCRE in |

| EXHIDIL 71 | Fage 43 01 79 |
|--|---|
| Page 162 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 163 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 people in the Highland platform who were working | 2 top box relating to the members' names and |
| 3 together to try to finalize this agreement? | 3 capital contributions and percentage interests is |
| | |
| 1 | |
| 5 Q. So is it fair to say that neither | 5 Mr. Broaddus sent to you and to BH Equities |
| 6 Highland nor HCRE received any independent advice | 6 earlier on March 15th? |
| 7 separate and apart from the other entity in | 7 A. Yes. |
| 8 connection with this agreement? | 8 Q. Okay. So you were aware before the |
| 9 A. Yes. | 9 agreement was signed that this capital |
| 10 Q. And there wasn't, to the best of your | 10 contribution table was going to be included; |
| 11 recollection, a singular person charged with the | 11 correct? |
| 12 responsibility for looking out for solely HCRE's | 12 A. Yes. |
| 13 interests; correct? | Q. And there's nothing ambiguous about |
| 14 A. That's correct. | 14 the information that's on Schedule A, would you |
| 15 Q. And there wasn't a singular person | 15 agree with that? |
| 16 who was charged, to the best of your knowledge, | 16 A. I would. |
| 17 with looking out for Highland's interests in | 17 Q. Is there anything about Schedule A |
| 18 connection with this agreement; correct? | 18 that you do not understand today? |
| 19 A. That's correct. | 19 A. No. |
| 20 Q. Let's just look at a few of the | 20 Q. Okay. And this to the best of |
| 21 provisions of this document. If we can go to | 21 your knowledge and understanding as HCRE's |
| 22 Schedule A. Yeah, right there. | 22 30(b)(6) witness, Schedule A reflects the |
| 23 Do you see Schedule A, sir? | 23 parties' intent at the time the agreement was |
| 24 A. I do. | 24 signed; correct? |
| 25 Q. Can you confirm for me that the tox | 25 A. Yes. |
| Page 164 | Page 165 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 Q. Okay. Can we go to Section 1.7, | 2 that wasn't my understanding. |
| 3 please. Do you see 1.7 concerns company | 3 Q. Do you understand that Section 2.2(a) |
| 4 ownership? | 4 committed additional count capital capital |
| 5 A. Yes. | 5 calls to Liberty? |
| 6 Q. Okay. And you are aware that this | 6 A. Yes. |
| 7 provision was in the agreement before it was | 7 Q. Did you understand that the manager |
| 8 signed; correct? | 8 wasn't given the right under 2.2(a) to make |
| 9 A. Yes. | 9 capital calls of HCRE or Highland? |
| 10 Q. And there's nothing ambiguous about | 10 A. Yeah, that's what it says. |
| 11 Section 1.7 from your perspective; correct? | 11 Q. And that was consistent with your |
| 12 A. No. | 12 understanding of the parties' intent before they |
| 13 Q. And at the time the agreement was | 13 signed this agreement; correct? |
| 14 signed, did HCRE understand that Section 1.7 | 14 A. Yeah, that's right. |
| 15 accurately reflected the parties' intent? | 15 Q. Go to 3.1, please. Did you |
| 16 A. Yes. | 16 understand before Mr. Dondero signed this |
| 17 Q. Okay. Go to 2.2(a), please. Do you | 17 agreement that he would be the manager of |
| 18 see there's a section for additional capital | 18 SE Multifamily in his capacity as an officer of |
| 19 contributions? | 19 HCRE? |
| 20 A. Yes. | 20 A. I did. |
| 1 | OA O And the engle weathing a grade investor about |
| 21 Q. Did you understand before this | 21 Q. And there's nothing ambiguous about |
| Q. Did you understand before thisagreement was signed that SE Multifamily would | 22 that provision, is there? |
| , | |
| 22 agreement was signed that SE Multifamily would | 22 that provision, is there? |
| 22 agreement was signed that SE Multifamily would23 never look to HCRE or Highland for additional | 22 that provision, is there? 23 A. No. |

| | Page 44 01 79 | |
|---|---|-----------|
| Page 166 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 167 |
| 2 A. Yes. | 2 A. lagree. | |
| 3 Q. And under 3.2, Mr. Dondero, in his | 3 Q. And you were aware that this | |
| 4 capacity as an officer of HCRE and as the manager | 4 provision was going to be included in the | |
| 5 of SE Multifamily, was given the control that's | 5 agreement before Mr. Dondero signed it; right? | |
| 6 set forth in Section 3.2; correct? | 6 A. Yeah, at the time, yes. | |
| 7 A. Correct. | 7 Q. And there's nothing ambiguous about | |
| 8 Q. And nobody else was given control of | 8 Section 6.1(a) from your perspective; is that | |
| 9 SE Multifamily except for Mr. Dondero in his | 9 fair? | |
| 10 capacity as an officer of HCRE? | 10 A. That that's fair. | |
| 11 A. Correct. | 11 Q. And to the best of your knowledge and | |
| 12 Q. And that never changed; correct? | 12 understanding as HCRE's 30(b)(6) witness today, | |
| 13 A. As far as I know. | 13 Section 6.1(a) reflects the intent of the parties | |
| 14 Q. And as far as you know, Section 3.2 | 14 correct? at the time the agreement was | |
| 15 reflected the parties' intent at the time this | 15 signed? | |
| 16 agreement was entered into; correct? | 16 A. Agree. | |
| 17 A. Correct. | 17 Q. All right. Can we scroll down just a | |
| 18 Q. Let's go to 6.1(a), please. All | 18 little bit to 6.1 hold on one second okay. | |
| 19 right. 6.1(a) refers to distributable cash. | 19 6.1(b) says that "Net cash from the sale, | |
| 20 Do you see that? | 20 refinancing, or other dis disposition of a | |
| 21 A. Ido. | 21 specified company asset shall be distributed to | |
| 22 Q. And will you agree that this | 22 the members in the proration of their capital | |
| 23 provision is consistent with the provision that | 23 percentage interests with with respect to such | |
| 24 Mr. Chang forwarded to Mr. Broaddus on | 24 specified company asset." | |
| 25 March 15th? | 25 Do you see that? | |
| Page 168 | | Page 169 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | . ago .oo |
| 2 A. I do. | 2 you see 8.2 provides, among other things, that | |
| 3 Q. Is that another way of saying that | 3 the manager shall cause to be prepared and filed | |
| 4 cash from the sale or refinancing or other | | |
| 1 - Gastrian the sale of femilianing of other | 4 all tax returns on behalf of SE Multifamily, and | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be | 4 all tax returns on behalf of SE Multifamily, and5 I'm summarizing? | |
| _ | | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be | 5 I'm summarizing? | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? | 5 I'm summarizing?6 A. Yes.7 Q. You were aware that this provision | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I 10 agree. | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? 10 A. Yes. | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I 10 agree. 11 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Is there anything ambiguous about | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I 10 agree. 11 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding 12 that that was the intent of the parties at the | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Is there anything ambiguous about 12 Section 8.2 from your perspective? | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I 10 agree. 11 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding 12 that that was the intent of the parties at the 13 time this document was entered into? | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Is there anything ambiguous about 12 Section 8.2 from your perspective? 13 A. No. | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I 10 agree. 11 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding 12 that that was the intent of the parties at the 13 time this document was entered into? 14 A. Yeah. | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Is there anything ambiguous about 12 Section 8.2 from your perspective? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Would you agree as HCRE's | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I 10 agree. 11 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding 12 that that was the intent of the parties at the 13 time this document was entered into? 14 A. Yeah. 15 Q. Okay. Can we go to 6.4(a), please. | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Is there anything ambiguous about 12 Section 8.2 from your perspective? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Would you agree as HCRE's 15 Rule 30(b)(6) witness, that Section 8.2 was | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I 10 agree. 11 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding 12 that that was the intent of the parties at the 13 time this document was entered into? 14 A. Yeah. 15 Q. Okay. Can we go to 6.4(a), please. 16 I'll skip that. Actually, let me just ask this | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Is there anything ambiguous about 12 Section 8.2 from your perspective? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Would you agree as HCRE's 15 Rule 30(b)(6) witness, that Section 8.2 was 16 consistent with the parties' intent? | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I 10 agree. 11 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding 12 that that was the intent of the parties at the 13 time this document was entered into? 14 A. Yeah. 15 Q. Okay. Can we go to 6.4(a), please. 16 I'll skip that. Actually, let me just ask this 17 question: In 6.4(a), is there anything ambiguous | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Is there anything ambiguous about 12 Section 8.2 from your perspective? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Would you agree as HCRE's 15 Rule 30(b)(6) witness, that Section 8.2 was 16 consistent with the parties' intent? 17 A. Again, at the time of the agreement, | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I 10 agree. 11 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding 12 that that was the intent of the parties at the 13 time this document was entered into? 14 A. Yeah. 15 Q. Okay. Can we go to 6.4(a), please. 16 I'll skip that. Actually, let me just ask this 17 question: In 6.4(a), is there anything ambiguous 18 from your perspective about that provision? | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Is there anything ambiguous about 12 Section 8.2 from your perspective? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Would you agree as HCRE's 15 Rule 30(b)(6) witness, that Section 8.2 was 16 consistent with the parties' intent? 17 A. Again, at the time of the agreement, 18 yeah. | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I 10 agree. 11 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding 12 that that was the intent of the parties at the 13 time this document was entered into? 14 A. Yeah. 15 Q. Okay. Can we go to 6.4(a), please. 16 I'll skip that. Actually, let me just ask this 17 question: In 6.4(a), is there anything ambiguous 18 from your perspective about that provision? 19 A. No. | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Is there anything ambiguous about 12 Section 8.2 from your perspective? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Would you agree as HCRE's 15 Rule 30(b)(6) witness, that Section 8.2 was 16 consistent with the parties' intent? 17 A. Again, at the time of the agreement, 18 yeah. 19 Q. Okay. Can we go to 9.3(e), please. | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I 10 agree. 11 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding 12 that that was the intent of the parties at the 13 time this document was entered into? 14 A. Yeah. 15 Q. Okay. Can we go to 6.4(a), please. 16 I'll skip that. Actually, let me just ask this 17 question: In 6.4(a), is there anything ambiguous 18 from your perspective about that provision? 19 A. No. 20 Q. From your perspective as HCRE's | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Is there anything ambiguous about 12 Section 8.2 from your perspective? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Would you agree as HCRE's 15 Rule 30(b)(6) witness, that Section 8.2 was 16 consistent with the parties' intent? 17 A. Again, at the time of the agreement, 18 yeah. 19 Q. Okay. Can we go to 9.3(e), please. 20 So 9.3, is it fair to say is — is the | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I 10 agree. 11 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding 12 that that was the intent of the parties at the 13 time this document was entered into? 14 A. Yeah. 15 Q. Okay. Can we go to 6.4(a), please. 16 I'll skip that. Actually, let me just ask this 17 question: In 6.4(a), is there anything ambiguous 18 from your perspective about that provision? 19 A. No. 20 Q. From your perspective as HCRE's 21 30(b)(6) witness, did you understand that 6.4(a) | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Is there anything ambiguous about 12 Section 8.2 from your perspective? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Would you agree as HCRE's 15 Rule 30(b)(6) witness, that Section 8.2 was 16 consistent with the parties' intent? 17 A. Again, at the time of the agreement, 18 yeah. 19 Q. Okay. Can we go to 9.3(e), please. 20 So 9.3, is it fair to say is — is the 21 liquidation waterfall? | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I 10 agree. 11 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding 12 that that was the intent of the parties at the 13 time this document was entered into? 14 A. Yeah. 15 Q. Okay. Can we go to 6.4(a), please. 16 I'll skip that. Actually, let me just ask this 17 question: In 6.4(a), is there anything ambiguous 18 from your perspective about that provision? 19 A. No. 20 Q. From your perspective as HCRE's 21 30(b)(6) witness, did you understand that 6.4(a) 22 reflected the intent of the parties at the time | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Is there anything ambiguous about 12 Section 8.2 from your perspective? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Would you agree as HCRE's 15 Rule 30(b)(6) witness, that Section 8.2 was 16 consistent with the parties' intent? 17 A. Again, at the time of the agreement, 18 yeah. 19 Q. Okay. Can we go to 9.3(e), please. 20 So 9.3, is it fair to say is is the 21 liquidation waterfall? 22 A. Fair. | |
| 5 disposition of a specified company asset will be 6 distributed pro rata in accordance with the 7 percentage interests on Schedule A? 8 A. Assuming capital percentage interest 9 is defined as the interest on Schedule A, I 10 agree. 11 Q. Okay. And was it your understanding 12 that that was the intent of the parties at the 13 time this document was entered into? 14 A. Yeah. 15 Q. Okay. Can we go to 6.4(a), please. 16 I'll skip that. Actually, let me just ask this 17 question: In 6.4(a), is there anything ambiguous 18 from your perspective about that provision? 19 A. No. 20 Q. From your perspective as HCRE's 21 30(b)(6) witness, did you understand that 6.4(a) 22 reflected the intent of the parties at the time 23 this agreement was signed? | 5 I'm summarizing? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You were aware that this provision 8 was going to be included before Mr. Dondero 9 signed this document; correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Is there anything ambiguous about 12 Section 8.2 from your perspective? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Would you agree as HCRE's 15 Rule 30(b)(6) witness, that Section 8.2 was 16 consistent with the parties' intent? 17 A. Again, at the time of the agreement, 18 yeah. 19 Q. Okay. Can we go to 9.3(e), please. 20 So 9.3, is it fair to say is — is the 21 liquidation waterfall? 22 A. Fair. 23 Q. Okay. And am I reading this | |

| EXHIBIT 11 | |
|--|--|
| Page 170 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 171 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 any remaining assets will be distributed | 2 review of the doc of the documents in |
| 3 consistently with the percentage interests set | 3 connection with the bankruptcy, no request was |
| 4 forth on Schedule A? | 4 made to – to amend this agreement; correct? |
| 5 A. Yes. | 5 A. Not specifically, no. |
| 6 Q. And you understood that at the time | 6 Q. Okay. Are you prepared to testify as |
| 7 this agreement was signed; correct? | 7 HCRE's 30(b)(6) witness with regard to |
| 8 A. Yep. | 8 distributions that have been made by |
| 9 Q. And there's nothing ambiguous about | 9 SE Multifamily? |
| 10 that provision; correct? | 10 A. Sure. |
| 11 A. Correct. | |
| | , |
| 12 Q. And you understood that this | 12 after the date of this agreement; correct? |
| 13 provision reflected the parties' intent; correct? | A. Only to satisfy debt. |
| 14 A. Correct. | Q. Those are distributions; correct? |
| 15 Q. Okay. To the best of your knowledge, | A. Yeah, I just want to make sure we're |
| 16 has this agreement ever been amended? | 16 we're using the term, you know, correctly or |
| 17 A. Not that I'm aware of. | 17 we mean the same thing. |
| 18 Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever request an | 18 Q. Okay. And and the distributions |
| 19 amendment of any kind to any other party of the | 19 that were made to repay debt are distributions |
| 20 agreement that we're looking at right now? Did | 20 that are part of the waterfall that you |
| 21 it ever ask for an amendment? | 21 specifically had an interest in; correct? |
| 22 A. Again, other than to to review the | 22 A. Yes. |
| 23 documents for in connection with the | 23 Q. Okay. Who authorized the making of |
| 24 bankruptcy, no. | 24 distributions? |
| 25 Q. Okay. And in connection with that | 25 A. Either Jim or myself. |
| Page 172 | Page 173 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 Q. Nobody else was authorized to make a | 2 Highland? |
| 3 distribution from SE Multifamily other than you | 3 A. No. |
| 4 and Mr. Dondero; correct? | 4 Q. Okay. Can you describe for me the |
| 5 A. That's right. | 5 other people who were involved in not making |
| 6 Q. When you made it when you | 6 the decision but but involved in the topic of |
| 7 authorized the distribution from SE Multifamily, | 7 distributions the amount? the date? the |
| 8 did you do so on your own or did you obtain | 8 parties? |
| 9 Mr. Dondero's agreement in advance? | 9 A. In – in general terms? |
| 10 A. Generally on my own with with | 10 Q. Yeah. |
| 11 but he knew he knew what the business plan | 11 A. Yeah, to the extent an asset was sold |
| 12 was. | 12 or there was free cash flow that was above and |
| 13 Q. And he knew he knew withdrawn. | 13 beyond what was needed to pay operating expenses, |
| 14 Did he know in advance of each | 14 there was a group of folks here at NexPoint and |
| 15 distribution that the distribution was going to | 15 at BH that would make that that or come up |
| 16 be made? | 16 with a calculation, if you will, of what we could |
| 17 A. I'm not sure if he was aware, if he | 17 what we could pay down or what we could send |
| 18 knew. | 18 to to the debt the lenders. Those those |
| 19 Q. Did he ever did he ever give you | 19 people were largely I think Bonner McDermett on |
| 20 instructions as to whether to make a | 20 my team, Ken Superczynski at BH who's now |
| 21 distribution? | 21 retired, probably Sean Jacobs Jacobson at BH. |
| 22 A. No, we we just had to pay down the | 22 Generally I think that's the that's the group |
| 23 debt. So | 23 that came up with the calcs. |
| 24 Q. Did he ever give you instructions as | 24 Q. Okay. Do you recall that the topic |
| 25 to whether or not to make distributions as to | 25 of distributions came up between the Highland |
| | |

| Page 174 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Pag 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | ge 175 |
|--|--|--------|
| 2 side and BH Equities about later in 2019? | 2 up to see what the NexPoint team's availability | |
| 3 A. Vaguely. | 3 is to discuss distributions. | |
| 4 Q. Okay. Let's see if we can refresh | 4 Do you see that? | |
| 5 your recollection a bit. Let's take a look at | 5 A. Ido. | |
| 6 Exhibit 10, please. | 6 Q. So that's the 12th. Keep going. | |
| 7 (Exhibit 10 was marked.) | 7 Okay. So a week later Mr. McDermett writes to | |
| 8 MR. MORRIS: If we can scroll down | 8 BH Equities that "They have confirmed internally | |
| 9 a little bit. Keep going. Let's just go | 9 that you're standing by your position that | |
| 10 to the bottom. | 10 distributions may be returned to BH and HCRE in | |
| 11 Q. Okay. So were you aware in in | 11 order to extinguish their debts." | |
| 12 November 2000 I guess it's 2020 were you | 12 Is that a position that – that HCRE | |
| 13 aware in November 2020, that there were | 13 took? | |
| | 14 A. Yes. | |
| 14 discussions about distributions to repay debt? | | |
| 15 A. They were always ongoing. So | 15 Q. And do you know who Mr. McDermett was | |
| 16 Q. Okay. And | 16 referring to when he used the the word "we"? | |
| 17 A. Generally, yes. | 17 A. No. | |
| 18 MR. MORRIS: All right. Scroll up, | 18 Q. So was it HCRE's position in | |
| 19 please. Keep going. | 19 November 2020 that SE Multifamily could make | |
| 20 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) So so do you see | 20 distributions to extinguish debts? | |
| 21 on November 10th, you're added to the email | 21 A. Yes. | |
| 22 string? | 22 Q. What was that based on? | |
| 23 A. Yes. | 23 A. (No verbal response.) | |
| 24 Q. Okay. And a couple of days later, | Q. Why did yeah, let me ask a better | |
| 25 there's a follow-up from BH management circling | 25 question. | |
| , | | |
| Page 176 | | ge 177 |
| Page 176 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | ge 177 |
| Page 176 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I | ge 177 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I 3 have that right? | ge 177 |
| Page 176 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I | ge 177 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I 3 have that right? | ge 177 |
| Page 176 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I have that right? A. I don't know that specifically, | ge 177 |
| Page 176 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I have that right? A. I don't know that specifically, but | ge 177 |
| Page 176 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I have that right? A. I don't know that specifically, but Q. And then the next sentence says, "The | ge 177 |
| Page 176 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I have that right? A. I don't know that specifically, but Q. And then the next sentence says, "The HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our | ge 177 |
| Page 176 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I have that right? A. I don't know that specifically, but Q. And then the next sentence says, "The HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our ability to distribute a return of equity at this | ge 177 |
| Page 176 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I 3 have that right? 4 A. I don't know that specifically, 5 but 6 Q. And then the next sentence says, "The 7 HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our 8 ability to distribute a return of equity at this 9 time." | ge 177 |
| Page 176 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. 10 Q. They had the debt repaid first under | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I 3 have that right? 4 A. I don't know that specifically, 5 but 6 Q. And then the next sentence says, "The 7 HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our 8 ability to distribute a return of equity at this 9 time." 10 Do you see that? | ge 177 |
| Page 176 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. 10 Q. They had the debt repaid first under 11 the waterfall; right? | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I 3 have that right? 4 A. I don't know that specifically, 5 but 6 Q. And then the next sentence says, "The 7 HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our 8 ability to distribute a return of equity at this 9 time." 10 Do you see that? 11 A. I do. | ge 177 |
| Page 176 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. 10 Q. They had the debt repaid first under 11 the waterfall; right? 12 A. Right. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I 3 have that right? 4 A. I don't know that specifically, 5 but 6 Q. And then the next sentence says, "The 7 HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our 8 ability to distribute a return of equity at this 9 time." 10 Do you see that? 11 A. I do. 12 Q. What's the basis for that position? | ge 177 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. 10 Q. They had the debt repaid first under 11 the waterfall; right? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And HCRE did not take the position | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I 3 have that right? 4 A. I don't know that specifically, 5 but 6 Q. And then the next sentence says, "The 7 HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our 8 ability to distribute a return of equity at this 9 time." 10 Do you see that? 11 A. I do. 12 Q. What's the basis for that position? 13 A. I think it was our position that it | ge 177 |
| Page 176 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. 10 Q. They had the debt repaid first under 11 the waterfall; right? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And HCRE did not take the position 14 that Highland's bankruptcy prohibited the return | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I 3 have that right? 4 A. I don't know that specifically, 5 but 6 Q. And then the next sentence says, "The 7 HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our 8 ability to distribute a return of equity at this 9 time." 10 Do you see that? 11 A. I do. 12 Q. What's the basis for that position? 13 A. I think it was our position that it 14 was in just bankruptcy, there's a lot going on | ge 177 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. 10 Q. They had the debt repaid first under 11 the waterfall; right? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And HCRE did not take the position 14 that Highland's bankruptcy prohibited the return 15 of capital that was used, you know, that was | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I 3 have that right? 4 A. I don't know that specifically, 5 but 6 Q. And then the next sentence says, "The 7 HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our 8 ability to distribute a return of equity at this 9 time." 10 Do you see that? 11 A. I do. 12 Q. What's the basis for that position? 13 A. I think it was our position that it 14 was in just bankruptcy, there's a lot going on 15 that we didn't want to — we didn't want to try | ge 177 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. 10 Q. They had the debt repaid first under 11 the waterfall; right? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And HCRE did not take the position 14 that Highland's bankruptcy prohibited the return 15 of capital that was used, you know, that was 16 that was debt financed; correct? | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I 3 have that right? 4 A. I don't know that specifically, 5 but 6 Q. And then the next sentence says, "The 7 HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our 8 ability to distribute a return of equity at this 9 time." 10 Do you see that? 11 A. I do. 12 Q. What's the basis for that position? 13 A. I think it was our position that it 14 was in just bankruptcy, there's a lot going on 15 that we didn't want to we didn't want to try 16 to we thought it was better just to let it | ge 177 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. 10 Q. They had the debt repaid first under 11 the waterfall; right? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And HCRE did not take the position 14 that Highland's bankruptcy prohibited the return 15 of capital that was used, you know, that was 16 that was debt financed; correct? 17 A. Correct. | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I 3 have that right? 4 A. I don't know that specifically, 5 but 6 Q. And then the next sentence says, "The 7 HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our 8 ability to distribute a return of equity at this 9 time." 10 Do you see that? 11 A. I do. 12 Q. What's the basis for that position? 13 A. I think it was our position that it 14 was in just bankruptcy, there's a lot going on 15 that we didn't want to we didn't want to try 16 to we thought it was better just to let it 17 resolve itself and keep the keep the capital | ge 177 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. 10 Q. They had the debt repaid first under 11 the waterfall; right? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And HCRE did not take the position 14 that Highland's bankruptcy prohibited the return 15 of capital that was used, you know, that was 16 that was debt financed; correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. Okay. Is there any reason do you | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I 3 have that right? 4 A. I don't know that specifically, 5 but 6 Q. And then the next sentence says, "The 7 HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our 8 ability to distribute a return of equity at this 9 time." 10 Do you see that? 11 A. I do. 12 Q. What's the basis for that position? 13 A. I think it was our position that it 14 was in just bankruptcy, there's a lot going on 15 that we didn't want to — we didn't want to try 16 to — we thought it was better just to let it 17 resolve itself and keep the — keep the capital 18 and not make — not make any other distribution. | ge 177 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. 10 Q. They had the debt repaid first under 11 the waterfall; right? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And HCRE did not take the position 14 that Highland's bankruptcy prohibited the return 15 of capital that was used, you know, that was 16 that was debt financed; correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. Okay. Is there any reason do you 19 know what Highland's the source of Highland's | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I 3 have that right? 4 A. I don't know that specifically, 5 but 6 Q. And then the next sentence says, "The 7 HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our 8 ability to distribute a return of equity at this 9 time." 10 Do you see that? 11 A. I do. 12 Q. What's the basis for that position? 13 A. I think it was our position that it 14 was in just bankruptcy, there's a lot going on 15 that we didn't want to — we didn't want to try 16 to — we thought it was better just to let it 17 resolve itself and keep the — keep the capital 18 and not make — not make any other distribution. 19 Q. Did — did — is it HCRE's position | ge 177 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. 10 Q. They had the debt repaid first under 11 the waterfall; right? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And HCRE did not take the position 14 that Highland's bankruptcy prohibited the return 15 of capital that was used, you know, that was 16 that was debt financed; correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. Okay. Is there any reason do you 19 know what Highland's the source of Highland's 20 \$49,000 was? Is that debt or did it come out of 21 their pocket? | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I have that right? A. I don't know that specifically, but Q. And then the next sentence says, "The HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our ability to distribute a return of equity at this time." Do you see that? A. I do. Q. What's the basis for that position? A. I think it was our position that it was in just bankruptcy, there's a lot going on that we didn't want to — we didn't want to try to — we thought it was better just to let it resolve itself and keep the — keep the capital and not make — not make any other distribution. Q. Did — did — is it HCRE's position that there was a legal impediment that was caused by the bankruptcy filing that prevented the | ge 177 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. 10 Q. They had the debt repaid first under 11 the waterfall; right? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And HCRE did not take the position 14 that Highland's bankruptcy prohibited the return 15 of capital that was used, you know, that was 16 that was debt financed; correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. Okay. Is there any reason do you 19 know what Highland's the source of Highland's 20 \$49,000 was? Is that debt or did it come out of 21 their pocket? | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I 3 have that right? 4 A. I don't know that specifically, 5 but 6 Q. And then the next sentence says, "The 7 HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our 8 ability to distribute a return of equity at this 9 time." 10 Do you see that? 11 A. I do. 12 Q. What's the basis for that position? 13 A. I think it was our position that it 14 was in just bankruptcy, there's a lot going on 15 that we didn't want to — we didn't want to try 16 to — we thought it was better just to let it 17 resolve itself and keep the — keep the capital 18 and not make — not make any other distribution. 19 Q. Did — did — is it HCRE's position 20 that there was a legal impediment that was caused | ge 177 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. 10 Q. They had the debt repaid first under 11 the waterfall; right? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And HCRE did not take the position 14 that Highland's bankruptcy prohibited the return 15 of capital that was used, you know, that was 16 that was debt financed; correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. Okay. Is there any reason do you 19 know what Highland's the source of Highland's 20 \$49,000 was? Is that debt or did it come out of 21 their pocket? 22 A. I'm sure they had 49 grand laying 23 around back in 2018. | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I have that right? A. I don't know that specifically, but Q. And then the next sentence says, "The HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our ability to distribute a return of equity at this time." Do you see that? A. I do. Q. What's the basis for that position? A. I think it was our position that it was in just bankruptcy, there's a lot going on that we didn't want to we didn't want to try to we thought it was better just to let it resolve itself and keep the keep the capital and not make not make any other distribution. Q. Did did is it HCRE's position that there was a legal impediment that was caused by the bankruptcy filing that prevented the distribution of equity equity distribution? A. I think all sides were concerned that | ge 177 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 2 Why did HCRE believe in November 2020 3 that distributions could be returned to B&H and 4 HCRE in order to extinguish their debts? 5 A. That was a consistent with the past 6 practices of what we've been doing since we 7 closed 8 Q. Well, but that's 9 A of course. 10 Q. They had the debt repaid first under 11 the waterfall; right? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And HCRE did not take the position 14 that Highland's bankruptcy prohibited the return 15 of capital that was used, you know, that was 16 that was debt financed; correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. Okay. Is there any reason do you 19 know what Highland's the source of Highland's 20 \$49,000 was? Is that debt or did it come out of 21 their pocket? 22 A. I'm sure they had 49 grand laying 23 around back in 2018. | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 Q. So it wasn't debt financed. Do I have that right? A. I don't know that specifically, but Q. And then the next sentence says, "The HCMLP bankruptcy is temporarily inhibiting our ability to distribute a return of equity at this time." Do you see that? A. I do. Q. What's the basis for that position? A. I think it was our position that it was in just bankruptcy, there's a lot going on that we didn't want to — we didn't want to try to — we thought it was better just to let it resolve itself and keep the — keep the capital and not make — not make any other distribution. Q. Did — did — is it HCRE's position that there was a legal impediment that was caused by the bankruptcy filing that prevented the distribution of equity — equity distribution? | ge 177 |

| | - age 47 01 73 | |
|---|--|----------|
| Page 178 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 179 |
| 2 Q. Did you was that ever discussed | 2 don't make distributions because it would lead to | |
| 3 with Highland in November 2020? When you say all | 3 litigation? | |
| 4 sides, did you mean to include Highland or no? | 4 A. I said I think we both said that | |
| 5 A. Yeah. | 5 we don't want to talk about it at this time, it | |
| 6 Q. And who from Highland who from | 6 was our perspective. I guess, he answered to | |
| 7 Highland said that distributions shouldn't be | 7 someone and I answer to someone, and it didn't | |
| 8 made? | 8 seem like it was worth the fight another | |
| 9 A. I didn't say that. | 9 fight. | |
| 10 Q. So you said all parties agreed. So | 10 Q. Do you have that in writing anywhere? | |
| 11 I'm asking you who agreed on behalf of Highland | 11 A. You can ask Fred if he has it. | |
| 12 that distributions should not be made? | 12 Q. I'm asking you I'm asking you do | |
| 13 A. Yeah, that's not what I said. | 13 you recall communicating in writing to Mr. Caruso | |
| 14 Q. What did you say? | 14 that he expressed any concern at all about making | |
| 15 A. That I think all sides were concerned | 15 distributions from SE Multifamily where he said | |
| 16 that any issue would spark more contentious | 16 that wouldn't be a good idea? | |
| 17 litigation. | 17 A. I don't it was a phone | |
| 18 Q. And and is Highland one of those | 18 conversation. I don't I think I just told you | |
| 19 sides? Or is it just HCRE and BH Equities? | 19 that's what we said. That's – that's how it | |
| 20 A. No, I'm including Highland in that. | 20 went. | |
| 21 Q. So who on behalf of Highland | | |
| 22 expressed this concern? | Q. Does anybody did anybody elseparticipate in this phone conversation? | |
| · · | | |
| 23 A. I spoke to Fred Caruso at DSI about 24 this. | | |
| | , | |
| 25 Q. And Fred said please don't make | 25 that right? | |
| Page 180 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 181 |
| 2 A. You have that right. | 2 A. It's not a normal Chapter 11. It was | |
| 3 Q. And during that conversation, | | |
| 1 | | |
| 4 Mr. Caruso said, Yeah, I agree with you, we | , | |
| 5 shouldn't make any distributions from | 5 decided that we weren't going to waste any more | |
| 6 SE Multifamily because that might lead to 7 litigation? Did he say that in substance or | 6 time effort, money fighting this, that this | |
| , | 7 this could just be put off. | |
| 8 agree with you on that topic? | 8 Q. But you were still pursuing your | |
| 9 A. No. We just said we weren't going to | 9 Proof of Claim; right? | |
| 10 do anything. We weren't going to distribute | 10 A. I think I think you guys were | |
| 11 anything at the time, there would be a fight over | 11 pursuing removing Wick Phillips as our counsel or | |
| 12 the percentages. | 12 disqualifying them around this time. | |
| 13 Q. Who would be having the fight? | 13 Q. Okay. So let's talk about that for a | |
| 14 A. The same people that are currently | 14 minute. You testified multiple times today that | |
| 15 having the fight. | 15 Wick Phillips was jointly representing Highland | |
| 16 Q. So it wasn't the bankruptcy that | 16 and HCRE; correct? | |
| 17 inhibited the ability; it was just the decision | 17 A. I think I've said that no, that's | |
| 18 that HCRE made and that you're now testifying | 18 not correct. | |
| 19 that Mr. Caruso agreed that it's just going to be | 19 Q. I think it is, actually. | |
| 20 - it's just it's just going to lead to a | 20 A. All the – all – all the law firms | |
| 21 dispute; right? It's kind of irrelevant to this | 21 were representing everyone. | |
| 22 isn't it? | 22 Q. Okay. | |
| 23 A. No. | 23 A. | |
| Q. What does a bankruptcy have to do | 24 Q. I appreciate that. I appreciate the | |
| 25 with this? | 25 clarification. I'm just focusing on | |
| • | T. Control of the con | |

| _ | | IIDIL 7 I | υį | JC 40 01 10 | |
|--------|--|-----------|----|---|----------|
| 1 | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 182 | 1 | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 183 |
| 1 | Wick Phillips right now. Because notwithstanding | | 2 | Do you know why | |
| | the fact that Wick Phillips and the other law | | 3 | A. No. | |
| | firms were representing both HCRE and HCMLP in | | 4 | Q HCRE opposed Highland's | |
| | connection with the drafting of the document, | | | disqualification motion? | |
| | HCRE decided to retain Wick Phillips for purposes | | 6 | A. No. | |
| | of pursuing its Proof of Claim against Highland; | | 7 | Q. As a lawyer, can you think of any | |
| 1 | correct? | | | basis on which Wick Phillips could properly | |
| 9 | A. They were our yeah, they were our | | 9 | represent HCRE against its former client on the | |
| 10 | | | | same subject matter? | |
| 1 | while, yeah | | 11 | A. Not a matter of question given the | |
| 12 | • | | | given, I think, your firm's rep - | |
| 13 | • | | | representation. | |
| 14 | • | | 14 | | |
| 1 | knew that Wick Phillips jointly represented | | | ownership or the management may have changed, | |
| | Highland and HCRE, it opposed Highland's motion | | | but we've only had one client. So let's go back | |
| | to disqualify Wick Phillips; correct? | | | to my question. | |
| 18 | · | | 18 | A. Sure. | |
| 19 | | | 19 | | |
| 1 | a motion to disqualify a law firm that | | | basis on which Wick Phillips could properly | |
| 21 | represented both parties to the dispute? | | 21 | represent HCRE on a matter adverse to its former | |
| 22 | · | | | dient, Highland, on the same subject matter? | |
| 1 | Mr. Sauter or but | | 23 | A. I don't know. I think I don't | |
| 24 | | | | know. I would assume we asked for a waiver and | |
| 1 | Mr. Sauter. I'm going to ask you. | | | you guys denied it. | |
| لـــّا | Sauton in going to don you. | | | , 5 5 5 6 7 5 6 5 10 6 10 6 10 6 10 6 10 6 10 6 10 | |
| 1 | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 184 | 1 | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 185 |
| 2 | Q. Do you have any basis for for | | | Wick Phillips represented both HCRE and Highland | |
| 1 | saying that, or you just is that truly an | | | jointly in connection with the negotiation or | |
| 1 | assumption? | | | drafting of the Original LLC Agreement, the | |
| 5 | A. It's truly an assumption. | | | KeyBank loan, and the Amended and Restated LLC | |
| 6 | Q. Did you ever suggest that maybe HCRE | | | Agreement; correct? | |
| 1 | should seek a waiver? | | 7 | A. That's correct. | |
| 8 | A. Sure. | | 8 | Q. Okay. And despite that knowledge, | |
| 9 | Q. Do you know if it was ever done? | | | you didn't take any steps to try to have HCRE | |
| 10 | | | | stand down and retain a lawyer who was | |
| 1 | though. | | 11 | | |
| 12 | - | | 12 | · | |
| 13 | | | | fought it as intently as as you guys did, but | |
| 14 | | | | that's okay. | |
| 15 | Q. Did you ever ask anybody, we're | | 15 | Q. Well, y'all hired an expert, didn't | |
| 1 | we're going through all of this litigation, why | | | you? | |
| | are we doing this? Who made the decision to do | | 17 | | |
| ı | this? | | | yeah, I mean, we I guess. | |
| 19 | A. I – I don't know. | | 19 | | |
| 20 | Q. You you never asked anybody? | | 20 | • | |
| 21 | A. I no, I didn't think it was a I | | 21 | | |
| 1 | assumed it was for the same reason why the | | 22 | • | |
| 1 | • | | | | |
| 23 | , , | | 23 | • | |
| 25 | issue was litigated intent intensely. Q. But you personally knew that | | 24 | Q. You took fact discovery; right? HCRE took fact discovery to oppose the motion; | |
| 123 | a. Dut you personally rinew that | | 23 | took table discovery to oppose the motion, | |

| | Page 49 01 79 | |
|---|---|----------|
| Page 186 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 187 |
| 2 correct? | 2 A. I think my read is different. | |
| 3 A. I don't I don't think we intently | 3 Q. What's your read? | |
| 4 fought it as hard as you guys did. | 4 A. DC and team are working on a | |
| 5 Q. But you opposed the motion; correct? | 5 solution, and I took that to mean with respect to | |
| 6 A. Right. Okay? | 6 the – the parenthetical return of equity and | |
| 7 Q. I don't know about your lawyers, I | 7 profits. | |
| • • | 8 Q. Oh, okay. I apologize. Maybe it was | |
| 8 fight to win.9 A. I can tell. | | |
| | | |
| | 10 email, but just moving on to the the sentence | |
| 11 Mr. McDermett's email, it says, "DC Sauter and | 11 at the end. | |
| 12 the team is working towards a solution"? | 12 HCRE authorized BH Equities to make | |
| 13 A. Yep. | 13 the distributions that are reflected in the last | |
| 14 Q. Did DC Sauter and your team ever come | 14 sentence; correct? | |
| 15 up with a solution? | 15 A. Right, to extinguish the the debt. | |
| 16 A. No. | 16 Q. Okay. And looking at this and seeing | |
| 17 Q. Well, Mr. McDermett authorized | 17 that money was going to pay NexVest, does that | |
| 18 BH Equities to make distributions right? as | 18 refresh your recollection that the amount | |
| 19 set forth in this email? | 19 allocated \$250 million that was allocated to | |
| 20 A. I think you're confusing the | 20 HCRE from the KeyBank loan had been paid back in | |
| 21 sentences. | 21 full by this time? | |
| 22 Q. Well, it says, "Please proceed to | 22 A. Yeah, I don't I don't know if this | |
| 23 distribute \$5.3 million to BH and \$14 million to | 23 was the last and final distribution, but I I | |
| 24 HCR NexVest." | 24 think it has been paid back. | |
| 25 Have I read that generally correctly? | 25 Q. Would any distribution have been made | |
| Page 188 | | Page 189 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | |
| 2 to NexVest before KeyBank was paid in full? | 2 A. Yeah. | |
| 3 A. No. | 3 Q. And under the waterfall, as you | |
| 4 Q. So is it fair to say that since HCRE | 4 understood it, because that was a provision that | |
| 5 is authorizing a distribution to NexVest in | 5 you personally focused on, BH Equities would | |
| 6 November 2020, that KeyBank was paid in full | 6 never have any right to ask for the return of its | |
| 7 prior to that time? | 7 true equity contribution before all of the debt | |
| 8 A. Probably fair. | 8 financed equity was paid in full; correct? | |
| 9 Q. Okay. And do you recall if this is | 9 A. That was the business deal, yeah. | |
| 10 extinguishing the last of HCRE's debt to NexVest? | 10 Q. Okay. So does this refresh your | |
| 11 A. I don't I don't know. | 11 recollection that under the terms of the business | |
| 12 Q. Okay. Let's go down to | 12 deal, as you understood it, that as of | |
| 13 A. I don't know if this is I don't | 13 November 2020, all of the debt financed capital | |
| 14 know if this was the last of it or not. | 14 contributions had been paid in full and that BH | |
| 15 Q. Okay. Let's go to the mail above it. | 15 Equities was now looking for a path forward to | |
| 16 Okay. So do you see BH Equities wants its | 16 collect on its equity financed portion? | |
| 17 remaining 6.2 of capital returned; right? Is it | 17 A. Yes. | |
| 18 your understanding that as of this time, all of | 18 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit, | |
| 19 BH Equities' debt financing had the been repaid | 19 Exhibit 11, please. | |
| , | 20 (Exhibit 11 was marked.) | |
| 20 in full and that what it wanted now as of | , | |
| | | |
| 21 November 2020, is the portion of its capital | 21 Q. Okay. So here's a follow-up. It's | |
| November 2020, is the portion of its capitalcontribution that was true equity? | 22 about seven months later. We're now in June of | |
| November 2020, is the portion of its capital contribution that was true equity? A. That's what it says. | 22 about seven months later. We're now in June of23 2021. Do you recall that BH Equities again | |
| 20 in full and that what it wanted now, as of 21 November 2020, is the portion of its capital 22 contribution that was true equity? 23 A. That's what it says. 24 Q. Okay. And that – that's exactly 25 what you recall happening at this time; right? | 22 about seven months later. We're now in June of | |

| Page 190 | | Page 191 |
|--|--|-----------|
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 age 191 |
| 2 Q. Highland was still in bankruptcy; | 2 with Mr. McGraner, the return of all of the | |
| 3 right? | 3 equity portion of the capital contribution has | |
| 4 A. Yeah, I think we still are or | 4 been approved; correct? | |
| 5 you still is now. | 5 A. Correct. | |
| 6 Q. Right. And so they're asking for the | 6 Q. And so in June of 2021, you made the | |
| 7 return of their capital, and they're noting that | 7 decision that BH Equities could collect on the | |
| 8 SE Multifamily has about \$8 million in the bank. | 8 remainder of its capital contribution, even the | |
| 9 And they're basically saying there's more more | 9 portion that was equity financed that was | |
| 10 than enough cash to to make us whole on our | 10 financed out of its own pocket; correct? | |
| 11 capital contribution, can we do that right? | 11 A. Correct. | |
| 12 that's what's happening here? | 12 Q. Is there any reason why you didn't | |
| 13 A. Yep. | 13 treat Highland the same way that you treated | |
| 14 Q. So if we can scroll up to the next | 14 BH Equities in June of 2021? | |
| 15 email, you're added to the email string. | 15 A. Because they got 23 times its money | |
| 16 Do you see that? | 16 30 days later after we closed. | |
| 17 A. I do. | 17 Q. Any other reason? | |
| 18 Q. Just four minutes later. So you're | 18 A. Same reason about getting in | |
| 19 aware of the request that's being made and the | 19 contentious, unnecessary, you know, fight. | |
| 20 justification for the request contemporaneously; | 20 Q. So the Highland bankruptcy didn't | |
| 21 correct? | 21 prevent you in June of 2021 from authorizing | |
| 22 A. Yes. | 22 SE Multifamily to make a return of equity capital | |
| 23 Q. Okay. And let's go to the last mail | 23 to BH Equities; correct? | |
| 24 above. And Mr. McDermett writes and he's | 24 A. Correct. | |
| 25 copied you that upon review and discussion | 25 Q. And the reason that you decided that | |
| Page 192 | 4 1447714 0001150 40/44/0000 | Page 193 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | |
| 2 Highland would be treated differently is because | 2 A. I don't I don't know. I'll have | |
| 3 Highland had received that \$1 million at the | 3 to look. | |
| 4 KeyBank closing in September 2018. Do I have | 4 Q. You're just making that up, aren't | |
| 5 that right? | 5 you? | |
| 6 A. In addition to the fact that we | 6 A. I'm apologize, I don't make stuff | |
| 7 didn't think 46 percent interest was the intent. | 7 up. | |
| 8 Q. This I'm just asking about the | 8 Q. Well, you've mentioned that million | |
| 9 \$49,000. I'm just asking about the return of | 9 dollars several times today as a reason why it's | |
| 10 capital. Okay? So maybe my questioning wasn't | 10 taking 94 percent of profits and losses, but not | |
| 11 dear. | 11 once until now have you ever suggested that a | |
| 12 A. Sure, I'd say that's right. | 12 portion of that was actually a return of capital. | |
| 13 Q. As – as of June of 2021, both HCRE | 13 Was that just an oversight on your | |
| 14 and BH Equities had all of the capital returned | 14 part? | |
| 15 to them as set forth on Schedule A; correct? | 15 A. What, paying Highland 23 times their | |
| 16 A. Correct. As did Highland. | 16 money? | |
| 17 Q. Highland got back – I'm sorry. | 17 Q. Are you going to listen to my | |
| 18 Is it your testimony that Highland | 18 question? Was it an oversight that you failed to | |
| 19 got back its \$49,000 in June of 2021? | 19 tell me that a portion of the million dollars was | |
| 20 A. I – I think it got – from my | 20 a return of capital? | |
| 21 perspective, I think it got its capital back with | 21 A. I don't think you ever asked me that | |
| 22 the 1.14 million. | 22 question. | |
| 23 Q. Is that reflected in SE Multifamily's | 23 Q. So so Highland agreed so so | |
| 24 books and records anywhere that part of that | 24 about 5 percent of that million dollars was a | |
| 25 million dollars was actually a return of capital? | 25 return of capital. Do I have that right? | |
| | | |

| | Fage 51 01 79 |
|--|--|
| Page 194 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 195 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 A. I think it's a little less than that. | 2 Q. What is your basis for saying that |
| 3 But I don't I don't know how Highland | 3 the million dollars paid from Highland came from |
| 4 characterized that cash that they got on their | 4 SE Multifamily in September 2018 as opposed to |
| 5 on their balance sheet. | 5 KeyBank? |
| | • |
| 6 Q. Is it is it is it Highland's | 6 A. Well, because HCRE as the manager and |
| 7 responsibility to do that? Or is it HCRE as the | 7 the lead borrower directed those funds to be paid |
| 8 manager of SE Multifamily to do that? | 8 to one of the partners in the partnership. |
| 9 A. What, to to say what what the | 9 Q. Did HCRE in its capacity as the |
| 10 cash is characterized on Highland's balance | 10 manager direct that capital to extinguish to |
| 11 sheet? | 11 extinguish Highland's capital contribution? |
| 12 Q. No, distributions that are being made | 12 A. (No verbal response.) |
| 13 from SE Multifamily. And, in fact, the money | 13 Q. Did did HCRE do that? |
| 14 that was paid to Highland in September 2018, that | 14 A. Not that I know of. |
| 15 didn't come from SE Multifamily, did it? | 15 Q. They could not have done that |
| 16 A. I mean, of course it did. How did it | 16 isn't that right? under the terms of the |
| 17 how did it not? | 17 KeyBank loan? |
| 18 Q. So – so if we looked at | A. But KeyBank is the one that sent us |
| 19 SE Multifamily's books and records, we would show | 19 the money. |
| 20 a million-dollar transfer from SE Multifamily to | 20 Q. Sir |
| 21 Highland in September 2018. | 21 A. So they they were at least |
| 22 A. I don't know. | 22 implicitly agreeing that we could distribute it |
| 23 Q. What's your basis for saying – what | 23 how we saw fit, if not explicitly. |
| 24 is your basis | 24 Q. Sir, as the person who who was |
| 25 A. Again, this is one point | 25 involved in the negotiation of the KeyBank loan, |
| Page 196 | Page 197 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 did the borrowers have the right to return equity | 2 September '18 was used to extinguish Highland's |
| 3 capital before KeyBank loan was paid back in | 3 capital contribution in SE Multifamily? |
| 4 full? Could they have even done that? | |
| | 4 A. I I I think you're mixing words |
| 5 A. I don't I don't understand your | 5 but |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. | 5 but 6 Q. Is my question unclear to you? |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back.7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? | 5 but 6 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 7 A. No, I don't. |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? | 5 but Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. No, I don't. Q. Is my question unclear to you? |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. | 5 but Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. No, I don't. Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. Yeah. |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, | 5 but Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. No, I don't. Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital | 5 but Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. No, I don't. Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital 12 contribution that Highland made to | 5 but Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. No, I don't. Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be characterized as a return of capital to Highland |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital 12 contribution that Highland made to 13 SE Multifamily, did they? | 5 but Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. No, I don't. Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be characterized as a return of capital to Highland under the SE Multifamily agreement? |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital 12 contribution that Highland made to 13 SE Multifamily, did they? 14 A. No. | 5 but Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. No, I don't. Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be characterized as a return of capital to Highland under the SE Multifamily agreement? A. Not specifically, no. |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital 12 contribution that Highland made to 13 SE Multifamily, did they? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And HCRE never said, Hey, Highland, | 5 but 6 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 7 A. No, I don't. 8 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 9 A. Yeah. 10 Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that 11 \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be 12 characterized as a return of capital to Highland 13 under the SE Multifamily agreement? 14 A. Not specifically, no. 15 Q. Not generally; isn't that right? |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital 12 contribution that Highland made to 13 SE Multifamily, did they? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And HCRE never said, Hey, Highland, 16 \$49,000 of that money, you've just gotten your | 5 but — Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. No, I don't. Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be characterized as a return of capital to Highland under the SE Multifamily agreement? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Not generally; isn't that right? A. Not specifically. |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital 12 contribution that Highland made to 13 SE Multifamily, did they? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And HCRE never said, Hey, Highland, 16 \$49,000 of that money, you've just gotten your 17 capital paid back right? you never said | 5 but — Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. No, I don't. Q. Is my question unclear to you? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be characterized as a return of capital to Highland under the SE Multifamily agreement? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Not generally; isn't that right? A. Not specifically. Q. You've never seen a document in your |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital 12 contribution that Highland made to 13 SE Multifamily, did they? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And HCRE never said, Hey, Highland, 16 \$49,000 of that money, you've just gotten your 17 capital paid back right? you never said 18 that? | 5 but 6 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 7 A. No, I don't. 8 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 9 A. Yeah. 10 Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that 11 \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be 12 characterized as a return of capital to Highland 13 under the SE Multifamily agreement? 14 A. Not specifically, no. 15 Q. Not generally; isn't that right? 16 A. Not specifically. 17 Q. You've never seen a document in your 18 life that reflects the treatment of \$49,000 of |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital 12 contribution that Highland made to 13 SE Multifamily, did they? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And HCRE never said, Hey, Highland, 16 \$49,000 of that money, you've just gotten your 17 capital paid back right? you never said 18 that? 19 A. I don't know if it was said or not. | 5 but — 6 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 7 A. No, I don't. 8 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 9 A. Yeah. 10 Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that 11 \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be 12 characterized as a return of capital to Highland 13 under the SE Multifamily agreement? 14 A. Not specifically, no. 15 Q. Not generally; isn't that right? 16 A. Not specifically. 17 Q. You've never seen a document in your 18 life that reflects the treatment of \$49,000 of 19 that September '18 payment as the return of |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital 12 contribution that Highland made to 13 SE Multifamily, did they? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And HCRE never said, Hey, Highland, 16 \$49,000 of that money, you've just gotten your 17 capital paid back right? you never said 18 that? | 5 but — 6 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 7 A. No, I don't. 8 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 9 A. Yeah. 10 Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that 11 \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be 12 characterized as a return of capital to Highland 13 under the SE Multifamily agreement? 14 A. Not specifically, no. 15 Q. Not generally; isn't that right? 16 A. Not specifically. 17 Q. You've never seen a document in your 18 life that reflects the treatment of \$49,000 of 19 that September '18 payment as the return of 20 capital; correct? |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital 12 contribution that Highland made to 13 SE Multifamily, did they? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And HCRE never said, Hey, Highland, 16 \$49,000 of that money, you've just gotten your 17 capital paid back right? you never said 18 that? 19 A. I don't know if it was said or not. 20 I think they were happy to have it. 21 Q. That's not what I asked you. | 5 but — 6 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 7 A. No, I don't. 8 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 9 A. Yeah. 10 Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that 11 \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be 12 characterized as a return of capital to Highland 13 under the SE Multifamily agreement? 14 A. Not specifically, no. 15 Q. Not generally; isn't that right? 16 A. Not specifically. 17 Q. You've never seen a document in your 18 life that reflects the treatment of \$49,000 of 19 that September '18 payment as the return of |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital 12 contribution that Highland made to 13 SE Multifamily, did they? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And HCRE never said, Hey, Highland, 16 \$49,000 of that money, you've just gotten your 17 capital paid back right? you never said 18 that? 19 A. I don't know if it was said or not. 20 I think they were happy to have it. | 5 but — 6 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 7 A. No, I don't. 8 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 9 A. Yeah. 10 Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that 11 \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be 12 characterized as a return of capital to Highland 13 under the SE Multifamily agreement? 14 A. Not specifically, no. 15 Q. Not generally; isn't that right? 16 A. Not specifically. 17 Q. You've never seen a document in your 18 life that reflects the treatment of \$49,000 of 19 that September '18 payment as the return of 20 capital; correct? |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital 12 contribution that Highland made to 13 SE Multifamily, did they? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And HCRE never said, Hey, Highland, 16 \$49,000 of that money, you've just gotten your 17 capital paid back right? you never said 18 that? 19 A. I don't know if it was said or not. 20 I think they were happy to have it. 21 Q. That's not what I asked you. | 5 but 6 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 7 A. No, I don't. 8 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 9 A. Yeah. 10 Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that 11 \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be 12 characterized as a return of capital to Highland 13 under the SE Multifamily agreement? 14 A. Not specifically, no. 15 Q. Not generally; isn't that right? 16 A. Not specifically. 17 Q. You've never seen a document in your 18 life that reflects the treatment of \$49,000 of 19 that September '18 payment as the return of 20 capital; correct? 21 A. It was a very, very complex, |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital 12 contribution that Highland made to 13 SE Multifamily, did they? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And HCRE never said, Hey, Highland, 16 \$49,000 of that money, you've just gotten your 17 capital paid back right? you never said 18 that? 19 A. I don't know if it was said or not. 20 I think they were happy to have it. 21 Q. That's not what I asked you. 22 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. 23 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge 24 that HCRE made the determination that \$49,000 of | 5 but — 6 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 7 A. No, I don't. 8 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 9 A. Yeah. 10 Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that 11 \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be 12 characterized as a return of capital to Highland 13 under the SE Multifamily agreement? 14 A. Not specifically, no. 15 Q. Not generally; isn't that right? 16 A. Not specifically. 17 Q. You've never seen a document in your 18 life that reflects the treatment of \$49,000 of 19 that September '18 payment as the return of 20 capital; correct? 21 A. It was a very, very complex, 22 fast-moving transaction at the time of it — of 23 closing. So 24 Q. Sir, can you identify a document in |
| 6 question. They sent us the money back. 7 Q. Correct. And why did they do that? 8 Because your pain and suffering; right? 9 A. Yeah, I lived it. It wasn't fun. 10 Q. That's right. They didn't say, Here, 11 take this money and pay down the capital 12 contribution that Highland made to 13 SE Multifamily, did they? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And HCRE never said, Hey, Highland, 16 \$49,000 of that money, you've just gotten your 17 capital paid back right? you never said 18 that? 19 A. I don't know if it was said or not. 20 I think they were happy to have it. 21 Q. That's not what I asked you. 22 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. 23 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge | 5 but — 6 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 7 A. No, I don't. 8 Q. Is my question unclear to you? 9 A. Yeah. 10 Q. Okay. Did HCRE ever direct that 11 \$49,000 of the payment made by KeyBank would be 12 characterized as a return of capital to Highland 13 under the SE Multifamily agreement? 14 A. Not specifically, no. 15 Q. Not generally; isn't that right? 16 A. Not specifically. 17 Q. You've never seen a document in your 18 life that reflects the treatment of \$49,000 of 19 that September '18 payment as the return of 20 capital; correct? 21 A. It was a very, very complex, 22 fast-moving transaction at the time of it — of 23 closing. So |

| EXHIDIL / 1 | Fage 52 01 79 | |
|--|---|----------|
| Page 198 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 199 |
| 2 Highland's capital in September 2018? | 2 Yes or no. | |
| 3 A. No. But I'll look for one. | 3 A. I think the fact that it received 23 | |
| 4 Q. Okay. | 4 times its capital back, that that would include a | |
| 5 A. Because that email was probably sent | 5 return of capital. | |
| 6 along with the capital back to - back to | 6 Q. Did you think that in March of 2019? | |
| 7 Highland. I don't know what was stated. | 7 A. I don't know. | |
| 8 Q. So then why six months later did you | 8 Q. You didn't right? or you never | |
| 9 say that Schedule A was true and accurate? Why | 9 would have let Mr. Dondero sign an agreement that | |
| 10 was Highland still getting credit for a \$49,000 | 10 still had a \$49,000 capital contribution from | |
| 11 capital contribution that had already been | 11 Highland isn't that right? you wouldn't | |
| 12 repaid? | 12 have done that? | |
| 13 A. We didn't view it as that. I mean, | 13 A. Yeah, I would have, because it was a | |
| 14 we weren't concerned about it at the time. It's | 14 living document. | |
| 15 a very different circumstance than we're sitting | 15 Q. And | |
| 16 in today. | 16 A. We all were working toward changed | |
| 17 Q. So at the time you didn't think that | 17 circumstances and the transitional-like | |
| 18 the \$49,000 had been returned to cap to | 18 portfolio. That's that was the purpose. | |
| 19 Highland; correct? You only think that now? | 19 Q. How many lives does it have? Because | |
| 20 A. I think it got 23 times its original | 20 it put in \$49,000, it got it back, and you and | |
| 21 investment. That's what I think. | 21 Mr. Dondero and everybody working on behalf of | |
| 22 Q. Sir, at the time HCRE signed the | 22 Highland and HCRE is comfortable saying six | |
| 23 amended and restated agreement, did it believe | 23 months later you should still get credit for the | |
| 24 that Highland had already received its capital as | 24 \$49,000 that you got repaid back, is that your | |
| 25 a result of the payment made in September 2018? | 25 testimony? | |
| Page 200 | | Page 201 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | |
| 2 A. It's a \$1.4 billion transaction, | 2 and treating it like the other members of HCRE, | |
| 3 \$49,000 at the time with friendly affiliates, it | 3 that would help avoid a fight? | |
| 4 didn't really concern us. | 4 A. I don't understand that, what you're | |
| 5 Q. Okay. I'll take it. So so the | 5 insinuating. | |
| 6 reason that you didn't have Highland receive its | 6 Q. Okay. Well, I'm going to ask my | |
| 7 \$49,000 at the same time BH Equities received its | 7 my earlier question. Did you discuss with | |
| 8 equity contribution in June of 2021 is because | 8 anybody in June of 2021 about whether Highland | |
| 9 you decided at that time that Highland had | 9 should receive a return of its \$49,000? | |
| 10 already received enough money right? | 10 A. No. | |
| 11 through – through that September 2018 | 11 Q. Okay. Did someone make a decision | |
| 12 distribution? | 12 that \$49,000 of the payment made in December | |
| 13 A. Yeah, I think that's – that's | 13 in September 2018 would be treated as a return of | |
| 14 partially true. And the other is that we didn't | 14 capital? Did somebody make that decision? | |
| 15 agree with the allocation. | A. No one is going to make a decision on | |
| 16 Q. Did you discuss this issue with | 16 the character of return of capital or | |
| 17 anybody in June of 2021 about why Highland wasn't | 17 distributions to Highland or HCRE as long as we | |
| 18 going to get its \$49,000 capital contribution | 18 are disputing the percentages and the intent of | |
| 19 back? | 19 the document. That's the point. | |
| 20 A. We I just said the prior statement | Q. Are you aware that HCRE sent Highland | |
| 21 that we viewed that as partially taking care of | 21 a check for \$49,000 in June 2022? | |
| 22 their capital contribution. And the other reason | 22 A. I am. | |
| 23 is because we didn't want to fight the fight that | 23 Q. Did you authorize that payment? | |
| 24 we're having now. | 24 A. No.25 Q. Who authorized the payment to | |
| 25 Q. So you thought by not paying Highland | 1 25 (1) Who allthorized the payment to | |

| LATIBLE 71 | | D 000 |
|--|--|----------|
| Page 202 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 203 |
| 2 Highland in June of 2022 of \$49,000? | 2 Q. So as a 30 percent owner of HCRE, | |
| 3 A. I don't know. | 3 which has at least 40 some-odd percent | |
| 4 Q. Did you ever discuss it with anybody | 4 interest | |
| 5 in the world? | 5 MR. MORRIS: Did you leave? Who's | |
| 6 A. No. | 6 here? | |
| 7 Q. How did you learn it happened? | 7 THE WITNESS: I'm here. I'm here. | |
| 8 A. Through this process. | 8 MR. MORRIS: Oh, I lost your face | |
| 9 Q. What part of this process informed | 9 for a second. | |
| 10 you that of that because that hasn't been in | 10 Q. So it doesn't make sense to you that | |
| 11 any papers, I don't think where did you learn | 11 HCRE made this payment in June 2022? | |
| 12 that HCRE sent Highland \$49,000 in June of 2022? | 12 A. It doesn't make sense to me. | |
| 13 A. Maybe Jim's testimony or someone's | 13 Q. But but but despite the fact | |
| 14 testimony. I don't specifically recall. | 14 that it doesn't make sense, you've never taken | |
| 15 Q. Did you ever ask anybody why that | 15 the time to ask anybody what that was about; is | |
| 16 happened since Highland had already received so | 16 that fair? | |
| 17 much money? | 17 A. That's fair. Say I'm petite. | |
| 18 A. No. | 18 Q. I share that. Were you involved in | |
| 19 Q. You're not at all curious as to why | 19 the process of the preparation of | |
| 20 that payment was made in June of 2022, you didn't | 20 SE Multifamily's tax returns? | |
| 21 ask anybody any questions at any time? | 21 A. No. | |
| 22 A. None of this makes any sense to me | 22 Q. Who was responsible for acting on | |
| 23 anyway, so, no. | 23 behalf of HCRE in connection with the preparation | |
| 24 Q. It does or it doesn't make sense? | 24 of SE Multifamily's tax returns? | |
| 25 A. It doesn't. | 25 A. For which year? | |
| Page 204 | | Page 205 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | . a.g |
| 2 Q. At any time. So let's start from the | 2 SE Multifamily's tax returns since SE Multifamily | |
| 3 beginning and if if if the names of the | 3 was first created? | |
| 4 if the identities of the people involved changed, | 4 A. I think Swadley, he's the leader of | |
| 5 just let me know. So so, Mr. McGraner, I | 5 the tax team, and then Broaddus, as long as he | |
| 6 don't mean to give you a hard time. Let me ask | 6 was here. I don't remember when he left. | |
| 7 you a cleaner question. | 7 Q. Did Mr. Broaddus leave in 2022 or | |
| 8 Can you can you tell me who since | | |
| | 8 before 2022? | |
| 9 HCRE was formed no. Withdrawn. | 8 before 2022?9 A. I thought it was thought it was | |
| 9 HCRE was formed – no. Withdrawn. 10 Can you tell me who, since | | |
| | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was | |
| 10 Can you tell me who, since | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was 10 right around COVID, 2020 maybe. | |
| 10 Can you tell me who, since 11 SE Multifamily was formed, was responsible for | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was 10 right around COVID, 2020 maybe. 11 Q. Okay. Okay. Have you ever spoken | |
| 10 Can you tell me who, since 11 SE Multifamily was formed, was responsible for 12 preparing SE Multifamily's tax returns? | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was 10 right around COVID, 2020 maybe. 11 Q. Okay. Okay. Have you ever spoken 12 with anyone at Barker Viggato concerning | |
| 10 Can you tell me who, since 11 SE Multifamily was formed, was responsible for 12 preparing SE Multifamily's tax returns? 13 A. 2018 would have been Highland's tax | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was 10 right around COVID, 2020 maybe. 11 Q. Okay. Okay. Have you ever spoken 12 with anyone at Barker Viggato concerning 13 SE Multifamily's tax returns? | |
| 10 Can you tell me who, since 11 SE Multifamily was formed, was responsible for 12 preparing SE Multifamily's tax returns? 13 A. 2018 would have been Highland's tax 14 team. I guess 2019 is a little wishy washy in my | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was 10 right around COVID, 2020 maybe. 11 Q. Okay. Okay. Have you ever spoken 12 with anyone at Barker Viggato concerning 13 SE Multifamily's tax returns? 14 A. No. | |
| 10 Can you tell me who, since 11 SE Multifamily was formed, was responsible for 12 preparing SE Multifamily's tax returns? 13 A. 2018 would have been Highland's tax 14 team. I guess 2019 is a little wishy washy in my 15 — in my view because of the petition filing, but | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was 10 right around COVID, 2020 maybe. 11 Q. Okay. Okay. Have you ever spoken 12 with anyone at Barker Viggato concerning 13 SE Multifamily's tax returns? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And when I use the phrase "tax | |
| 10 Can you tell me who, since 11 SE Multifamily was formed, was responsible for 12 preparing SE Multifamily's tax returns? 13 A. 2018 would have been Highland's tax 14 team. I guess 2019 is a little wishy washy in my 15 — in my view because of the petition filing, but 16 probably the same answer. We lost shared | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was 10 right around COVID, 2020 maybe. 11 Q. Okay. Okay. Have you ever spoken 12 with anyone at Barker Viggato concerning 13 SE Multifamily's tax returns? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And when I use the phrase "tax 16 returns," I'm talking about not only | |
| 10 Can you tell me who, since 11 SE Multifamily was formed, was responsible for 12 preparing SE Multifamily's tax returns? 13 A. 2018 would have been Highland's tax 14 team. I guess 2019 is a little wishy washy in my 15 — in my view because of the petition filing, but 16 probably the same answer. We lost shared 17 services in 2020. SkyView is formed, so perhaps | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was 10 right around COVID, 2020 maybe. 11 Q. Okay. Okay. Have you ever spoken 12 with anyone at Barker Viggato concerning 13 SE Multifamily's tax returns? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And when I use the phrase "tax 16 returns," I'm talking about not only 17 SE Multifamily's tax returns but the K-1s that | |
| Can you tell me who, since SE Multifamily was formed, was responsible for preparing SE Multifamily's tax returns? A. 2018 would have been Highland's tax team. I guess 2019 is a little wishy washy in my in my view because of the petition filing, but probably the same answer. We lost shared services in 2020. SkyView is formed, so perhaps SkyView or Highland in 2020. And I think now | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was 10 right around COVID, 2020 maybe. 11 Q. Okay. Okay. Have you ever spoken 12 with anyone at Barker Viggato concerning 13 SE Multifamily's tax returns? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And when I use the phrase "tax 16 returns," I'm talking about not only 17 SE Multifamily's tax returns but the K-1s that 18 were prepared for SE Multifamily's members. Do | |
| Can you tell me who, since SE Multifamily was formed, was responsible for preparing SE Multifamily's tax returns? A. 2018 would have been Highland's tax team. I guess 2019 is a little wishy washy in my - in my view because of the petition filing, but probably the same answer. We lost shared responsible to the period of the probably the same answer. We lost shared Services in 2020. SkyView is formed, so perhaps SkyView or Highland in 2020. And I think now SkyView. But largely they're probably the same | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was 10 right around COVID, 2020 maybe. 11 Q. Okay. Okay. Have you ever spoken 12 with anyone at Barker Viggato concerning 13 SE Multifamily's tax returns? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And when I use the phrase "tax 16 returns," I'm talking about not only 17 SE Multifamily's tax returns but the K-1s that 18 were prepared for SE Multifamily's members. Do 19 you understand? | |
| Can you tell me who, since SE Multifamily was formed, was responsible for preparing SE Multifamily's tax returns? A. 2018 would have been Highland's tax team. I guess 2019 is a little wishy washy in my — in my view because of the petition filing, but probably the same answer. We lost shared services in 2020. SkyView is formed, so perhaps SkyView or Highland in 2020. And I think now SkyView. But largely they're probably the same general individuals. | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was 10 right around COVID, 2020 maybe. 11 Q. Okay. Okay. Have you ever spoken 12 with anyone at Barker Viggato concerning 13 SE Multifamily's tax returns? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And when I use the phrase "tax 16 returns," I'm talking about not only 17 SE Multifamily's tax returns but the K-1s that 18 were prepared for SE Multifamily's members. Do 19 you understand? 20 A. I do. | |
| Can you tell me who, since SE Multifamily was formed, was responsible for preparing SE Multifamily's tax returns? A. 2018 would have been Highland's tax team. I guess 2019 is a little wishy washy in my — in my view because of the petition filing, but probably the same answer. We lost shared services in 2020. SkyView is formed, so perhaps SkyView or Highland in 2020. And I think now SkyView. But largely they're probably the same general individuals. Q. And that would include Mr. Patrick. | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was 10 right around COVID, 2020 maybe. 11 Q. Okay. Okay. Have you ever spoken 12 with anyone at Barker Viggato concerning 13 SE Multifamily's tax returns? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And when I use the phrase "tax 16 returns," I'm talking about not only 17 SE Multifamily's tax returns but the K-1s that 18 were prepared for SE Multifamily's members. Do 19 you understand? 20 A. I do. 21 Q. Okay. So you've never communicated | |
| Can you tell me who, since SE Multifamily was formed, was responsible for preparing SE Multifamily's tax returns? A. 2018 would have been Highland's tax team. I guess 2019 is a little wishy washy in my - in my view because of the petition filing, but probably the same answer. We lost shared services in 2020. SkyView is formed, so perhaps SkyView or Highland in 2020. And I think now SkyView. But largely they're probably the same general individuals. Q. And that would include Mr. Patrick. | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was 10 right around COVID, 2020 maybe. 11 Q. Okay. Okay. Have you ever spoken 12 with anyone at Barker Viggato concerning 13 SE Multifamily's tax returns? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And when I use the phrase "tax 16 returns," I'm talking about not only 17 SE Multifamily's tax returns but the K-1s that 18 were prepared for SE Multifamily's members. Do 19 you understand? 20 A. I do. 21 Q. Okay. So you've never communicated 22 with anybody with that definition of tax | |
| Can you tell me who, since SE Multifamily was formed, was responsible for preparing SE Multifamily's tax returns? A. 2018 would have been Highland's tax team. I guess 2019 is a little wishy washy in my — in my view because of the petition filing, but probably the same answer. We lost shared services in 2020. SkyView is formed, so perhaps SkyView or Highland in 2020. And I think now SkyView. But largely they're probably the same general individuals. Q. And that would include Mr. Patrick. Do I have that right? A. (No verbal response.) | 9 A. I thought it was thought it was 10 right around COVID, 2020 maybe. 11 Q. Okay. Okay. Have you ever spoken 12 with anyone at Barker Viggato concerning 13 SE Multifamily's tax returns? 14 A. No. 15 Q. And when I use the phrase "tax 16 returns," I'm talking about not only 17 SE Multifamily's tax returns but the K-1s that 18 were prepared for SE Multifamily's members. Do 19 you understand? 20 A. I do. 21 Q. Okay. So you've never communicated 22 with anybody with that definition of tax 23 returns, you've never spoken with anybody at | |

| | age 34 of 79 |
|--|---|
| Page 206 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 207 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 A. Right. | 2 Barker Viggato until the fall of 2021; correct? |
| 3 Q. Do you know if Mr. Dondero has ever | 3 A. I think that was right. |
| 4 spoken with anybody at Barker Viggato concerning | 4 Q. Are there any other decisions that |
| 5 SE Multifamily's tax returns as I've defined | 5 you recall making with respect to any aspect of |
| 6 that? | 6 SE Multifamily's tax returns? |
| 7 A. I don't know. | 7 A. No. |
| | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 8 Q. Are you aware of any mistake or any |
| 9 communications that he ever had with | 9 error that was made with respect to any of |
| 10 SE Multifamily with Barker Viggato? | 10 SE Multifamily's tax returns? |
| 11 A. No. | 11 A. No. |
| 12 Q. Did you ever make any decisions | Q. Are you aware of any amendment that's |
| 13 related to the preparation of SE Multifamily's | 13 ever been made to any of SE Multifamily's tax |
| 14 tax returns as I've defined it? | 14 returns? |
| 15 A. In in one instance, yeah. | 15 A. No. |
| 16 Q. What decision did you make? | 16 Q. Are you aware of any discussion |
| 17 A. For one tax return, I think we wanted | 17 that's ongoing now as to whether or not |
| 18 to highlight the ambiguity of the the | 18 SE Multifamily should amendment any of its tax |
| 19 percentage allocation. So I think that was noted | 19 returns? |
| 20 on – on the return. | 20 A. No. |
| 21 Q. And that happened in the fall of 2021 | Q. Has SE Multifamily completed the tax |
| 22 with respect to the 2020 returns; correct? | 22 returns for 2021? |
| 23 A. I think that's right. | 23 A. I think so. |
| 24 Q. Okay. So to the best of your | 24 Q. They would have been due |
| 25 knowledge, that issue was not raised with | 25 September 15th; right? |
| Page 208 | Page 209 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 A. Yeah, I think so. | 2 Q. I apologize if I asked this. It |
| 3 Q. Do you know if Barker Viggato acted | 3 would have been just a moment ago, but I'm a |
| 4 as the tax preparer for the SE Multifamily tax | 4 little tired myself. |
| 5 returns for 2021? | 5 Did you ever see a draft of |
| 6 A. I think so. | 6 SE Multifamily's tax returns before it was fin- |
| 7 Q. Was there ever any consideration | 7 before they were finalized? Did they run them |
| 8 given to replacing Barker Viggato as the tax | 8 by you? Did you kind of look at them? |
| 9 preparer for SE Multifamily's tax returns? | 9 A. Just the just per the |
| 10 A. Not as far as I'm aware. | 10 conversations with the tax team. |
| 11 Q. Are you aware of any concern by | 11 Q. Did you ever review |
| 12 Barker Viggato – withdrawn. | 12 A. They |
| 13 Did do you know whether Barker | 13 Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. |
| · | _ |
| 14 Viggato ever expressed any reluctance in | |
| 15 continuing on as SE Multifamily's tax preparer? | , , |
| 16 A. No. | 16 instance where I thought we should note that |
| 17 Q. Did you ever review any draft tax | 17 there was a dispute. |
| 18 return for SE Multifamily? | 18 Q. Did are you familiar withdrawn. |
| 19 A. Just the one where I directed the | 19 Did you ever see SE Multifamily's |
| 20 the note. | 20 final tax returns after they were filed? |
| 21 Q. What prompted you to do that at that | 21 A. I saw the HCRE K-1s, the ones that |
| | |
| 22 time? | 22 had passed through to partnerships, but not the |
| 22 time? 23 A. I think I think we were trying to | 22 had passed through to partnerships, but not the23 not SE Multifamily's. |
| 22 time? | 23 not SE Multifamily's. 24 Q. Did you see any K-1s other than those |
| 22 time?23 A. I think I think we were trying to | 23 - not SE Multifamily's. |

| Exhibit 71 Page 55 01 79 | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 211 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | | | |
| 2 A. No. | 2 gave any instructions of any kind to the tax | | | |
| 3 Q. Did anybody discuss with you at any | 3 group as to how to prepare SE Multifamily's tax | | | |
| 4 time the allocation of profits and losses in | 4 returns? | | | |
| 5 connection with the preparation of any of the | 5 A. No. | | | |
| 6 SE Multifamily tax returns? | 6 Q. From your perspective, did the tax | | | |
| 7 A. Just the just the first year. | 7 group have the authority to make the decisions as | | | |
| 8 Q. Do you know how decisions were made | 8 to the content of SE Multifamily's tax returns? | | | |
| 9 concerning the allocation of profits and losses | 9 A. Yeah, I think I think they know | | | |
| 10 on SE Multifamily's tax returns? | 10 what their job is. | | | |
| 11 A. Generally, no. | 11 Q. And neither you nor Mr. Dondero, to | | | |
| 12 Q. Do you have any understanding at all | 12 the best of your knowledge, did anything to | | | |
| 13 as to who made the decision as to how to allocate | 13 ascertain whether or not they were doing their | | | |
| 14 SE Multifamily's profits and losses among its | 14 job correctly; is that fair? | | | |
| 15 members? | 15 A. Fair. | | | |
| 16 A. Did you ask for my understanding? | 16 Q. You just relied on them; is that | | | |
| 17 Q. No. I'm asking if you have any idea | 17 right? | | | |
| 18 as to who made those decisions as as to how to | 18 A. Yes, that's right. | | | |
| 19 allocate SE Multifamily's P&L across the members? | 19 Q. Even though HCRE is ultimately the | | | |
| 20 A. I – I don't know what went into it. | 20 manager of SE Multifamily, the job of causing | | | |
| 21 Q. Did you ever give the tax group any | 21 SE Multifamily's tax returns to be prepared and | | | |
| 22 instructions of any kind as to how to prepare the | 22 filed was delegated to the tax group whether it | | | |
| 23 SE Multifamily's tax returns? | 23 was at Highland or SkyView; correct? | | | |
| 24 A. No. | 24 A. Yeah, they're seasoned professionals, | | | |
| 25 Q. Did do you know if Mr. Dondero | 25 we relied on on their experience and the | | | |
| Page 212 | Page 213 | | | |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | | | |
| 2 professionals' experience. | 2 there. | | | |
| 3 Q. Okay. | 3 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Do you see there is | | | |
| 4 MR. MORRIS: All right. It's 2:38. | 4 an email I guess you have to go to the top of | | | |
| 5 Give me ten minutes. I don't have a lot | 5 the the bottom of the broader page right | | | |
| 6 left. So let me just take a a minute to | 6 there from Mr. Broaddus to Herb Heriberto | | | |
| 7 look at my notes. And let me see where we | 7 Rios, Mark Barker, Ross Kirshner on September | | | |
| 8 are. If we can come back just to not to | 8 13th. | | | |
| O ha as arbitrary latte cell to 0.50 | | | | |
| 9 be so arbitrary, let's call it 2:50 your | 9 Do you see that? | | | |
| 9 be so arbitrary, let's call it 2:50 your 10 time. | 9 Do you see that? 10 A. I do. | | | |
| l · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 10 time. | 10 A. I do. | | | |
| 10 time. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. | 10 A. I do. 11 Q. And this is 2021. Is this around the | | | |
| 10 time. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is | 10 A. I do. 11 Q. And this is 2021. Is this around the 12 time that you recall getting involved in that one | | | |
| 10 time. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 13 2:40 p.m. and we are going off the record. | 10 A. I do. 11 Q. And this is 2021. Is this around the 12 time that you recall getting involved in that one 13 issue relating to the SE Multifamily tax returns 14 concerning allocations? 15 A. I believe so, yeah. | | | |
| 10 time. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 13 2:40 p.m. and we are going off the record. 14 (Break from 2:38 p.m. to 2:50 p.m.) | 10 A. I do. 11 Q. And this is 2021. Is this around the 12 time that you recall getting involved in that one 13 issue relating to the SE Multifamily tax returns 14 concerning allocations? | | | |
| 10 time. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 13 2:40 p.m. and we are going off the record. 14 (Break from 2:38 p.m. to 2:50 p.m.) 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is | 10 A. I do. 11 Q. And this is 2021. Is this around the 12 time that you recall getting involved in that one 13 issue relating to the SE Multifamily tax returns 14 concerning allocations? 15 A. I believe so, yeah. | | | |
| 10 time. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 13 2:40 p.m. and we are going off the record. 14 (Break from 2:38 p.m. to 2:50 p.m.) 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 16 2:52 p.m. and we are back on the record. | 10 A. I do. 11 Q. And this is 2021. Is this around the 12 time that you recall getting involved in that one 13 issue relating to the SE Multifamily tax returns 14 concerning allocations? 15 A. I believe so, yeah. 16 Q. And you see that Mr. Broaddus is | | | |
| 10 time. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 13 2:40 p.m. and we are going off the record. 14 (Break from 2:38 p.m. to 2:50 p.m.) 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 16 2:52 p.m. and we are back on the record. 17 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Mr. McGraner, can | 10 A. I do. 11 Q. And this is 2021. Is this around the 12 time that you recall getting involved in that one 13 issue relating to the SE Multifamily tax returns 14 concerning allocations? 15 A. I believe so, yeah. 16 Q. And you see that Mr. Broaddus is 17 asking whether the tax returns had been filed | | | |
| 10 time. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 13 2:40 p.m. and we are going off the record. 14 (Break from 2:38 p.m. to 2:50 p.m.) 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 16 2:52 p.m. and we are back on the record. 17 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Mr. McGraner, can 18 you hear me okay? | 10 A. I do. 11 Q. And this is 2021. Is this around the 12 time that you recall getting involved in that one 13 issue relating to the SE Multifamily tax returns 14 concerning allocations? 15 A. I believe so, yeah. 16 Q. And you see that Mr. Broaddus is 17 asking whether the tax returns had been filed 18 because he says, "We want to add a statement to | | | |
| 10 time. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 13 2:40 p.m. and we are going off the record. 14 (Break from 2:38 p.m. to 2:50 p.m.) 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 16 2:52 p.m. and we are back on the record. 17 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Mr. McGraner, can 18 you hear me okay? 19 A. I can. | 10 A. I do. 11 Q. And this is 2021. Is this around the 12 time that you recall getting involved in that one 13 issue relating to the SE Multifamily tax returns 14 concerning allocations? 15 A. I believe so, yeah. 16 Q. And you see that Mr. Broaddus is 17 asking whether the tax returns had been filed 18 because he says, "We want to add a statement to 19 the return and then file a superseded return on | | | |
| 10 time. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 13 2:40 p.m. and we are going off the record. 14 (Break from 2:38 p.m. to 2:50 p.m.) 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 16 2:52 p.m. and we are back on the record. 17 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Mr. McGraner, can 18 you hear me okay? 19 A. I can. 20 Q. Okay. We're going to put up a | 10 A. I do. 11 Q. And this is 2021. Is this around the 12 time that you recall getting involved in that one 13 issue relating to the SE Multifamily tax returns 14 concerning allocations? 15 A. I believe so, yeah. 16 Q. And you see that Mr. Broaddus is 17 asking whether the tax returns had been filed 18 because he says, "We want to add a statement to 19 the return and then file a superseded return on 20 or before Wednesday. | | | |
| 10 time. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 13 2:40 p.m. and we are going off the record. 14 (Break from 2:38 p.m. to 2:50 p.m.) 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 16 2:52 p.m. and we are back on the record. 17 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Mr. McGraner, can 18 you hear me okay? 19 A. I can. 20 Q. Okay. We're going to put up a 21 document on the screen that was premarked as | 10 A. I do. 11 Q. And this is 2021. Is this around the 12 time that you recall getting involved in that one 13 issue relating to the SE Multifamily tax returns 14 concerning allocations? 15 A. I believe so, yeah. 16 Q. And you see that Mr. Broaddus is 17 asking whether the tax returns had been filed 18 because he says, "We want to add a statement to 19 the return and then file a superseded return on 20 or before Wednesday. 21 Do you see that?" | | | |
| 10 time. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 13 2:40 p.m. and we are going off the record. 14 (Break from 2:38 p.m. to 2:50 p.m.) 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 16 2:52 p.m. and we are back on the record. 17 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Mr. McGraner, can 18 you hear me okay? 19 A. I can. 20 Q. Okay. We're going to put up a 21 document on the screen that was premarked as 22 Exhibit 17, which is an email exchange. | 10 A. I do. 11 Q. And this is 2021. Is this around the 12 time that you recall getting involved in that one 13 issue relating to the SE Multifamily tax returns 14 concerning allocations? 15 A. I believe so, yeah. 16 Q. And you see that Mr. Broaddus is 17 asking whether the tax returns had been filed 18 because he says, "We want to add a statement to 19 the return and then file a superseded return on 20 or before Wednesday. 21 Do you see that?" 22 A. Yes. | | | |
| 10 time. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 13 2:40 p.m. and we are going off the record. 14 (Break from 2:38 p.m. to 2:50 p.m.) 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 16 2:52 p.m. and we are back on the record. 17 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Mr. McGraner, can 18 you hear me okay? 19 A. I can. 20 Q. Okay. We're going to put up a 21 document on the screen that was premarked as 22 Exhibit 17, which is an email exchange. 23 (Exhibit 17 was marked.) | 10 A. I do. 11 Q. And this is 2021. Is this around the 12 time that you recall getting involved in that one 13 issue relating to the SE Multifamily tax returns 14 concerning allocations? 15 A. I believe so, yeah. 16 Q. And you see that Mr. Broaddus is 17 asking whether the tax returns had been filed 18 because he says, "We want to add a statement to 19 the return and then file a superseded return on 20 or before Wednesday. 21 Do you see that?" 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. And if we can scroll up, | | | |

| | Page 214 | | | Page 215 |
|----|---|----|--|----------|
| 1 | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Ü |
| 2 | Do you see that? | 2 | A. I do. | |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | Q. And and did you approve of this | |
| 4 | Q. And then he asks some other he | 4 | statement before it was sent to Barker Viggato on | |
| 5 | asks some other questions. Can we go to the next | 5 | September 14, 2021? | |
| 6 | email, the response? All right. So Mr. Kirshner | 6 | A. I did. | |
| 7 | says, "We haven't filed the return yet. We can | 7 | Q. And is this the only substantive | |
| 8 | update addresses." | | issue you recall being involved with in | |
| 9 | And he asks for the statement that | 9 | connection with SE Multifamily's tax returns? | |
| 10 | was requested to be included; right? | 10 | A. It is. | |
| 11 | A. Yep. | 11 | Q. And this statement do you know if | |
| 12 | Q. And then go up a little bit. | 12 | this statement was adopted in any of | |
| 13 | Mr. Rios says, "Paul will send it on when it's | 13 | SE Multifamily's tax returns? | |
| 14 | ready." | 14 | A. Do I do I know if it was in the | |
| 15 | Continue on to the next email. | 15 | tax return? | |
| | Mr. Kirshner responds in a way that's not | 16 | • | |
| | substantive to my questions. And then keep | 17 | , , , | |
| | going. Mr. Rios, "That sounds good." Keep | 18 | tax returns? | |
| 19 | going. Mr. Barker is still looking for the | 19 | A. I believe so. | |
| | statement on September 14th. Keep going. And we | 20 | Q. And was that done at your | |
| 21 | have it. We finally have it. | 21 | instruction? | |
| 22 | So Mr. Broaddus writes to | 22 | A. Yes. | |
| 23 | Barker Viggato on September 14th and he includes | 23 | Q. Okay. And this document refers to | |
| 24 | a statement. | 24 | the allocation of taxable income, that's the | |
| 25 | Do you see that? | 25 | first sentence; right? | |
| | Page 216 | _ | MATTIM-ODANIED 40/44/0000 | Page 217 |
| 1 | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 | MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | |
| 2 | A. Yep. | 2 | Have I read that correctly? | |
| 3 | Q. And and the second sentence refers | 3 | A. You have. | |
| | to the capital contributions of NexPoint Real | 4 | Q. And the manager there in the next | |
| | Estate Partners and Highland Capital Management; | 5 | sentence is HCRE; correct? | |
| | right? | 6 | A. Correct. | |
| 7 | A. Right. | 7 | Q. And you reserve the right to amend | |
| 8 | Q. You don't tell you don't tell | | the returns of the partnership for the year 2019 | |
| | Barker Viggato at this time that you believe | | and subsequent years upon a final determination, | |
| | Highland has received all of its capital back, do | 10 | , | |
| | you? | 11 | A. Yes. | |
| 12 | A. No. | 12 | • | |
| 13 | Q. You never told nobody acting on | 13 | 3 / | |
| | behalf of HCRE has ever told Barker Viggato that | 14 | 55 | |
| | Highland has ever received its capital back; | 15 | , , | |
| | right? To the best – | 16 | • | |
| 17 | A. Yeah, not that I'm aware of. | 17 | A. II don't know if Paul or Rick | |
| 18 | Q. You never instructed anybody to | 18 | , 5, | |
| | inform SE Multifamily's tax preparers that | 19 | time. They may have they may have said | |
| 20 | Highland had received its capital back; right? | 20 | • | |
| 21 | A. No. | 21 | Q. And HCRE had formed the belief prior | |
| 22 | Q. But the important point for you is | 22 | • | |
| | the next sentence: "The economic ownership as | 23 | <u> </u> | |
| | reflected herein and in the company agreement is | 24 | A. Yes. | |
| った | in dispute and subject to change." | 25 | Q. And yet despite having that knowledge | |

| | -age 57 01 79 |
|---|--|
| Page 218 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 219 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 prior to the petition date, it wasn't until | 2 behalf of SE Multifamily or HCRE ever explained |
| 3 September 2021 that HCRE informed | 3 to Barker Viggato the nature of the dispute? |
| 4 SE Multifamily's tax preparer of that belief; | 4 A. II don't know. |
| 5 correct? | 5 Q. Nobody ever told you that; right? |
| 6 A. That's right. | 6 A. Right. |
| 7 Q. Okay. Did you have any discussion | 7 Q. And you never directed anybody to |
| 8 with Barker Viggato about this statement? | 8 have that conversation; correct? |
| 9 A. I didn't personally. | 9 A. That's right. |
| 10 Q. Do you know if anybody acting on | 10 Q. So to the best of your knowledge, |
| 11 behalf of SE Multifamily or HCRE ever discussed | 11 Barker Viggato received this email, cut and |
| 12 this statement with anybody at Barker Viggato? | 12 pasted the statement, then that was that. Is |
| 13 A. Other than the email, I don't know. | 13 that fair? |
| 14 Q. So did anybody – did you ever | 14 A. Fair. |
| 15 instruct anybody to explain to Barker Viggato the | |
| 16 nature of the dispute? | Q. Has has the manager amended the tax returns of the partnership for the tax year |
| 17 A. No. I just had the conversation with | 17 2019 or any subsequent year? |
| 18 our internal tax team. | 18 A. Not that I'm aware of. |
| 19 Q. Right. And did you, during those | 19 Q. Is the manager considering amending |
| 20 conversations, instruct them to explain to | 20 the tax returns of the partnership for the tax |
| 21 Barker Viggato the nature of the dispute? | 21 year 2019 or any subsequent year? |
| 22 A. I think I just said, I want I want | 22 A. Not to my knowledge. |
| 23 to include this, can you do it? Oh, from – I | 23 Q. Did you personally ever speak with |
| 24 guess they ran with it from there on. | 24 anybody at BH Equities concerning HCRE's |
| 25 Q. Do you know if anyone acting on | 25 contention that the ownership as reflected in the |
| 2.5 Q. Do you know it arryone acting on | 23 White flight that the ownership as reflected in the |
| Page 220 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 221 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 |
| 2 company agreement was in dispute? | 2 expectation my expectations of of what |
| 3 A. I did. | 3 value we're ultimately going to get is – is in |
| 4 Q. When did you do that? | 4 dispute. And so, you know, you work on something |
| 5 A. Post petition filing, I think Joanna, | 5 for four or five years, and, you know, at best is |
| 6 who is president of BH is was aware. | 6 half of what you — you thought over a pretty — |
| 7 Q. Any idea when post petition you | 7 pretty bad situation. |
| 8 personally spoke with BH Equities about the | 8 Q. Anything else you recall about the |
| 1 ' ' ' | |
| I 9 displife? | 9 conversation? |
| 9 dispute? 10 A We we talk regularly so it might | 9 conversation? |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might | 10 A. No. |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might 11 have been, you know, several occasions over the | 10 A. No.11 Q. Did anybody else participate in the |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might 11 have been, you know, several occasions over the 12 course of the last couple of years. | 10 A. No.11 Q. Did anybody else participate in the12 conversation? |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might 11 have been, you know, several occasions over the 12 course of the last couple of years. 13 Q. Was it before or after April 2020 | 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did anybody else participate in the 12 conversation? 13 A. Not not with no, not with me. |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might 11 have been, you know, several occasions over the 12 course of the last couple of years. 13 Q. Was it before or after April 2020 14 when HCRE filed the Proof of Claim? | 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did anybody else participate in the 12 conversation? 13 A. Not not with no, not with me. 14 Q. Did you share any information with |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might 11 have been, you know, several occasions over the 12 course of the last couple of years. 13 Q. Was it before or after April 2020 14 when HCRE filed the Proof of Claim? 15 A. Was it was the conversation after | 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did anybody else participate in the 12 conversation? 13 A. Not not with no, not with me. 14 Q. Did you share any information with 15 BH Equities about the dispute? Did you did |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might 11 have been, you know, several occasions over the 12 course of the last couple of years. 13 Q. Was it before or after April 2020 14 when HCRE filed the Proof of Claim? 15 A. Was it was the conversation after 16 the Proof of Claim? | 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did anybody else participate in the 12 conversation? 13 A. Not not with no, not with me. 14 Q. Did you share any information with 15 BH Equities about the dispute? Did you did 16 you send them any of the pleadings? Did you |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might 11 have been, you know, several occasions over the 12 course of the last couple of years. 13 Q. Was it before or after April 2020 14 when HCRE filed the Proof of Claim? 15 A. Was it was the conversation after 16 the Proof of Claim? 17 Q. Yep. | 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did anybody else participate in the 12 conversation? 13 A. Not not with no, not with me. 14 Q. Did you share any information with 15 BH Equities about the dispute? Did you did 16 you send them any of the pleadings? Did you 17 describe for them the nature of the dispute? Or |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might 11 have been, you know, several occasions over the 12 course of the last couple of years. 13 Q. Was it before or after April 2020 14 when HCRE filed the Proof of Claim? 15 A. Was it was the conversation after 16 the Proof of Claim? 17 Q. Yep. 18 A. Yeah, probably. | 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did anybody else participate in the 12 conversation? 13 A. Not not with no, not with me. 14 Q. Did you share any information with 15 BH Equities about the dispute? Did you did 16 you send them any of the pleadings? Did you 17 describe for them the nature of the dispute? Or 18 did you just talk about your expectations and |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might 11 have been, you know, several occasions over the 12 course of the last couple of years. 13 Q. Was it before or after April 2020 14 when HCRE filed the Proof of Claim? 15 A. Was it was the conversation after 16 the Proof of Claim? 17 Q. Yep. 18 A. Yeah, probably. 19 Q. Probably after? | 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did anybody else participate in the 12 conversation? 13 A. Not not with no, not with me. 14 Q. Did you share any information with 15 BH Equities about the dispute? Did you did 16 you send them any of the pleadings? Did you 17 describe for them the nature of the dispute? Or 18 did you just talk about your expectations and 19 disappointment? |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might 11 have been, you know, several occasions over the 12 course of the last couple of years. 13 Q. Was it before or after April 2020 14 when HCRE filed the Proof of Claim? 15 A. Was it was the conversation after 16 the Proof of Claim? 17 Q. Yep. 18 A. Yeah, probably. 19 Q. Probably after? 20 A. After, yeah. | 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did anybody else participate in the 12 conversation? 13 A. Not not with no, not with me. 14 Q. Did you share any information with 15 BH Equities about the dispute? Did you did 16 you send them any of the pleadings? Did you 17 describe for them the nature of the dispute? Or 18 did you just talk about your expectations and 19 disappointment? 20 A. Yeah. I characterize I |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might 11 have been, you know, several occasions over the 12 course of the last couple of years. 13 Q. Was it before or after April 2020 14 when HCRE filed the Proof of Claim? 15 A. Was it was the conversation after 16 the Proof of Claim? 17 Q. Yep. 18 A. Yeah, probably. 19 Q. Probably after? 20 A. After, yeah. 21 Q. Okay. What do you recall about the | 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did anybody else participate in the 12 conversation? 13 A. Not not with no, not with me. 14 Q. Did you share any information with 15 BH Equities about the dispute? Did you did 16 you send them any of the pleadings? Did you 17 describe for them the nature of the dispute? Or 18 did you just talk about your expectations and 19 disappointment? 20 A. Yeah. I characterize I 21 characterized it as internal collateral damage or |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might 11 have been, you know, several occasions over the 12 course of the last couple of years. 13 Q. Was it before or after April 2020 14 when HCRE filed the Proof of Claim? 15 A. Was it was the conversation after 16 the Proof of Claim? 17 Q. Yep. 18 A. Yeah, probably. 19 Q. Probably after? 20 A. After, yeah. 21 Q. Okay. What do you recall about the 22 conversation? | 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did anybody else participate in the 12 conversation? 13 A. Not not with no, not with me. 14 Q. Did you share any information with 15 BH Equities about the dispute? Did you did 16 you send them any of the pleadings? Did you 17 describe for them the nature of the dispute? Or 18 did you just talk about your expectations and 19 disappointment? 20 A. Yeah. I characterize I 21 characterized it as internal collateral damage or 22 unintended consequence number 1000. |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might 11 have been, you know, several occasions over the 12 course of the last couple of years. 13 Q. Was it before or after April 2020 14 when HCRE filed the Proof of Claim? 15 A. Was it was the conversation after 16 the Proof of Claim? 17 Q. Yep. 18 A. Yeah, probably. 19 Q. Probably after? 20 A. After, yeah. 21 Q. Okay. What do you recall about the 22 conversation? 23 A. I - I was expressing my | 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did anybody else participate in the 12 conversation? 13 A. Not not with no, not with me. 14 Q. Did you share any information with 15 BH Equities about the dispute? Did you did 16 you send them any of the pleadings? Did you 17 describe for them the nature of the dispute? Or 18 did you just talk about your expectations and 19 disappointment? 20 A. Yeah. I characterize I 21 characterized it as internal collateral damage or 22 unintended consequence number 1000. 23 Q. And that internal collateral damage |
| 10 A. We we talk regularly, so it might 11 have been, you know, several occasions over the 12 course of the last couple of years. 13 Q. Was it before or after April 2020 14 when HCRE filed the Proof of Claim? 15 A. Was it was the conversation after 16 the Proof of Claim? 17 Q. Yep. 18 A. Yeah, probably. 19 Q. Probably after? 20 A. After, yeah. 21 Q. Okay. What do you recall about the 22 conversation? | 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did anybody else participate in the 12 conversation? 13 A. Not not with no, not with me. 14 Q. Did you share any information with 15 BH Equities about the dispute? Did you did 16 you send them any of the pleadings? Did you 17 describe for them the nature of the dispute? Or 18 did you just talk about your expectations and 19 disappointment? 20 A. Yeah. I characterize I 21 characterized it as internal collateral damage or 22 unintended consequence number 1000. |

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 3590-71 Filed 10/27/22 Entered 10/27/22 17:13:45 Desc Exhibit 71 Page 58 of 79

| D 000 | T | D 000 |
|--|--|----------|
| Page 222 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 223 |
| 2 A. As it relates to this, that's fair. | 2 Whether or not they did, I don't know. | |
| 3 Q. I mean I'm sorry, I didn't mean to | 3 Q. Did you ever ask BH Equities I'm | |
| 4 cut you off. | 4 just focusing on BH Equities for the moment. Did | |
| 5 A. And – and other things. I mean, our | 5 you ever ask BH Equities if they would consider | |
| 6 you know, it's just been tough. | 6 entering into an amendment for the amended and | |
| 7 Q. I mean, look, if Highland didn't file | 7 restated agreement to adjust the membership | |
| 1 | | |
| 8 for bankruptcy and Mr. Dondero retained control | 8 interests among the members? | |
| 9 of Highland, this wouldn't be an issue; is that | 9 A. Well, they they asked us. So it | |
| 10 fair? | 10 naturally came up during the time where they were | |
| 11 A. I think that's fair. | 11 seeking a incentive interests or promote | |
| 12 Q. Did BH Equities indicate that they | 12 interests. | |
| 13 were aware of the dispute before this | 13 Q. Right. Forgive me, I forgot that. | |
| 14 conversation, or were you actually calling to | 14 They they were initiating conversations in | |
| 15 inform them of the dispute? | 15 order to try to increase their 6 percent; is that | |
| 16 A. It – it was just in a conversation | 16 fair? | |
| 17 - it was a conversation topic amongst, you know, | 17 A. Right. | |
| 18 the 30,000 units they they manage for us. So | 18 Q. Okay. But did you ever ask them if | |
| 19 they they from time to time inquire about how | 19 they would agree to maintain their 6 percent but | |
| 20 it's going. | 20 nevertheless enter into an agreement that would | |
| 21 Q. Did you ever instruct anybody to | 21 adjust the percentages as between Highland and | |
| 22 inform BH Equities of the substance of the | 22 HCRE? | |
| 23 dispute? | 23 A. No. | |
| · · | | |
| 24 A. Not specifically, I didn't I | Q. Okay. | |
| 25 didn't specifically tell anyone to discuss it. | A. Never considered it would be that | |
| Page 224 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 225 |
| 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 2 of Mr. Matt McGraner. | |
| 2 easy that simple. | | |
| 3 Q. Yeah. | | |
| 4 THE WITNESS: Bless you. | 4 | |
| 5 MR. MORRIS: All right. I have | 5 | |
| 6 nothing further, sir. I appreciate your | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 6 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good | 7 | |
| 1 '' ' | | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. | 7 8 MATT McGRANER | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very | 7 8 MATT McGRANER 9 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Anything 12 else? | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Anything 12 else? 13 MR. MORRIS: Before we go off, let | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Anything 12 else? 13 MR. MORRIS: Before we go off, let 14 me just ask I know the U.S. Trustee is | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Anything 12 else? 13 MR. MORRIS: Before we go off, let 14 me just ask I know the U.S. Trustee is 15 on here does anybody else have any | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Anything 12 else? 13 MR. MORRIS: Before we go off, let 14 me just ask I know the U.S. Trustee is 15 on here does anybody else have any 16 questions for this witness? | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Anything 12 else? 13 MR. MORRIS: Before we go off, let 14 me just ask I know the U.S. Trustee is 15 on here does anybody else have any 16 questions for this witness? 17 Okay. We can go off the record. | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Anything 12 else? 13 MR. MORRIS: Before we go off, let 14 me just ask I know the U.S. Trustee is 15 on here does anybody else have any 16 questions for this witness? 17 Okay. We can go off the record. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. The | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Anything 12 else? 13 MR. MORRIS: Before we go off, let 14 me just ask I know the U.S. Trustee is 15 on here does anybody else have any 16 questions for this witness? 17 Okay. We can go off the record. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. The 19 time go ahead, sir, finish. | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Anything 12 else? 13 MR. MORRIS: Before we go off, let 14 me just ask I know the U.S. Trustee is 15 on here does anybody else have any 16 questions for this witness? 17 Okay. We can go off the record. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. The 19 time go ahead, sir, finish. 20 MR. GAMEROS: I was going to say, | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Anything 12 else? 13 MR. MORRIS: Before we go off, let 14 me just ask I know the U.S. Trustee is 15 on here does anybody else have any 16 questions for this witness? 17 Okay. We can go off the record. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. The 19 time go ahead, sir, finish. 20 MR. GAMEROS: I was going to say, 21 we're going to reserve read and sign. And | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Anything 12 else? 13 MR. MORRIS: Before we go off, let 14 me just ask I know the U.S. Trustee is 15 on here does anybody else have any 16 questions for this witness? 17 Okay. We can go off the record. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. The 19 time go ahead, sir, finish. 20 MR. GAMEROS: I was going to say, 21 we're going to reserve read and sign. And 11 talk to the court reporter about | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Anything 12 else? 13 MR. MORRIS: Before we go off, let 14 me just ask I know the U.S. Trustee is 15 on here does anybody else have any 16 questions for this witness? 17 Okay. We can go off the record. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. The 19 time go ahead, sir, finish. 20 MR. GAMEROS: I was going to say, 21 we're going to reserve read and sign. And | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Anything 12 else? 13 MR. MORRIS: Before we go off, let 14 me just ask I know the U.S. Trustee is 15 on here does anybody else have any 16 questions for this witness? 17 Okay. We can go off the record. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. The 19 time go ahead, sir, finish. 20 MR. GAMEROS: I was going to say, 21 we're going to reserve read and sign. And 22 I'll talk to the court reporter about | 7 | |
| 7 patience. I hope I hope you have a good 8 day otherwise. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Anything 12 else? 13 MR. MORRIS: Before we go off, let 14 me just ask I know the U.S. Trustee is 15 on here does anybody else have any 16 questions for this witness? 17 Okay. We can go off the record. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. The 19 time go ahead, sir, finish. 20 MR. GAMEROS: I was going to say, 21 we're going to reserve read and sign. And 22 I'll talk to the court reporter about 23 ordering after we get off the record. | 7 | |

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 3590-71 Filed 10/27/22 Entered 10/27/22 17:13:45 Desc Exhibit 71 Page 59 of 79

| 1 | Page MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | 226 | 1 MATT McGRANER - 10/11/2022 | Page 227 |
|----|---|-----|---|----------|
| 2 | CERTIFICATE | | 2 ERRATA SHEET FOR THE TRANSCRIPT OF: | |
| 3 | I, Kim A. McCann, RMR, CRR, CSR in and | | Case Name: In Re: Highland Capital Management, LP | |
| | for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: | | 4 Dep. Date: October 11, 2022 | |
| 5 | That MATT McGRANER, the witness whose | | 5 Deponent: MATT McGRANER | |
| | deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly | | 6 Pg. Ln. Now Reads Should Read Reason | |
| l | sworn by me and that such deposition is a true | | 7 | |
| l | record of the testimony given by such witness; | | 8 | |
| 9 | That pursuant to FRCP Rule 30, | | 9 | |
| | signature of the witness was requested by the | | <u> </u> | |
| 11 | | | 11 | |
| l | the deposition; | | | |
| 13 | I further certify that I am not | | 13 | |
| l | related to any of the parties to this action by | | 14 | |
| l | blood or marriage; and that I am in no way | | 15 | |
| | interested in the outcome of this matter. | | 16 | |
| 17 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto | | 17 | |
| | set my hand this October 12, 2022. | | | |
| 19 | 200 Hy Halia tillo 200000 12, 2022. | | 19 | |
| 20 | | | 20 Signature of Deponent | |
| 21 | | | 21 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME | |
| 22 | Kim A. McCann, RMR, CRR, CSR | | 22 THIS DAY OF, 20 | |
| 23 | , | | 23 | |
| 24 | | | 24 (Notary Public) MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: | |
| 25 | | | 25 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Index: \$1..2:50

| \$ 1.4 15.7 | | | | | Index: \$12:5 |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| \$1 122:21 123:6.16, 24 125:18:25 126:8 117:23:25 126:14 127:23:5 126:14 127:23:5 126:14 127:23:5 126:14 127:23:5 126:14 127:13:14:14:15:1 10 141:4,16.20 174:6, 178:1 179:1 180:1 184:1 185:1 186:1 185:1 186:1 185:1 186:1 185:1 186:1 185:1 186:1 185:1 186:1 185:1 186:1 18 | • | 1-A 15:7 | | 15 22:10 152:24 | 1 |
| 24 125-18 25 126-18 177 23,25 126-18 177 23,25 126-18 177 184-2,3,11,14 178 141-182-1 183-1 1841 185-1 186-1 183-1 185-1 186-1 183-1 185-1 186-1 183-1 185-1 186-1 183-1 185-1 1 | | 1.1 146:19 | | 150 112:7 | |
| 24 15218,25 126.8 172,23,25 126.8 142,211,14 142,11 192.3 1.7 164,23,11,14 142,11 192.3 1.7 164,23,11,14 144,16,20 174.6 1.7 164,23,11,14 151,14 65,2 107.13 17 181,191,101,1111 121,131,144,151,151 1514 186,23 161,17 181,191,191,191,191,191,191,191,191,191, | \$1 122:21 123:6.16. | 1.14 122:16 151:23 | 175:1 176:1 177:1 | 15th 117:18 148:19, | 198:2,25 200:11 |
| 12723.25 128:14 1.7 164:2,3,11,14 181:1 182:1 183:1 187:1 188:1 189:1 187: | | 192:22 | 178:1 179:1 180:1 | 23 163:6 166:25 | 201:13 204:13 |
| \$1.44 69:2 107:13 \$1.4 69:2 107:13 \$1.4 186:2 186:1 18 | | 4.7 464-2.2.44.44 | 181:1 182:1 183:1 | 207:25 | 2010 20:10 55:22 |
| \$1.4 69:2 107:13 \$1.4 200:2 \$1.4 200:2 \$1.4 14:16;20 174:6, 193:1 193:1 193:1 195:1 193:1 194:1 195:1 194:1 194:1 195:1 194:1 194:1 195:1 194:1 194:1 195:1 194:1 194:1 195:1 194: | | 1.7 164:2,3,11,14 | 184:1 185:1 186:1 | 46 22:40 | |
| 51.4 2002 7 10/11/2022 51.6:1 1901.1919.21 1931.194.1195.1 17.22.11.212.22.23 114.16.23 11.19.110.111.1 12.13.11.14.115.1 1961.197.1198.1 18.159.19.17.2,19 13.24.159.1 11.79.126.18.1.2 <td></td> <td>10 141:4,16,20 174:6,</td> <td>187:1 188:1 189:1</td> <td>16 22:10</td> <td>1</td> | | 10 141:4,16,20 174:6, | 187:1 188:1 189:1 | 16 22:10 | 1 |
| \$1.4 200.2 \$100 138.24 139.3 \$101 138.24 139.3 \$114 136.23 \$150 54.978.19.80.5 \$103.23 111.18 113.4 \$20 112.11.20 \$21 121.21.21 \$22 112.11.20 \$22 112.11.21 \$23 13.34 13.41 \$23 11.35.1, \$250 111.4 135.3,1, \$251 113.34 13.41 \$252 112.11.20 \$21 13.36.7,10,17 139.3 \$251 133.24 134.13 \$252 13.34 134.13 \$252 13.34 134.13 \$252 13.34 134.13 \$252 13.34 134.13 \$252 13.35 13.34 13.51 \$253 13.34 13.51 \$253 13.34 13.34 13.51 \$254 13.35 13.34 13.51 \$255 13.36 13.32 13.34 13.51 \$256 13.37 13.81 3.91 \$257 13.32 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.32 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.32 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.32 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.32 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.34 13.13 \$257 13.14 13.13 \$257 13.14 13.13 \$257 13.14 13.13 \$257 13.14 13.13 \$257 13.14 13.13 \$257 13.14 13.13 \$257 13.14 13.13 \$257 13.14 13.13 | \$1.14 69:2 107:13 | 7 | 190:1 191:1 192:1 | 17 22:11 212:22,23 | |
| \$100 138:24 139:3 \$14 186:23 \$150 54:9 78:19 80:5 \$103:23 111:18 1132 \$150 54:9 78:19 80:5 \$103:23 111:18 1132 \$150 54:9 78:19 80:5 \$103:23 111:18 1132 \$150 54:9 78:19 80:5 \$103:23 111:18 1132 \$20 112:11,20 \$21 12:11,22 123:1 \$21 12:11,20 13:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 35:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 35:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 35:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 35:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 35:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 35:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 35:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 \$21 13:1 34:1 \$21 13:1 | \$1.4 200:2 | 40/44/2022 5:4 6:4 | 193:1 194:1 195:1 | 49 450:40 407:2 40 | · · |
| 121 131 141 151 191 2021 2031 2041 2013 2041 2014 | | | 196:1 197:1 198:1 | 16 159.19 197.2,19 | |
| \$14.06.23 \$150.54.978.19.80.5 \$150.54.978.19.80.5 \$150.54.978.19.80.5 \$20.112.11.20 \$21.211.22.11 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$24.12.51.26.12.71 \$25.12.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13. | \$100 138:24 139:3 | | | 19-34054-SGJ 6:11 | |
| \$150 54:9 78:19 80.5 103:23 111:18 113:4 201 12:1 22:1 23:1 202 12:11 22:1 23:1 22:1 29:3 01 31:1 22:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 22:1 23:1 22:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 22:1 136:7,10,17 139:3 187:19 42:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 42: | \$14 186:23 | 1 | | 1:05 159:16 | · · |
| 109.23 111:18 113:4 261 291 20 | | | | 1:05 158:16 | |
| \$20 112:11.20 \$250 111:11.20 \$2550 111:11.20 \$2550 111:11.20 \$2550 111:11.20 \$2550 111:11.21 \$2550 111:12.11.20 \$2550 111:11.21 \$2550 111:12.31 \$251 133:134:135:1 \$261 137:138:139:1 \$261 139:134:135:1 \$261 139:14 136:3.11, \$21 139:24 141:15:15:15:15:15:15:15:15:15:15:15:15:15 | • | 1 | | 1:06 158:22 | |
| \$20 112:11,20 | 103:23 111:18 113:4 | 1 | | 4.07 450.00 | 1 |
| \$250 111:4 135:3,11, 21 136:7,10,17 139:3 401 41:1 42:1 43:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 5291 133:24 134:13 138:21 139:24 601 61:1 62:1 63:1 140:22 601 61:1 62:1 63:1 601 61:1 62:1 601 61:1 | \$20 112:11.20 | 1 | | 1:07 158:20 | 1 |
| 221 1367,10,17 139:3 187:19 401 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 66:1 47:1 44:1 45:1 66:1 47:1 44:1 45:1 66:1 47:1 44:1 45:1 66:1 47:1 44:1 45:1 66:1 47:1 44:1 45:1 66:1 47:1 44:1 45:1 66:1 47:1 44:1 45:1 66:1 47:1 44:1 45:1 66:1 57:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 52:1 53:2 53:2 53:2 64:1 65:1 65:1 65:1 65:1 65:1 65:1 65:1 65 | | | | 1:30 158:17 | 58:17 126:19 174:12, |
| 187:19 | | 1 | | 4:24 450:00 | 13 175:19 176:2 |
| \$291 133:24 134:13 \$282 1 133:24 134:13 \$282 1 133:24 134:13 \$282 1 133:24 134:13 \$283 1 139:24 \$330 1 12:6 \$330 1 12:6 \$321 133:74:1 75:1 \$40 53:8 135:6,14 \$41 65:1 66:1 67:1 \$41 65:1 66:1 67:1 \$42 1 63:1 \$42 1 63:1 \$43 1 65:1 66:1 \$43 1 65:1 \$44 1 65:1 \$45 1 65: | | | 223:1 224:1 | 1:31 158:22 | 1 |
| \$291 133:24 134:13 138:21 139:24 140:22 60:16 17:15 83:159:1 60:16 16 16:16 17:1 68:16 62:16 63:16 63:1 68:16 63:17 71:13 60:16 18:16 82:183:1 100:17 17:22 22(3) 164:17 165:3,8 22(3) 164:17 165:3,8 22(3) 164:17 165:3,8 22(3) 2021 188:23 19:16, 14,21 192:13,19 200:8,17 20:18 206:12 20:12 20:22:2 208:5 213:11 215:5 218:3 2022 6:13 20:12:1 2022 6:13 20:12:1 2022:12:20 203:11 2022 6:13 20:12:1 2022:12:20 203:11 2022:12:20 203:11 2022:12:20 203:11 2022:12:20 203:11 2022:12:20 203:11 202:13 20:12:1 202:13 20:12:1 202:13 20:12:1 202:13 20:13 20:12:1 202:11 12:12:1 202:11 12:11 12:1 202:11 12:11 13:1 202:11 13:5 21:10 17:15 21 16:1 202:11 13:5 21:10 13:5 21:10 13:10 13:5 22:11 13:5 22:11 13:5 22:11 13:5 23 70:14 78:22 88:11 202:11 13:5 21:10 13:11 13:5 22:11 13:5 22:11 13:5 22:11 13:5 22:11 13:5 23 70:14 78:22 88:11 200:17 20:8:2 7:20:18 200:13 104:17 165:3,8 202:11 12:12:12:12 202:11 12:12:12:12 202:11 12:12:12 202:11 12:12:12 202:11 12:12:12 202:11 12:12:12 202:11 12:12:12 202:11 12:12:12 202:11 12:12:12 202:11 12:12:12 202:11 12:12:12 202:11 12:12:12 202:11 12:12:12 202:11 12:12:12 202:11 12:12 202:11 12:12 202:11 12:12 202:11 12:12 202:11 12:12 202:11 12:12 202:11 12:12 | 187:19 | 1 | 100 138·25 | 1:33 158:24 | 1 |
| 138:21 139:24 140:22 16:1 57:1 58:1 59:1 1000 221:22 10:51 71:22 2 2 14:21 132:1319 2008;17 201:8 2008;17 201:2 2008;17 201:8 2008;17 20 | \$291 133:24 134:13 | | | 4.8 45.440 | |
| 140:22 | • | | 1000 221:22 | 1A 15:4,18 | 220:13 |
| \$322 153:21 64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1 10:52 72:6 | | | 10:51 71:22 | | 2021 189:23 191:6 |
| \$330 112:6 | | 1 | | 2 | |
| \$330 112:6 \$40 53:8 135:6,14 140:11 \$400 97:4 106:5 \$49 144:13 \$410 85:1 86:1 87:1 92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1 96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1 100:1 101:1 102:1 11:01 72:6 11:01 72:8 12:01 13:1 12:11 13:1 114:1 16 16 17:11 13:1 114:1 18:11 119:1 120:1 18:12 112:1 113:1 114:1 18:11 119:1 120:1 18:11 113:1 114:1 18:11 113:1 | \$322 153:21 | | 10:52 72:6 | | |
| \$40 53:8 135:6,14 140:11 \$400 97:4 106:5 \$41 85:1 86:1 87:1 \$49 144:13 \$41 145:1 146:1 146:1 146:1 146:1 146:1 146:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 162:1 148:1 149:1 150:1 156:1 156:1 156:1 148:1 120:23 \$40 03:3:15,24 14:6,9 70:14 125:3,4,8,10, 120 17:23 148:23 11:00 71:23 148:23 11:00 71:23 148:23 11:00 71:23 148:23 12:10 7:26 11:00 71:23 148:23 12:10 17:26 11:00 71:23 148:23 12:10 17:26 11:00 71:23 148:23 12:10 17:26 11:00 71:23 148:23 12:10 17:26 11:00 71:23 148:23 12:10 17:26 11:00 71:23 148:23 12:10 17:26 11:00 71:23 148:23 12:10 17:26 11:00 71:23 148:23 12:10 17:26:1 126:13 12:10 17:4:21 126:13 12:10 17:4:21 12:10 15:21 12:10 17:23 148:23 12:10 17:24 126:13 12:10 17:5 12:1 126:1 12:10 15:1 156:1 13:5 12:10 17:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 12:10 15:1 16:1 16:1 16:1 16:1 16:1 16:1 16:1 | \$330 112:6 | | 10:53 72:4 | 2 19:23 98:7.9 | |
| 140:11 80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1 84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1 84:1 85:1 90:1 11:01 11:01 12:01 156:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 | | | | | |
| \$400 97:4 106:5 \$49 144:13 \$49,000 143:25 100:1 101:1 102:1 196:16;24 197:11,18 198:10,18 199:10,20, 198:1 89:1 90:1 11:00 71:23 148:23 11:01 72:6 11:03 72:8 11:01 72:6 11:01 72:6 12:10 72:10:11 73:1 12:11 13:1 13:1 12:11 13:1 13:1 12:11 13:1 13:1 12:11 13:1 13:5 12:11 13:5 12:11 13:5 12:11 13:5 12:11 13:5 12:11 13:5 12:11 13:5 12:11 13:5 12:11 13:5 12:11 13:5 12:11 13:5 | | | 10th 174:21 | 2.2(a) 164:17 165:3,8 | 1 |
| \$49.00 97:4 106:5 \$49 144:13 \$49.000 143:25 176:20 192:9,19 196:16,24 197:11,18 198:10,18 199:10,20, 24 200:3,7,18 201:9, 11:01 72:8 \$51.42:7 \$51.42:7 \$5.3 186:23 \$6.1 97:1 28:1 129:1 12:1 128:1 129:1 13:1 13:1 13:1 12:1 128:1 129:1 13:1 13:1 13:1 12:1 128:1 129:1 13:1 13:1 13:1 13:1 13:1 13:1 13:1 13:1 | 140:11 | 1 | 11 6·11 13 21·21 | 2.5 128:5.8.10 | |
| \$49,000 143:25 176:20 192:9,19 100:1 101:1 102:1 176:20 192:9,19 106:1 97:1 98:1 99:1 100:1 101:1 102:1 11:01 72:6 11:01 72:8 11:01 72:6 11:01 72:4 12:11 122:1 122:1 128:1 122:1 123:1 1200 158:16 11:01 13:5 12:00 17:12 12:01 13:5 1 | \$400 97:4 106:5 | | I · | | |
| \$49,000 143:25 | * | 1 | | | |
| \$49,000 143:25 176:20 192:9,19 196:16;24 197:11,18 198:10,18 199:10,20, 24 200:3,7,18 201:9, 12;21 113:1 114:1 12;21 12; | \$49 144:13 | | 11:00 71:23 148:23 | | 205:7,8 |
| 176:20 192:9,19 103:1 104:1 105:1 106:1 107:1 108:1 106:1 107:1 108:1 11:03 72:8 127:15,21 126:2,12 107:15 117:19 191:15 193:15 191:15 193:15 193:15 117:19 191:15 193:15 193:15 117:19 191:15 193:15 193:15 117:19 191:15 193:15 193:15 193:15 198:20 199:3 2000 174:12 198:20 199:3 23rd 80:11 2011 13:5 23rd 80:11 23rd 80:11 2011 13:5 23rd 80:11 25 33:15,21 26 55:4,8,14 26 55:4,8,14 | \$49,000 143:25 | | 11:01 72:6 | | 23 70:14 78:22 98:11 |
| 196:16,24 197:11,18 198:10,18 199:10,20, 24 200:3,7,18 201:9, 12,21 202:2,12 \$5 142:7 \$5 142:7 \$5 142:7 \$5 142:7 \$5 142:7 \$6 141:11 13:1 13:1 \$1 15:1 116:1 117:1 \$1 13:1 13:1 \$1 12:1 12:1 12:1 \$1 13:1 13:1 \$1 12:1 12:1 12:1 \$1 13:1 13:1 \$1 1 | | 1 | | | |
| 198:10,18 199:10,20, 24 200:3,7,18 201:9, 112:1 113:1 114:1 16 115:1 116:1 117:1 18:1 119:1 120:1 18:1 119:1 120:1 18:1 119:1 120:1 12:11 122:1 123:1 122:1 123:1 122:1 123:1 122:1 123:1 122:1 123:1 122:1 123:1 123:1 123:1 123:1 123:1 133:1 | 196:16,24 197:11,18 | 1 | 11:03 72:8 | 141:20 153:21 | |
| 24 200:3,7,18 201:9, 12:1 113:1 114:1 115:1 116:1 117:1 115:1 116:1 117:1 115:1 116:1 117:1 115:1 116:1 117:1 115:1 116:1 117:1 115:1 116:1 117:1 115:1 116:1 117:1 115:1 116:1 117:1 115:1 116:1 117:1 115:1 116:1 117:1 115:1 116:1 117:1 115:1 116:1 117:1 115:1 116:1 117:1 115:1 12:1 12:1 12:1 12:1 12:00 158:16 115:0 12:07 158:19 12:07 158:19 12:19 130:24 12:19 130:24 12:19 130:24 13:15 13:1 13:1 13:1 13:1 13:1 13:1 13: | 198:10,18 199:10,20, | 109:1 110:1 111:1 | 12 21:25 121:9 141:4. | 2000 174:12 | 198:20 199:3 |
| 12,21 202;2,12 \$5 142:7 \$5 142:7 \$5 142:7 \$5 142:7 \$5 142:7 \$6 12:1 122:1 123:1 12:11 122:1 123:1 12:11 122:1 123:1 12:11 122:1 123:1 12:11 122:1 123:1 12:11 122:1 123:1 12:11 122:1 123:1 12:11 122:1 123:1 12:11 122:1 123:1 12:11 122:1 123:1 12:11 122:1 123:1 12:11 122:1 123:1 12:11 122:23 12:11 12:23 12:11 12:23 12:11 12:23 12:11 12:23 12:11 12:23 12:11 12:23 12:11 12:23 12:11 12:23 12:11 12:23 12:11 12:23 12:11 13:5 14:11 10:223 14:11 10:223 14:11 10:223 14:11 10:223 14:11 10:223 15:11 13:11 12:30 15:11 16:11 16:11 16:11 16:11 16:11 16:11 16:11 16:11 16:11 16:11 16:11 16:11 16:11 16:11 17:11 12:11 12:11 18:11 11:11 11:11 18:11 11:11 11:11 18:11 11:11 11:11 18:11 11:11 11:11 18:11 11:11 11:11 18:11 11:11 11:11 18:11 11:11 11:11 12:10 15:11 12:10 13:15 12:11 13:5 2013 12:8,18,23 14:17 102:23 25,000 25:3 250 134:13 26 55:4,8,14 26th 80:12 28 52:20 114:23 115:4 117:9 291 134:19 2:38 212:4,14 2:38 212:4,14 2:38 212:4,14 2:40 212:13 14th 24:20.23 14th 24:20.23 14th 24:20.23 15:11 13:5 14:17 10:223 25,000 25:3 250 134:13 250 134:13 250 134:13 250 134:13 250 134:13 250 134:13 250 134:13 250 134:13 250 134:13 250 134:13 250 134:13 250 134:13 250 134:13 250 134:13 250 134:13 26 55:4,8,14 26 55:4,8,14 27:11 13:5 27:11 13:11 27:11 13:11 27:11 13:12 27:11 13:12 27:11 13:12 27:11 13:13 27:11 13 | 24 200:3,7,18 201:9, | 112:1 113:1 114:1 | | | 22-4 00:44 |
| \$5.3 186:23 \$5.3 186:23 \$5.3 186:23 \$6.4 190:8 12:11 12:12:1 123:1 12:17 128:1 129:1 130:1 131:1 132:1 130:23 12:21 130:23 12:30 130:24 12:30 130:2 | 12,21 202:2,12 | 115:1 116:1 117:1 | | 2011 13:5 | 23rd 80:11 |
| \$5.3 186:23 \$8 190:8 (| ¢E 440.7 | 118:1 119:1 120:1 | 12:00 158:16 | 2013 12:8,18,23 | 25 33:15,21 |
| \$8 190:8 (| • | 1 | 12:07 158:19 | 14:17 102:23 | 25.000 25:3 |
| 130:1 131:1 132:1 130:1 131:1 132:1 130:23 30:5 31:7 33:6 37:2 26 55:4,8,14 (d) 169:25 139:1 140:1 141:1 12:30 130:24 2015 11:11,14 12:3,6 26th 80:12 142:1 143:1 144:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 148:1 149:1 150:1 148:1 149:1 150:1 151:1 152:1 153:1 154:1 155:1 156:1 157:1 158:1 159:1 157:1 158:1 159:1 157:1 158:1 159:1 14 22:10 131:8 215:5 2016 12:10 2018 18:5 19:19 55:4, 9,15 57:21 66:12 2:38 212:4,14 41:11 128:2,5 160:1 161:1 162:1 14th 244:20.23 14th 244:20.23 2:50 212:9 14 | | 1 | 12:19 130:24 | 2014 23:12 24:10,24 | |
| (d) 133:1 134:1 135:1 138:1 139:1 138:1 139:1 140:1 141:1 139:1 140:1 141:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 150:1 151:1 152:1 153:1 154:1 155:1 156:1 157:1 158:1 159:1 160:1 161:1 162:1 160:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 160:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 160:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 160:1 161:1 16 | \$8 190:8 | | 12:21 130:23 | | |
| 130:1 137:1 130:1 139:1 140:1 141:1 139:1 140:1 141:1 149:1 143:1 144:1 142:1 143:1 144:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 148:1 149:1 150:1 148:1 149:1 150:1 151:1 152:1 153:1 154:1 155:1 156:1 154:1 155:1 156:1 157:1 158:1 159:1 157:1 158:1 159:1 157:1 158:1 159:1 148:1 149:1 159:1 149:1 143:8 149:1 143:8 157:2 1 66:12 2016 12:10 2018 18:5 19:19 55:4 2:38 212:4,14 2:38 212:4,14 1 6:4 15:17 19:15 41:11 128:2,5 160:1 161:1 162:1 144b 244:20.23 70:10,14,17 75:5 2:40 212:13 1 44b 244:20.23 144b 244:20.23 78:22 82:14 88:12,16 2:50 212:9 14 | | 1 | | 102:23 | 26 55:4,8,14 |
| 1 142:1 143:1 144:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 148:1 149:1 150:1 151:1 152:1 153:1 154:1 155:1 156:1 154:1 155:1 156:1 157:1 158:1 159:1 160:1 161:1 162: | | | | | 26th 80:12 |
| 1 142.1 143.1 144.1 145:1 146:1 147:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 148:1 149:1 150:1 151:1 152:1 153:1 154:1 155:1 156:1 154:1 155:1 156:1 157:1 158:1 159:1 160:1 161:1 162:1 160:1 161:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 160:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 160:1 161:1 162:1 160:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 160:1 161: | | | 12:32 131:2 | | 28 52:20 114:23 |
| 1 148.1 149.1 150.1 151:1 152:1 153:1 154:1 155:1 156:1 154:1 155:1 156:1 157:1 158:1 159:1 157:1 158:1 159:1 160:1 161:1 162: | (d) 169:25 | | 12th 175:6 | 35:10 | |
| 1 151:1 152:1 153:1 154:1 155:1 156:1 156:1 157:1 158:1 159:1 157:1 158:1 159:1 160:1 161:1 162:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 161:1 162:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 161:1 161:1 162:1 161: | | | | 2016 12:10 | |
| 1 6:4 15:17 19:15 | 1 | | 1 3 121:10 | 2018 10-5 10-10 55-4 | 231 134.19 |
| 1 6:4 15:17 19:15 | | | 13th 213:8 | | 2:38 212:4,14 |
| 41:11 128:2,5 160:1 161:1 162:1 78:22 82:14 88:12,16 2:50 212:9 14 | 1 6:4 15:17 19:15 | 1 | | | 2.40 212.13 |
| 100 4 404 4 405 4 4 405 4 4 400 00 00 70.22 02.11 00.12,10 2.50 212.0 14 | | 1 | 14 22:10 131:8 215:5 | 1 | |
| 30.12 111.13 117.20 | | | 14th 214:20,23 | 1 | 2:50 212:9,14 |
| | | | | 33.12 | |

Index: 2:52..agreement

| 2:52 212:16 | 50 115:21 116:14 | 94 121:14 123:5,15 | 132:18 133:3,5 | affiliate 26:12 135:18 |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | 117:6 500 138:9 | 124:5 126:7,13,24 127:20 128:22 129:9 | ad 129:12 | affiliates 25:21,22 200:3 |
| | 55 121:10 | 193:10 9:00 148:8 | add 88:20 89:9,22 90:13 213:18 | aforementioned |
| 3 20:4 | | | add- 62:18 | 85:17 |
| 3.1 165:15 | 6 | 9:31 6:14 | added 84:21 85:6 | aggregate 26:20 33:17,22 |
| 3.2 166:3,6,14 3/15 148:8 | 6 20:23 57:24 58:11 | Α | 87:8,16 88:17 174:21 190:15 | agree 53:22 88:7 |
| 30 5:17 107:14 | 104:4 105:3 121:15 131:4,5 223:15,19 | a.m. 6:14 72:4,6,8 | adding 89:3 90:6 | 102:6 109:12,14 |
| 127:25 134:22 141:19 151:23 191:16 203:2 | 6.1 146:5,20 147:19 161:3 167:18 | ability 84:12 110:15 177:8 180:17 | addition 34:6 192:6 204:24 | 121:22 127:20 128:16 135:10 145:11 163:15 |
| 30(b)(6) 15:18 16:9 19:6 99:23 134:2 | 6.1(a) 166:18,19 167:8,13 | Absolutely 84:19 accompanies | additional 27:19,25 28:4,9,13 85:21 136:18 151:24 153:8 | 166:22 167:2,16 168:10 169:14 180:4, 8 200:15 223:19 |
| 135:10 149:3 151:13 161:20 163:22 | 6.1(b) 167:19 | 137:11 | 164:18,23 165:4 | agreed 54:18 55:10 |
| 167:12 168:21 | 6.2 188:17 | accomplishes 44:25 | address 62:13,16,19 | 58:11 86:4,7,19 88:8 110:25 124:12 152:7 |
| 169:15 171:7 30,000 222:18 | 6.4 122:9,11,20 | accord 160:22 | addresses 20:14 214:8 | 178:10,11 180:19 193:23 |
| 330 110:12 | 6.4(a) 121:13 122:5 168:15,17,21 | accordance 168:6 | adjust 59:12 67:15, | agreeing 195:22 |
| 332 134:17 153:3 | 60 54:8,11 69:3 80:5 | account 127:12 | 21 68:11 71:3,18 129:12 130:14 148:9 | agreement 17:16 |
| 340 110:12 | 103:24 111:17,20 | 129:18 130:13,14 136:6 169:25 | 155:13 223:7,21 | 19:18,24 20:6,9 21:9, 14 34:2,10,12,14,16, |
| 3:07 224:25 | 7 | accountant 97:8 | adjusted 128:23 129:4,9,15,25 130:6, | 17,18,20 35:7,8,11 36:4,5,8 48:20 49:9, |
| 4 | 7 145:6,7 147:7 | accounting 56:13 97:3,5 115:14 154:10 | 10 | 11 53:15 54:23 55:22 57:25 63:14,16 64:2, |
| 4 00 0 444 00 05 | 70/25/5 24:2,12 | accurate 45:25 46:9 | adjusting 156:25 | 6,8,11,13,17,19 65:2, |
| 4 20:8 114:23,25 40 125:3,4,8,10,13, | 75 107:5,6 | 63:11 64:12,20 159:14 198:9 | adjustment 71:15 129:18,22 | 14 66:9,19,24 67:14, 21 69:9,17,24 70:8, |
| 16,24 126:13 127:15, 21 128:5,12 135:5 | 8 | accurately 144:14, | admissible 5:15 | 13 71:4 75:6,16,19, 23 76:3,6,18 77:4,10, |
| 141:18,19 203:3 | 8 21:5 42:12 146:13, | 16 164:15 acquisition 122:16 | adopted 31:16 215:12,17 | 17 78:11,15,23 79:25 80:8,10,15 89:17,18, |
| 400 151:20 | 14 | acquisitions 33:11 | advance 10:9 172:9, | 22 90:7,14,21 91:10 98:7,11 99:20 100:15 |
| 46 143:25 151:24 156:17 192:7 | 8.2 168:25 169:2,12, | 103:19,20 | 14 | 101:20 103:10,15 104:13,15,22 105:6, |
| 46.06 50:8,12 52:3,11 56:2 144:15 | 80s 73:20 | acronym 23:8 act 22:13 36:22,25 | adverse 183:21 advice 38:24 39:2,17 | 19 106:4 109:8 111:6 112:25 113:7,12,18, |
| 49 176:22 | 9 | 37:5 | 40:3,4,8,21 61:15 95:10 100:23 101:9 | 23 114:5,15,20 117:18,24 118:5,14, |
| 49,000 127:24 151:22 | 0 24.5 45 450:0 40 | acted 208:3 acting 66:5,25 67:12, | 162:6 | 19 119:3,6,13,16,22 121:4 126:24 129:25 |
| 5 | 9 21:5,15 159:9,10 9.3 169:20 | 18 68:9 69:14 70:25 | advise 46:10 advised 44:21 | 131:20 137:10 138:8, |
| | 9.3(a) 169:25 | 71:16 146:9 160:13 203:22 216:13 | | 18 139:5,11,12,15 143:10 144:17 149:5, |
| 5 20:14 117:8,10 128:3,8,10 193:24 | 9.3(e) 169:19 | 218:10,25 | advisors 10:24 11:4, 13,19,20,21,23 12:3, | 19 154:3 155:10,19, 20,21,22 156:2,7,14, |
| 5.12 106:3 137:15 | 90s 73:20 | actions 60:18 | 11 13:14 14:9 34:3, 13 36:19 51:4 | 16,23 157:16 158:2,7 |
| | | actual 131:15,22 | | 159:7 160:4,8,11,16 |

Index: agreements..Barker

| 161:2,14 162:3,8,18 163:9,23 164:7,13,22 165:13,17 166:16 167:5,14 168:23 169:17 170:7,16,20 171:4,12 172:9 185:4,6 197:13 198:23 199:9 216:24 | |
|---|--|
| 198:23 199:9 216:24 220:2 223:7,20 | |
| | |

agreements 17:18 34:15 68:2,18 93:23, 24 97:23,25

ahead 18:6 148:2,4 209:13 224:19

alleged 20:16

alleges 21:8

allocate 110:15 122:17 135:20 136:6 139:23 210:13,19

allocated 96:13 110:19 111:5 116:13 121:3,14 122:25 123:15,20 126:14,24 128:21 135:13 136:10,11 143:9 156:25 187:19

allocation 21:2,12, 18 67:15,22 68:12 69:10,18,22 70:17 94:15 99:12,15 104:9 110:21,22,23 115:21 116:23 117:5 124:4 126:7 134:14 135:4 150:21 151:2 152:25 157:17 158:3 200:15 206:19 210:4,9 215:24 217:22

allocations 20:16 94:3,4 120:14,23 152:21 153:17 213:14

allowed 155:10

alternative 146:22

ambiguity 206:18

ambiguous 144:20 163:13 164:10 165:21 167:7 168:17 169:11 170:9

amend 64:24 65:3,14

66:8 67:14,20 69:17 70:7 155:19,21 156:2,7,24 157:15 171:4 217:7

amended 20:8 21:8, 14 49:11 55:22 57:24 63:25 64:6 66:9,15, 20,24 67:20 69:8,25 70:7,12 103:9,14 104:12,14 105:5 111:5,13 113:12,17, 23 114:14 117:17,23 118:5,13,19 119:2,6, 12 121:3 155:11,18 157:15 159:7 170:16 185:5 198:23 219:15 223:6

amending 97:16 219:19

amendment 55:13 66:11 69:8 70:16 104:23 158:3 170:19, 21 207:12,18 223:6

amendments 36:11 65:4

amount 26:20 27:2 28:4 109:10 116:8 173:7 187:18

amounts 25:9

analysis 125:11,23

answers 158:13

anticipate 150:2

anticipated 120:14, 23

anyone's 95:19

anytime 155:21

Apologies 15:2

apologize 13:17 56:24 58:14,23 85:2 88:13 141:22 187:8 193:6 209:2

appearances 6:19

approaching 43:7

approve 44:3 215:3

approved 44:6 191:4

approximately 6:13

8:2 33:9 66:18 112:11 133:24 134:13 135:3,5,11, 14,21 140:11,22

April 11:11 35:9 42:12 51:2 220:13

arbitrary 212:9

area 161:5

arm's 100:2

art 73:13

ascertain 211:13

asks 214:4,5,9

aspect 40:15 94:20 95:6 105:5 119:12 160:16 207:5

aspects 38:22

asserted 45:3

assertion 60:23,24 62:6

assertions 20:14

asserts 21:8

asset 53:6 142:13 167:21,24 168:5 173:11

assets 23:15 25:17, 20 26:17 52:20,22,23 53:19 59:10 65:5 73:21,25 76:8,10,13, 19 77:11,15,20 78:7, 13 79:3 80:4 83:24 84:2,9 89:18 94:4 95:4 97:4 103:2 106:5,20 109:11 137:14 140:16,17,18 153:22 154:14 170:2

association 5:5 6:17

assume 22:23 116:14 183:24

assumed 93:17 184:22

Assuming 168:8 assumption 184:4,5 assumptions 93:18

assurances 10:11

attached 131:15 133:12 147:11

attempt 67:5 81:2

attention 74:4,7 78:21

attorney 7:8 43:13

attractive 73:22 74:2

audited 116:10

August 19:19 70:14 75:5 78:22 80:11 82:14 98:11 117:19

Austin 17:15

authored 73:13

authority 31:20,21 37:4,19 38:2,15 61:19 94:19,24 95:13 211:7

authorize 35:18 201:23

authorized 22:13 35:23 36:21,24 43:24 63:3 171:23 172:2,7 186:17 187:12 201:25

authorizing 188:5 191:21

automatic 155:23 availability 175:2

avoid 115:22 201:3

aware 15:7 25:25 26:7,8 27:22 32:11, 16,22 36:10 37:3 43:23 45:22 48:19,23 60:23 70:14 79:2 82:6 83:22 93:8 94:13 105:21 114:17 119:24 122:2 141:11 146:5,8 152:23 163:8 164:6 167:3 169:7 170:17 172:17 174:11,13 190:19 201:20 207:8,12,16 208:10,11 216:17 219:18 220:6 222:13

awhile 35:2,4

В

B&h 176:3

back 22:20 24:24
66:11 71:23 72:8
73:2 80:19 88:14
107:18 108:5 111:17,
22 119:18 127:25
131:2 140:10 143:10
147:2,5,7,15,23
148:6 158:16,24
176:23 183:16
187:20,24 192:17,19,
21 196:3,6,17 198:6
199:4,20,24 200:19
212:8,16 216:10,15,
20

background 12:21

bad 115:13 221:7

balance 27:12,14 49:20,23 97:5 106:6 135:14 139:8 194:5, 10

ballpark 33:16

bank 190:8

banker 92:21

bankers 37:12 92:20

bankruptcy 6:9 27:16 41:2 42:12 43:8 44:13,18 61:2,7, 9,18 62:5,24 65:10, 13 68:20 130:3 150:4,12,16 151:8,16 152:14 170:24 171:3 176:14 177:7,14,21 180:16,24 184:23 190:2 191:20 221:25 222:8

bankruptcy's 67:8

Barker 17:15 19:2 56:13 120:8,9,12 121:20,25 205:12,24 206:4,10 207:2 208:3,8,12,13 213:7 214:19,23 215:4 216:9,14 217:14 218:8,12,15,21 219:3,11

Index: based..chief

based 39:2 40:3 63:20 84:13 93:19 95:9,17 148:25 175:22

bases 130:12

basically 190:9

basis 11:14 12:3 13:10,23 14:20 24:13 30:11,13 31:6 42:3 62:6 63:6 71:13 81:6 106:9 107:2,23 110:24 125:7 128:25 152:20 177:12 182:19 183:8,20 184:2 194:23,24 195:2

bearing 98:17

begin 9:18,23 14:16

beginning 204:3

behalf 5:21,25 8:25 11:7 19:9 22:13 35:23 36:22,25 37:5 53:20 55:8 66:5,25 67:4,13,18 68:10 69:15 70:25 71:17 98:18 100:12 109:19 124:11 135:25 140:18 146:9 149:14 154:17 155:25 159:22 161:22 169:4 178:11,21 199:21 203:23 216:14 218:11 219:2

bel- 141:17

belief 81:7 128:25 217:21 218:4

believed 69:16 99:4 143:14 150:25

believing 64:20

ben 91:21 103:19

beneficiaries 23:21

beneficiary 81:19 91:22 92:9

benefit 83:2 92:4

benefits 79:17 80:2, 20,24 81:3,9,16,20 82:8 83:11 90:5,12, 20 91:19,22 92:4,9 124:7 153:14

bet 12:24 39:24

BH 18:23 20:10 38:7, 16 39:7 57:4,10,17, 23 58:11,22,25 59:7 65:23 66:6 69:15 70:9 71:2,16,17 95:13 102:14,20,22, 23 103:4,8,13,21,24 105:2,5 112:10,20 113:5,13 114:6,10 121:15 122:16 133:11,16 141:14,15 145:17,25 146:21 163:5 173:15,20,21 174:2,25 175:8,10 178:19 186:18,23 187:12 188:16,19 189:5,14,23 191:7, 14,23 192:14 200:7 219:24 220:6,8 221:15 222:12,22 223:3,4,5

BH's 70:15

big 62:10 85:4

bigger 36:12

biggest 155:12

Bill 5:24 15:11 72:9

billion 33:17,18,19, 20,22,23 200:2

billion-dollar 120:16

bind 160:7

bit 19:5 28:6 40:10 73:2 77:22 86:14 87:4 121:12 145:25 147:19 167:18 174:5, 9 214:12

Bless 32:4 224:4

Bonds 43:14 46:16, 18,23 47:4,7,12,24 48:6,25

Bonner 173:19

books 27:3,18 192:24 194:19

borrow 109:23 110:5.8

borrowed 135:15

140:11 141:25

borrower 20:5 84:24 86:13,24 88:9,17 108:22,25 109:5,15, 22 110:15 195:7

borrowers 110:8 137:18 196:2

borrowing 31:21

bottom 131:7 146:15 169:24 174:10 212:25 213:5

box 163:2

breached 36:4

break 68:7 71:22 72:6 130:24 158:15, 22 159:3 212:14

Brian 13:3.11

bridge 134:5,12 143:5

briefly 41:9

Broaddus 18:20 131:8,13,21 132:10, 16,25 133:16 143:13, 21 145:9 146:16 147:11 148:18,22 149:8 150:23 163:5 166:24 205:5,7 213:6,16 214:22

Broaddus' 132:21

broader 213:5

brokerage 73:14 74:10

brokers 92:24

brought 71:12 74:3,6

bucks 125:3

burden 91:15

business 8:8,9 23:14 52:21 74:22 124:19,20 172:11 189:9,11

C

calcs 173:23

calculated 107:21, 22,24

calculation 173:16

calendar 80:10

call 15:17 33:13 153:13 212:9

called 53:7

calling 222:14

calls 165:5,9

cap 198:18

capacity 7:17 8:21 16:14,21 23:4 30:21 63:18 99:23 134:2 149:3 151:13 165:18 166:4,10 195:9

capital 5:22 6:7,8 7:9 12:8 21:25 22:4 23:9 24:16 25:9,12,13,14 26:12 27:25 28:13 29:15 49:23 53:5 76:23 77:2,3 80:5 82:25 83:11,24 84:10 85:17,21 88:24 89:11,14 104:16 111:25 112:2,20 113:13,19,24 114:7, 11.18 133:17 134:4 135:11,22 140:12 144:13,20 153:15 163:3,9 164:18,24 165:4,9 167:22 168:8 176:15 177:17 188:17,21 189:13,24 190:7,11 191:3,8,22 192:10,14,21,25 193:12,20,25 195:10, 11 196:3,11,17 197:3,12,20 198:2,6, 11,24 199:4,5,10 200:18,22 201:14,16 216:4,5,10,15,20

care 69:20 200:21

carefully 47:21 68:8 156:20

Caruso 51:17 178:23 179:13,24 180:4,19

case 5:19 6:11 8:11, 14,16 10:19 59:22,25

cases 8:12

cash 49:21 84:3 115:21 116:23 117:5 134:5 151:2 153:20 166:19 167:19 168:4 173:12 190:10 194:4, 10

caught 155:16

caused 43:4 61:5 151:15 156:13 177:20

causing 211:20

Cave 13:3,11

CBRE 73:14 74:14

chance 138:18

Chang 146:16 148:17 149:4,8 166:24

Chang's 150:21 152:21

change 9:9 58:11 59:17 60:5,10 63:20 69:22 134:22 148:9 151:6 154:13,14,15 216:25 217:16

changed 24:3 58:8 149:23 155:14 166:12 183:15 199:16 204:4

changing 157:16 158:3

Chapter 181:2

character 201:16

characterize 31:24 153:11 221:20

characterized 50:15 52:4 194:4,10 197:12 221:21

characterizing 102:8

charged 162:11,16

chase 159:6

check 201:21

chief 11:9,12,18,25 13:17,24 14:7,12,21

Index: choice..corporate

choice 53:24 choose 107:7

chop 52:21 104:6

chopped 95:4

circling 174:25

circumstance 198:15

circumstances

42:17 79:11 83:2 152:12 154:14 199:17

Civil 5:18

claim 40:25 41:11 42:3 45:20 46:19 47:4,8,12,25 48:7,10, 14,18 49:2,6 50:15 62:2,20 181:9 182:7 220:14,16

Claimant 60:16

claims 45:3

clarification 11:17 181:25

clean 87:3

cleaner 47:2 204:7

clear 76:11 192:11

client 183:9,14,16,22

close 53:4,7 54:12,18 76:24 84:12,18 85:10 89:6

closed 57:19 80:11 111:14 176:7 191:16

closing 54:14,15 66:11 69:3 76:15 77:7 84:22 87:7 88:15 107:14 112:15, 17,21 113:3 122:17, 21 123:7,17,25 125:18,25 126:9 192:4 197:23

clusters 73:25

co-borrower 84:17 85:6,16,20,25 86:5,8, 19 87:8,16,17,18,20, 25 88:4,17,18,20 89:9,14,20,23 106:20 107:2 137:7 **co-borrowers** 109:6 110:16

co-borrowing

coincidence 93:11

collaborative 101:19,21

collateral 17:18 76:8 82:9 106:4 110:2 136:25 137:12,15,16 138:6,9,15,19 139:9, 19 221:21,23

collect 189:16 191:7

collective 101:17 111:9

collectively 39:22 97:16 111:10

comfortable 102:7 120:13 121:20 122:2 199:22

comment 45:7

comments 45:15 99:8 119:11

commitment 107:10,19

committed 165:4

common 152:16

communicated 47:3,7 205:21

communicating 179:13

communication

50:17 51:22,23 52:13 83:20

communications

18:2 21:18 43:21 49:5 103:13 105:14 120:22 132:2 206:9

companies 95:5 149:19

company 8:19 11:2 28:20 101:4,5 164:3 167:21,24 168:5 216:24 220:2

complete 17:6 159:14

completed 207:21

complex 120:16 197:21

compliance 94:4 95:6,18

complicated 40:11 77:23 86:15

components 54:21

comport 134:25

computer's 160:13

concept 116:16

concern 58:23 178:22 179:14 200:4 208:11

concerned 59:7 68:21 145:17 177:23 178:15 198:14

concerns 19:23 145:12 146:5 164:3

condition 84:22 87:10,11 153:16

conditions 87:6 155:14

conduct 67:24 97:22

confirm 162:25

confirmed 175:8

confusing 186:20

congruent 105:11

connection 8:11,13
18:15 32:9 37:10
38:13 40:8,14,20,22
47:24 48:6,10,17,25
49:5 54:3 93:21 94:8
95:23 96:3,4 97:13
98:23 100:23 103:8
118:18,25 126:8
132:25 161:23 162:8,
18 170:23,25 171:3
182:5 185:3 203:23
210:5 215:9

consent 5:23 6:2 59:14,18 69:21 70:9, 15 129:21 158:2

consents 31:20 32:9,13 consequence 150:16 221:22

consequences 68:19 71:14 221:24

consideration 208:7

considered 223:25

consistent 151:3 163:4 165:11 166:23 169:16 176:5

consistently 57:18, 20 170:3

consolidate 136:22

consolidation

115:22 116:14,17 136:16 138:13

contact 103:18 118:10,12

contagion 106:8 156:13

contagious 68:21

contained 21:8

contemplated 85:13,14 87:20

contemporaneousl y 190:20

contend 36:3 40:6 94:6 97:11 118:16,24 132:24

contends 40:13 152:20

content 211:8

contention 61:8 63:7 219:25

contentious 178:16 181:4 184:23 191:19

context 10:13 73:3 116:17

continue 42:2 158:12 214:15

continued 104:18

continuing 208:15

continuous 11:14 12:3 13:10,23 14:20

30:13 31:6

contribute 25:15 141:15

contributed 25:9,11 26:12 112:17 114:8 133:24 141:12 144:24

contribution 104:16 112:20 131:16,23 132:18 133:3,6 134:4 135:12 140:12 144:14 163:10 188:22 189:7 190:11 191:3,8 195:11 196:12 197:3 198:11 199:10 200:8,18,22

contributions 26:22 27:20,25 114:18 131:14,22 132:3,17 133:2,12,17 135:23 144:20 145:2 163:3 164:19,24 189:14 216:4

control 100:11 152:16 166:5,8 222:8

controlled 30:4,8,12 66:2

controls 29:25

conversation 44:10 60:3 71:9,12 83:13, 14 90:3,24 91:6 179:18,22 180:3 218:17 219:8 220:15, 22 221:9,12 222:14, 16,17

conversations 43:2 44:12 46:11,24 93:7, 23,25 122:14 132:7 145:21 209:10,15 218:20 223:14

convey 57:16

copied 50:22 131:10 133:10 145:10 190:25

copy 42:23 117:23

Corona 127:6

Coronavirus 126:20

corporate 7:18 8:21,

Index: corporation..direction

23 16:15,21 30:21 31:9,13 63:18 67:7 93:16 101:4 157:24

corporation 8:24

correct 26:21 36:19 47:4,5,9,10,13,25 48:2 51:4 54:4 55:5, 6,11,12,15 58:5,8,12, 13 59:22,23,25 60:2, 7,12 62:13,17,24 63:4,5 64:2,3,6,7,17, 18,22 65:17,18,19, 21,22,23,24 66:3,4 67:2,5 69:22 70:4,9, 19 71:14 75:19 77:17,18,21 78:15 79:9 82:18,22 84:8, 24 85:22,25 86:5,9, 20,24 87:8,11,16,22 88:7,21,25 90:22,23 92:17 95:10,14 96:9, 10,14,15 98:4,5 99:16,19 100:8,13 101:24 102:5.15 103:5,6 104:21 109:19 110:19 111:6, 7.15.16 112:12.22.25 113:8,14,20,21 114:2,9,20 126:9,15, 25 127:2,4,9,16 130:7 132:22 133:21 139:11,24 140:19 144:16 148:23,24 149:10,12,15,16,20 150:3,5,10,23,24 151:4,5 152:8,9 160:8 162:13,14,18, 19 163:11,24 164:8, 11 165:13,25 166:6, 7,11,12,16,17 167:14 169:9 170:7,10,11, 13,14 171:4,12,14,21 172:4 176:16,17 181:16,18 182:8,17 185:6,7,11,12 186:2, 5 187:14 189:8 190:21 191:4,5,10, 11,23,24 192:15,16 196:7 197:20 198:19 206:22 207:2 211:23 217:5,6,10 218:5 219:8

correctly 39:25 169:24 171:16

186:25 211:14 217:2

correlates 125:17

correspondence 142:23

corresponds 125:24

costs 112:18

counsel 5:4 17:13 18:15 32:8 39:16,21 44:22 46:11,15 93:16 100:7,23 158:11 181:11 182:10

Counsel's 6:19

count 165:4

counted 112:8

counter 146:10

counterparties 116:12

country 53:6

couple 100:18,19 174:24 220:12

Cournoyer 93:5,15, 20 94:6,10,14,18,19, 24 95:22

court 6:9,16,20,21 61:18 224:22

courtroom 5:16

cover 112:17

COVID 8:4 59:8 205:10

create 143:22

created 29:19 56:7, 17 58:17 74:22 75:6 143:22 205:3

creating 67:8

creation 74:24 75:9,

credit 139:4 198:10 199:23

credited 144:24

cross 68:2

curious 202:19

cut 24:21 112:5 159:5

219:11 222:4

D

daily 38:6 107:22

Dallas 6:10 13:5 74:12

damage 221:21,23

date 65:25 66:7,19 67:14,20 68:11,25 69:13 70:25 99:20 100:14,17,20 101:20 114:14 118:20 130:6 143:19 148:19 149:21 171:12 173:7 217:22 218:2

dated 98:11 115:3 117:9 131:8

Dave 77:13

David 97:6

day 158:10,12 161:7 224:8

Day's 13:4

days 54:8,11 69:3 80:5 103:24 107:14 111:17,20 127:25 151:23 174:24 191:16

DC 46:23 186:11,14 187:4

deadline 43:6

deal 21:5 24:23 124:9 125:19 141:6,24 142:4 145:17 189:9, 12

deals 19:17 20:4,8 21:21 26:10,11 103:2

debt 62:25 63:4,8 84:5,7 104:7 105:11 136:21,23 153:4,21 171:13,19 172:23 173:18 174:14 176:10,16,20 177:2 187:15 188:10,19 189:7,13

Debtor 60:19

debtor's 51:3

debts 175:11,20 176:4

December 70:17 201:12

decide 89:8 109:4

decided 79:7 139:23 181:5 182:6 191:25 200:9

deciding 136:6

decision 37:21 39:2 54:22 77:16 82:12, 17,22 83:6 84:8 85:19 88:20,23 89:21,22 90:13,20 94:11 95:19 96:8 108:10,24 109:18 111:8 126:23 135:20 173:6 180:17 184:12, 17 191:7 201:11,14, 15 206:16 210:13

decision-make 94:21

decision-making 37:18 38:2,15,17 94:19,24 95:12

decisions 38:8 40:4 95:9,19 206:12 207:4 210:8,18 211:7

dedicated 29:17

default 106:8,14 137:24

defaults 68:2

deferential 38:20 82:19 95:2 109:16

deferred 39:14,15,16

defined 168:9 206:5, 14

definition 205:22

delegate 37:4,9 38:5, 16 94:23 95:12

delegated 37:11,16, 18,25 39:7 211:22

delegating 37:15

delegation 38:14

demand 86:9,10,12, 20 87:2

demanded 86:21,23 87:15

demands 87:5

denied 183:25

department 92:16 96:23

departments 96:24

deposed 7:20 9:13

deposition 5:7,9 6:5, 12 7:13 10:6 15:8,16 17:10 18:9,16 19:6 54:4 159:2 224:25

depositions 8:3 18:12

describe 12:20 57:6 74:19 90:4 106:5 173:4 221:17

describing 51:24 59:6

description 21:13

designate 109:4

designated 108:22, 25 109:15

desire 79:13

determination 139:21 196:24 217:9

determine 68:18 90:19

deviated 55:25

difference 85:4

differently 192:2

difficulty 130:17

diligence 45:23 67:24 90:19 97:22 157:10,12

diluted 27:21,24 59:4

direct 195:10 197:10

directed 195:7 208:19 219:7

direction 106:18 132:11 149:18

Index: directly..Equities

directly 23:24 24:16 221:24

dirt 101:6

dis- 167:20

disappointment 220:24 221:19

discovery 22:7 185:24,25

discuss 27:23 28:3, 12 43:9,12,16 81:24 122:11 123:4 136:9, 14 175:3 200:16 201:7 202:4 206:8 210:3 222:25

discussed 28:15 82:9 115:25 144:3 151:16 178:2 218:11

discussing 116:6

discussion 57:4,7 83:9 120:22 145:15 190:25 207:16 218:7

discussions 82:17, 21 83:5 91:2 104:18 105:4 113:4 122:8 148:13 160:10 174:14

disposition 167:20 168:5

dispute 8:17 150:15 180:21 182:21 208:25 209:17 216:25 217:15 218:16,21 219:3 220:2,9 221:4,15,17 222:13,15,23

disputing 201:18

disqualification 183:5

disqualify 182:17,20 184:13

disqualifying 181:12

distinction 85:3

distinguish 96:20

distributable 151:2 153:20 166:19 **distribute** 177:8 180:10 186:23 195:22

distributed 167:21 168:6 170:2

distribution 62:21 63:11 172:3,7,15,21 177:18,22 187:23,25 188:5 200:12

distributions 20:22 60:16,17 61:6,11,19, 21,23 62:23 63:8,9 134:6 145:14 171:8, 11,14,18,19,24 172:25 173:7,25 174:14 175:3,10,20 176:3 178:7,12 179:2,15 180:5 186:18 187:13 194:12 201:17

District 6:10

Division 6:11

doc 154:11 171:2

document 10:12 15:6,19,21 16:3 42:7, 14,18 43:5,9,13,16, 22,25 44:4,7,11,20, 24 45:7,15 50:10 52:6 56:9 75:9,15 97:16 98:17,19,24 99:4,5,8,16 100:3,24 101:16 110:9 120:13 121:25 123:21 127:3 128:19 133:15 140:23 143:13.20.21 144:5,8 154:6,12,22, 24 156:24 158:6 159:13 161:23 162:21 163:4 168:13 169:9 182:5 197:17, 24 199:14 201:19 212:21 215:23

documentation

54:2,7 55:14 110:14 154:19

documents 10:8,10 17:17,19 18:11 48:4, 5,10,14,17,25 49:5,8, 25 50:5,13 52:2,8,9, 12 156:11 170:23 171:2 **dollar** 140:24 141:5

dollars 110:12 125:4, 8,13,17,24 126:14 127:15,21 128:12 141:5,16 192:25 193:9,19,24 195:3

domain 8:17

Dondero 13:21,22 14:7 17:14 18:18 24:11 25:4,18 26:14, 16 27:19,23 28:17,20 30:4,8,12 32:24 33:24 35:22 38:25 40:2,4,9 43:10 66:2 76:16 78:25 79:7 81:24 82:21 83:5 84:7 85:10,19 86:4,7, 18 87:24 88:3,19,23 89:8 90:4,11,18,25 91:7 98:20,24 100:11,12 135:25 136:5 152:16 159:22 160:15 165:16 166:3, 9 167:5 169:8 172:4 199:9,21 206:3 210:25 211:11 222:8

Dondero's 15:8,16 41:22 98:17 135:18 160:3 172:9

doubt 143:4,7

draft 62:3 69:7 117:23 119:6 145:2 208:17 209:5

drafted 149:4,9

drafting 19:18 100:24 101:15 103:9 118:4,13,18 182:5 185:4

drafts 119:15

dramatically 149:23

DSI 50:14,21 51:3,6 178:23

due 67:24 97:22 157:10 207:24

Dugaboy 139:6

duly 6:24

Dunlop 55:18 112:3, 4 125:2

Dunlop's 127:9 **duties** 97:13

earlier 11:2 46:18 57:2 77:5 95:15 96:16 97:21 101:2 124:7 136:24 145:13 148:18 156:9 161:7, 13 163:6 201:7 217:20

Ε

early 58:17

earnest 53:8 141:5, 10,13

easy 224:2

economic 99:10,11 155:14 160:24 216:23 217:14

economics 154:15

educational 12:21

effective 70:13

efficiency 89:12

effort 65:13 67:19 68:10 92:19 101:21 117:17 181:6 220:25

electronic 41:22

elements 74:25

elicit 10:17 51:18

elicited 51:19

Ellington 23:22 24:11 25:5,7 28:20

Ellis 43:14 46:16,18, 24 47:4,7,12,24 48:6, 25

else's 59:14

email 50:17 52:4 114:24 115:3,8,25 117:8,11,13 119:19 122:3 131:6,8,10 132:12 133:11,17 136:16 144:13 145:5, 8,12 146:16,23,24 147:8 148:7,13,18 150:21 152:21 174:21 186:11,19 187:10 190:15 198:5 212:22 213:4 214:6, 15 218:13 219:11

emails 17:25 18:3,5,

eminent 8:17

employed 10:20 12:5,16,18 22:14 93:2,10

employee 97:12

employees 33:2,5, 23 97:10

employer 10:23 12:14

employment 12:22

encumbered 84:4

end 57:20 125:9 186:10 187:11

ends 224:25

energy 8:18

engaged 100:2,13

enter 31:18 54:22 64:8 223:20

entered 35:7,9 36:10 55:21 63:13,16,25 64:5 108:21 143:15 145:4 154:3 166:16 168:13

entering 59:8 223:6 enterprise 101:10

entire 55:4 66:11

142:16

entities 95:5 100:11 152:15

entitled 60:16

entity 9:5,6 12:6,12, 13 22:23,24 65:17 102:15 134:8 162:7

enure 81:17 137:12

equitable 153:12

Equities 18:23 20:10 38:16 39:7 57:17 58:25 59:7 65:23 66:6 69:15 71:2,17

Index: Equities'..focusing

95:13 102:14.20.22 103:4.8.13 105:2.5 112:10 113:5,13 114:6 133:11,16 145:17,25 146:21 163:5 174:2 175:8 178:19 186:18 187:12 188:16 189:5. 15,23 191:7,14,23 192:14 200:7 219:24 220:8 221:15 222:12, 22 223:3,4,5

Equities' 57:23 58:11 70:9 71:16 112:20 188:19

equity 13:5 25:14,20 27:7,9,19,24 28:4,9 49:24 102:25 104:17 177:8,22 187:6 188:22 189:7,8,16,24 191:3,9,22 196:2 200:8

error 40:20 99:19 207:9

errors 21:7 40:7 118:17,25

establish 16:16

established 136:24

estate 5:25 9:3,8 11:2,6,19,21 12:2 13:14 14:8 23:9,15 28:21 29:5,9,11,17, 23 31:19 32:10 34:3 67:25 68:17 74:8 93:13 97:23,24 110:25 111:21 127:17 151:20 182:10 216:5

estimate 124:13

evening 148:8

event 43:3 106:7,14 150:8.9

events 111:12

eventually 93:24

Everybody's 149:17

evident 144:2

evolve 104:2

exact 27:2

EXAMINATION 7:2

Excel 52:14

exception 39:5 107:12

exchange 26:5 35:19 107:10 127:22 212:22

Excuse 158:20

execute 92:12

executed 54:2 159:6,20

executing 74:25 120:13

execution 19:17 74:16

exercising 106:13

exhibit 15:4,7,12,14, 17 18:8 41:6,9 42:2 45:19,24 46:8 60:15 98:7,9 114:23,25 117:8,10 131:4,5 145:6,7 146:12,13,14 147:7 159:9,10 174:6,7 189:18,19,20 212:22,23

exhibited 73:22

exists 122:20 197:25

expect 110:5,8

expectation 127:6 221:2

expectations 221:2, 18

expected 80:20 81:9

expenses 108:8 173:13

experience 107:25 211:25 212:2

expert 185:15

expertise 95:7

experts 38:21

explain 218:15,20

explained 219:2

explicitly 195:23

expressed 178:22 179:14 208:14

expressing 220:23

extension 104:8

extent 7:25 39:10 59:2 80:4 104:19 138:5 173:11

external 32:8 34:7 37:12

externally 92:20,22

extinguish 175:11, 20 176:4 187:15 195:10,11 197:2

extinguishing 188:10

eyes 101:3

F

face 203:8

faced 126:12

fact 44:17 54:8 62:4, 22 70:23 78:6 109:18 123:6,16,23 146:19 151:17 152:23 154:2 182:3,14 185:24,25 192:6 194:13 199:3 203:13

factor 79:23 106:16. 17

factors 129:17 130:13 136:5

facts 60:22,23 154:13

factual 157:21,22

fail 9:23 61:5

failed 193:18

failure 155:9

faint 160:2

fair 9:19 24:9,14 38:18,19 39:3,7 44:11 45:14,17 54:20 55:19 56:24 100:9,10 101:11,12 104:13

111:23 112:23 113:11 148:25 150:13,14 151:2,12 161:20 162:5 167:9, 10 169:20,22 182:24 188:4,8 203:16,17 211:14,15 219:13,14 221:25 222:2,10,11

fall 8:6 206:21 207:2

familiar 22:24 26:4 40:24 73:5 97:7 102:15 209:18

fast-moving 197:22

fault 187:9

223:16

favor 26:5

February 56:8 114:23 115:4 117:9

Federal 5:17

feel 115:13

fees 36:15,16,17,18 107:19 122:16

fight 179:8,9 180:11, 13.15 185:20 186:8 191:19 200:23 201:3

fighting 181:6

figured 104:9

figures 26:25

file 213:19 222:7

filed 40:25 41:12 42:11,14,15 44:11,14 45:8,16,25 48:15,18 50:15 61:7,9 62:5,24 65:11,13 130:4 150:4 152:14 169:3 209:20 211:22 213:17,25 214:7 220:14

filing 43:24 44:3,6 62:20 67:8 68:3,20 88:6 97:16,17 150:13,16 151:7,16 156:12 177:21 204:15 220:5 221:25

filings 21:21,23

fin- 209:6

final 58:19 121:3

160:3 187:23 209:20 217:9

finalize 162:3

finalized 209:7

finally 54:12 214:21

finance 97:6 154:10

financed 176:16 177:2 189:8,13,16 191:9,10

financial 49:15,20 50:20 51:4 52:6 55:25 58:16 84:13 116:15

financials 49:14 116:10

financing 38:23 188:19

find 103:23 142:9 184:10

fine 15:20 120:21

finish 9:18 78:3 86:2 224:19

firm 43:13 56:14 73:14 93:4 101:23 102:4 182:20

firm's 183:12

firms 74:10 101:2,8 161:13,21 181:20 182:4

fit 195:23

five-year 124:24 125:10

fixed 57:24 58:4

flexibility 78:18 79:11,13 80:18 82:25 83:11,25 84:10 85:17,22 88:25 89:11,15 109:8 153:15

flow 49:21 173:12 221:24

focus 161:5

focused 78:21 189:5

focusing 181:25

Index: folks..Highland

223:4

folks 103:7 173:14

follow 95:17,20

follow-up 8:5 133:11 174:25 189:21

foreclose 106:11

foreclosure 106:14

foresee 150:8,9

Forgive 223:13

forgot 223:13

form 27:6,7,8 161:7

formal 31:15 32:19

formally 14:25

formed 23:11 24:4, 10 28:20 29:12 30:5, 9,14,18 31:2,7 32:18, 23 33:6,9 37:2 204:9, 11,17 217:21

formulated 69:9

forward 148:22 189:15

forwarded 149:8 166:24

fought 185:13,19 186:4

frame 80:13

Frank 97:6

frankly 89:19 177:24

Fred 51:17,21 178:23,25 179:11

free 173:12

friendly 200:3

fulfill 51:16

fulfilled 51:11

full 10:13 55:20 58:4 64:4 187:21 188:2,6, 20 189:8,14 196:4

fully 142:22 143:2,10

fun 196:9

fund 29:17 141:25

funded 112:14,21 141:6

funding 112:24 134:3

funds 29:16 195:7

funds' 95:5

G

game 120:20

Gameros 5:24,25 15:20 32:6 72:11 139:12 224:20

gamut 49:22,23

garden 73:21

gave 36:13,14 47:11, 14,23 48:3,5,25 211:2

general 9:14 52:21 71:6 173:9 204:20

generally 8:15 12:21 19:5 21:22,24 23:16 38:11 39:17 40:24 56:4 57:6 74:20 75:13 101:5 135:8 172:10 173:22 174:17 186:25 197:15 210:11

generated 36:12

geographically 74:2

give 9:14 10:11 17:6 48:9 80:9 108:10 116:11 158:12 159:14 172:19,24 204:6 210:21 212:5

good 5:3 7:4,6 155:4 179:16 214:18 224:7

Gotcha 144:19

grand 176:22

great 29:23 120:21

Greg 50:21

ground 9:14 16:16, 18

group 13:5 53:5 97:2,3 146:9 149:18

173:14,22 210:21 211:3,7,22

groups 96:24

guarantor 84:21,23, 25 137:21

guaranty 17:19 136:20 137:20 138:8

guess 51:24 116:2,4 120:19 148:7 151:10 174:12 179:6 185:18 204:14 213:4 218:24

guessing 120:20 142:24

guy 103:21

guys 97:7 147:5 181:10 183:25 185:13 186:4

Н

half 221:6

handle 147:14

happen 59:9 68:4 100:21 126:22

happened 9:11 27:17 44:10 67:10 96:22 152:11,13 202:7,16 206:21

happening 188:25 190:12

happy 196:20

hard 42:23 53:8 186:4 204:6

HCMLP 96:24,25 100:2,6 101:10 109:12 115:21 177:7 182:4

HCR 186:24

HCRE 9:5,9 17:16 19:9 21:7 22:13,23 23:7,10,14,17,19,25 24:3,7,9,17,22 25:5 26:2,5,16 27:20,21 28:5,16,17 29:6,9,12, 25 30:4,9,13,17,24 31:14,16 32:16,23 33:2,5,8,21 35:12,15,

25 37:5 38:20 40:6. 12,13,18,21 41:12,16 45:23 46:7 53:20,22 54:20 55:19 56:13 57:16 58:10 59:11 60:5 61:6,10,17 62:12 63:25 64:5,8, 11,19 65:13,21 66:2, 6 67:2,4,13,19 68:10, 23 69:15 70:6 71:2, 17,19 74:4 77:8 84:12,16 86:4,7,18 91:25 92:3 94:6 97:11 98:18 99:24 100:2,6 101:10 108:21,25 109:5,14 110:14,19 111:5,10 113:24 114:6,17 118:16,24 122:25 129:11 132:24 133:23 134:14 135:4, 13,15,21,24 136:6,10 137:14 138:16 139:2, 8,15,22,24 140:8,10, 21 141:6,23 142:3 143:9 149:14 151:15 152:14,20 154:2,17 155:25 156:6,22 157:14,24 159:23 160:7 161:22 162:6 164:14,23 165:9,19 166:4,10 170:18 175:10,12 176:2,4,13 178:19 180:18 181:16 182:4,6,14, 16,19 183:4,9,21 184:6 185:2,9,24 187:12,20 188:4 192:13 194:7 195:6, 9,13 196:15,24 197:10 198:22 199:22 201:2,17,20 202:12 203:2,11,23 204:9 209:21,25 211:19 216:14 217:5, 13,21 218:3,11 219:2

18.23 36:3.4.19.22.

HCRE's 7:18 16:9,21 20:15 22:4,7 27:3,11, 14 30:21 31:5 40:25 60:9 61:8,25 63:6,18 74:6 91:15 101:15,24 134:2 135:10 139:19 140:24 149:3 151:22 157:24 161:20

220:14 223:22

162:12 163:21 167:12 168:20 169:14 171:7 175:18 177:19 188:10 219:24

hear 7:5 212:18

held 6:12 11:12 13:9, 13 94:5 154:14

helped 94:3

Herb 213:6

Heriberto 213:6

Hey 143:20 196:15

Highland 5:22 6:6,7 7:9 12:8,23 14:14,17 20:5 22:13 23:9 25:23 26:5,10,11 27:17 29:5,8,10,15, 22,24 33:25 34:13 35:12,16,19,24 36:3, 13.14 41:2 42:11 50:3 52:11 56:2 61:4, 5,9 62:5,24 65:19 66:2,6,22 71:18 75:18,22,25 76:5,12, 18 77:10,15,16,19 78:5,9 79:2,8,13 80:2,21 81:7,25 82:7, 13 83:6,20,23 84:8, 16,20 85:5,9,19,24 86:5,8,12,19,24 87:8, 16,25 88:4,8,16,20 89:3,9,13,19,22,23 90:6,14 91:3,8 93:2, 4,6,11,14,16,17 94:11 96:8 97:9.12 98:18 101:9 105:21 107:8 108:6,7,11,14 109:12,14,19 113:18 114:6,17 121:6,14 122:15,21 123:5,14, 24 124:5,8,11 126:7, 25 128:7,13,16 135:24 136:11,17,21, 25 137:7,20 138:2,11 140:8 144:23 146:9, 21 149:9,11,13,14,18 150:4,20 151:8 152:14,15 153:8,23 156:2,7 159:22 160:7 161:22 162:2.6 164:23 165:9 173:2,

25 178:3,4,6,7,11,18,

Index: Highland's..jointly

20,21 181:15 182:7, 16 183:22 185:2 190:2 191:13,20 192:2,3,16,17,18 193:15,23 194:3,14, 21 195:3 196:12,15, 25 197:12 198:7,10, 19,24 199:11,22 200:6,9,17,25 201:8, 17,20 202:2,12,16 204:18 211:23 216:5, 10,15,20 222:7,9 223:21

Highland's 22:7 25:25 50:6,11 68:24 71:5 91:13 92:4,11, 16 102:5 116:9 125:12,23 128:9 129:6 144:15 162:17 176:14,19 182:16 183:4 184:13 194:6, 10 195:11 197:2 198:2 204:13

highlight 206:18

hired 185:15

history 12:22 156:5,

hit 126:20

Hogan 5:24

hold 13:6 124:24 125:10 167:18

honest 17:7

honestly 142:8

hope 145:11 224:7

hopeful 67:7

human 92:12

Hunton 39:16,21 101:6 102:10,11 118:6,7,13,23,24 161:17,18

hurts 116:14,15

hypothetical 70:21

ı

idea 89:4 115:24 116:16 125:15

179:16 210:17 220:7

identified 62:17 105:2 161:13

identify 12:13 22:11 61:14 93:10 154:2 155:11 197:24

identities 204:4

impact 91:14

impacted 59:6

impediment 177:20

implicitly 195:22

important 9:17 216:22

improper 20:16

inaccurate 21:13 63:17

inactions 60:19

inbound 74:9

incentive 223:11

include 25:22 56:14 77:16 82:13 83:6,9, 10 84:8 85:19 86:5,8, 12,19 94:11 96:8 99:12 131:22 133:3 155:9 178:4 199:4 204:21 218:23

included 61:25 78:10 81:25 82:7 83:21 85:25 87:24 101:10 124:8 163:10 167:4 169:8 214:10

includes 214:23

including 17:17 68:18 89:13 117:12 178:20

inclusion 85:15 91:13 92:5 122:5

income 36:12 49:24 123:19 215:24

incorrect 153:2 217:23

increase 89:5 104:8 223:15

increased 36:11,15,

16,17

indebtedness 136:18

independent 100:7 162:6 185:11

indirectly 23:24 24:17

individual 7:17 8:20 16:14 23:3

individuals 22:11 204:20

influx 50:16 52:5,15, 18

inform 69:15 216:19 222:15,22

informal 31:15

information 47:11, 14,23 51:7,19,21 163:14 221:14

informed 136:3 202:9 217:13 218:3

informs 133:11

inhibited 180:17

inhibiting 177:7

initial 34:21 141:4

initiating 223:14

inquire 222:19

insinuating 201:5

insisted 85:23,24 87:21

instance 206:15 209:16

instruct 51:15 69:7 218:15,20 222:21

instructed 62:15

97:18 216:18

instructing 118:7

instruction 215:21

instructions 172:20, 24 210:22 211:2

intensely 184:24

intent 46:3,6 63:19

64:21 75:15 79:21, 24,25 151:4 154:5,18 155:13,15 163:23 164:15 165:12,24 166:15 167:13 168:12,22 169:16 170:13 184:24 192:7 201:18

intention 40:18

intently 185:13 186:3

interest 23:23 27:21 43:8 50:11 52:2,10 56:2 57:24 58:3,7,12 67:15 68:24 104:4 143:25 144:15,25 151:25 156:17 168:8, 9 171:21 192:7 203:4

interesting 93:9

interests 20:17 21:12,13,19 24:12 27:24 44:18,20 50:7 60:6 69:10,18 71:3, 16 94:15 96:12 99:12,15 101:15,24 102:5 104:19 113:6 139:4 157:2,17 158:4 162:13,17 163:3 167:23 168:7 170:3 223:8,11,12

internal 37:11 39:15, 21 67:23 68:17 92:25 97:21 156:10 218:18 221:21,23

internally 92:20,21 175:8

interrupt 148:2

intimately 97:7

invest 23:15 24:15 102:25

invested 25:2,5

investigation 90:19

investment 11:5,9, 13,18 12:2 13:18,24 14:8,12,21 28:5,14 29:11,16 33:11 107:15 139:6 198:21

investments 26:16, 20 28:9,21 29:6,9,24 32:10 33:8,12,22 37:10

investor's 152:3

investors 11:7 152:5

involved 96:7 102:17,21 105:18 161:13 173:5,6 195:25 203:18 204:4, 25 213:12 215:8

IPO 35:9

IRR 151:20

irrelevant 180:21

issue 10:18 44:13,15, 16 82:9 177:24 178:16 184:24 200:16 206:25 213:13 215:8 222:9

issued 26:5

issues 19:21 38:4 39:6 67:8 73:3 108:4 125:3 156:12

items 122:4

Iterations 98:21

J

Jacobs 173:21

Jacobson 173:21

Jim 13:21 17:14,15 23:21 25:8 28:22 30:2 32:19 36:23,25 76:16 82:15 97:3 106:22 108:12 109:3, 16,18 139:20 171:25

Jim's 106:6 139:7 202:13

Joanna 220:5

job 211:10,14,20

John 5:20 7:7 139:13

joined 12:22 13:11 14:17

joining 13:4 29:24

joint 102:12 106:9,15 107:2

jointly 101:9 105:22

Index: Jones..made

107:10 137:2 161:22 181:15 182:15 185:3

Jones 5:21 7:8 13:4

June 12:9,10 189:22 191:6,14,21 192:13, 19 200:8,17 201:8,21 202:2,12,20 203:11

justification 190:20

Κ

K-1S 205:17 209:21, 24

Ken 173:20

Key 106:11 110:24

Keybank 17:17 19:23 20:5 52:24 53:2,6,15 54:2,23 55:14 58:4 63:21,23 64:16 66:23 78:17 80:11 84:13,17,20,21 85:3,5,6,20,23,24 87:6,15,21 88:7,15, 16 89:9,14,23 103:22 105:19,23 106:18,25 107:11,17,18 108:21 109:23 110:9,14 111:10,14 112:5,15, 22 125:2,19 126:2,9 127:8 134:5,12,15, 20,21 135:5,13,21 136:7,11 137:3,6,11, 17,24,25 138:3,17 143:5 156:14,15 185:5 187:20 188:2,6 192:4 195:5,17,18,25 196:3,25 197:11

Keybank's 86:9,20

Kim 5:10 6:17

kind 37:15 40:20 86:16 102:12 104:7, 23 112:25 170:19 180:21 209:8 210:22 211:2

Kirshner 213:7 214:6,16

Klos 77:13 97:6 108:13

knew 54:21,24,25 55:3,8,9 63:15 64:9, 15 77:9 78:14 90:10, 11 93:5 96:17,21 114:7 127:8 143:24 144:4,11,23,24 154:7 158:6 172:11,13,18 182:15 184:25

knowledge 11:22 24:6 28:10 29:19 30:3,7,17 31:12,17 47:6 55:20 58:4,10 62:12 64:4 76:21 77:14 79:7 81:4 86:18 90:17 93:19 100:8 101:8 107:9 114:6,16 132:6 149:2 157:23 161:19 162:16 163:21 167:11 170:15 185:8 196:23 206:25 211:12 217:13,25 219:10,22

L

L.P. 5:22 6:8 7:9

labeled 6:4

laid 101:3

land 52:22

language 146:25 147:16 149:7,9

large 92:19 116:7

largely 106:6 121:5 173:19 204:19

larger 58:20 59:3

largest 53:5

late 76:14

law 12:25 13:6,10 43:13 100:25 101:23 102:4,9 161:12,21 181:20 182:3,20

lawyer 43:18 61:14 155:3 183:7,19 185:10

lawyers 37:11,12 92:21,25 93:2 186:7 laying 176:22

lays 106:4

lead 108:22,25 109:5, 15,22 110:14 179:2 180:6,20 195:7

leader 205:4

leading 88:15 111:12

leads 152:25

learn 54:10,14 63:12 76:12 202:7,11

learned 42:20 66:15 100:20

leasing 38:8

leave 203:5 205:7

left 205:6 212:6

legal 5:5 6:15 32:7 39:13,15,21 67:23 68:17 97:21 154:9,11 156:10 177:20

lenders 173:18

length 100:2

leverage 116:8,13

liability 125:12,16,23 126:12 127:21 128:9

liable 105:22 107:11 137:2,7,22

Liberty 165:5

license 13:6,9

life 197:18

light 151:17

likelihood 89:5

lines 159:22

lineup 115:16,19

liquidation 169:21 181:3

list 39:19

listed 16:10 137:15 138:19

listen 47:21 68:8 156:19 193:17

litigated 184:24

litigation 177:25 178:17 179:3 180:7 184:16

live 155:13

lived 46:2 196:9

lives 199:19

living 128:19 154:6, 12,22,24 158:6 199:14

LLC 17:16 19:18 20:8 21:9,14 41:12,16 48:19 49:9,10,11 55:22 57:25 63:25 66:24 67:21 69:8,24 70:8 75:5,23 76:2,17 77:4,9,17 78:10 79:25 80:8,14 89:17, 22 90:14,21 93:23 98:7,11 103:10,14 104:13,14 105:6 111:6 113:7,12,18,23 114:15 117:18 118:14,19 119:3 159:7 185:4,5

loan 17:17 19:23 20:5 53:15 54:2,23 55:14 66:23 80:11 84:13, 17,21 85:6,20 87:7 88:15 89:5,10,14,24 93:24 105:19,23 106:3 107:11 108:21 109:23 110:9,14,18, 20,21,22 111:14,22 112:4,6,15,22 134:15,20,22 135:5, 6,13,22 136:7,11 137:3,6,11,24 138:3, 17 142:16,20,22 143:2 156:14,15 185:5 187:20 195:17, 25 196:3

loaned 25:25

loans 25:20 26:6,13 27:7

local 74:12,14

LOCS 49:24

long 201:17 205:5

longer 142:19 152:15 158:10,12 looked 30:23 40:2 123:19 146:22,23 150:22 155:15 194:18

losses 21:2 117:6 121:14 123:2,6,15 124:5,14,22 125:5 126:21 138:5 193:10 210:4,9,14

lost 130:16,19 203:8 204:16

lot 53:18 86:21 93:7 104:6 108:3 123:8,10 177:14 212:5

Louis 13:2,4

love 184:10

LP 6:2

LTV 110:24

M

M&a 13:5

made 20:15 26:13, 14,16 28:5 29:5,6,8,9 33:8 36:11 39:2 40:4, 7,14,19 51:6 54:22 60:18 61:21,24 62:23 64:23 65:6,8 67:4 70:16 77:16 79:14 81:8 82:12,17,21 84:7 85:19 88:19,23 90:13,21 91:3,9 94:7 95:9 97:12 108:10,24 109:18 110:23 111:8 112:24 114:18 117:17,19 118:17,24 122:17 126:23 128:10 129:22 132:25 135:20 145:14,25 146:9 149:5 152:22 155:5, 6,8 171:4,8,11,19 172:6,16 178:8,12 180:18 184:12,17 187:25 190:19 191:6 194:12 196:12,24 197:11 198:25 201:12 202:20 203:11 207:9,13 210:8,13,18

Index: mail..million

| mail 188:15 190:23 | March 8:5 11:11,14 | 103:1 104:1 105:1 | 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 | 205:1 206:1 207:1 |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 12:3,6,16 13:15,24 | 106:1 107:1 108:1 | 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 | 208:1 209:1 210:1 |
| maintain 223:19 | 14:9,20,24 20:10 | 109:1 110:1 111:1 | 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 | 211:1 212:1,17 213:1 |
| major 74:10 | 50:25 51:2 55:22 | 112:1 113:1 114:1 | 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 | 214:1 215:1 216:1 |
| 1 - | 56:23 57:25 64:22 | 115:1 116:1 117:1 | 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 | 217:1 218:1 219:1 |
| majority 121:6 | 70:11,24 103:5 | 118:1 119:1 120:1 | 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 | 220:1 221:1 222:1 |
| make 9:15,21 11:17 | 104:24 113:24 | 121:1 122:1 123:1 | 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 | 223:1 224:1 |
| 28:9,21 33:21 37:20 | 114:15 117:18 127:7 | 124:1 125:1 126:1 | 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 | Mckinney 8:18 |
| 38:7 39:18 45:23 | 131:8 150:9 152:8,24 | 127:1 128:1 129:1 | 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 | Wickilliey 6.16 |
| 46:7 47:2 51:24 61:6, | 160:6 163:6 166:25 | 130:1 131:1 132:1 | 54:1 55:1 56:1 57:1 | meaningful 79:3 |
| 19 62:21 63:7,8 65:4, | 199:6 | 133:1 134:1 135:1 | 58:1 59:1 60:1 61:1 | means 61:23 73:9 |
| 13 67:19 68:10 69:22 | Mark 17:14,15 81:15 | 136:1 137:1 138:1 | 62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1 | 116:17 154:13 |
| 89:23 105:9 106:7 | 118:9,12 120:8 | 139:1 140:1 141:1 | 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1 | 110.17 154.15 |
| 124:25 127:19 | 129:10 136:16 213:7 | 142:1 143:1 144:1 | 70:1 71:1 72:1,2,9,25 | meant 52:12 |
| 139:20 153:16 | | 145:1 146:1 147:1 | 73:1 74:1 75:1 76:1 | media 6:4 |
| 154:18,23,25 156:11 | Mark's 122:3 | 148:1 149:1 150:1 | 77:1 78:1 79:1 80:1 | |
| 165:8 171:15 172:2, | marked 15:4,6 18:8, | 151:1 152:1 153:1 | 81:1 82:1 83:1 84:1 | meeting 31:13,14 |
| 20,25 173:15 175:19 | 12 41:6,8 98:9 | 154:1 155:1 156:1 | 85:1 86:1 87:1 88:1 | meetings 31:15 |
| 177:18 178:25 179:2 | 114:25 117:10 131:5 | 157:1 158:1 159:1 | 89:1 90:1 91:1 92:1 | |
| 180:5 186:18 187:12 | 145:7 146:14 159:10 | 160:1 161:1 162:1 | 93:1 94:1 95:1 96:1 | Melissa 97:8 |
| 190:10 191:22 193:6 | 174:7 189:20 212:23 | 163:1 164:1 165:1 | 97:1 98:1 99:1 100:1 | member 59:7 78:6 |
| 201:11,14,15 202:24 | | 166:1 167:1 168:1 | 101:1 102:1 103:1 | 79:8,14 81:8 82:13 |
| 203:10,12,14 206:12, | market 124:25 | 169:1 170:1 171:1 | 104:1 105:1 106:1 | 91:4,13 94:11 103:4 |
| 16 211:7 | markets 73:22,25 | 172:1 173:1 174:1 | 107:1 108:1 109:1 | 139:8,10,11,21 |
| makes 202:22 | | 175:1 176:1 177:1 | 110:1 111:1 112:1 | 155:22 |
| | massively 68:21 | 178:1 179:1 180:1 | 113:1 114:1 115:1,3 | |
| making 38:16 61:11 | material 40:14 87:9, | 181:1 182:1 183:1 | 116:1 117:1 118:1 | members 21:3 53:18 |
| 105:10 129:18 | 12 | 184:1 185:1 186:1 | 119:1 120:1 121:1 | 58:24 59:13,18 60:6, |
| 151:20 171:23 173:5 | | 187:1 188:1 189:1 | 122:1 123:1 124:1 125:1 126:1 127:1 | 11 61:12 63:3 65:16 |
| 179:14 193:4 207:5 | materiality 87:14 | 190:1 191:1 192:1 193:1 194:1 195:1 | 128:1 129:1 130:1 | 94:16 96:14 114:8,19 |
| manage 103:2 | Matt 5:1 6:1,6,23 7:1 | 196:1 197:1 198:1 | 131:1 132:1 133:1 | 129:21 133:18 |
| 222:18 | 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 | 199:1 200:1 201:1 | 134:1 135:1 136:1 | 156:23 157:14,25 |
| management 5:22 | 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 | 202:1 203:1 204:1 | 137:1 138:1 139:1 | 167:22 201:2 205:18 |
| management 5:22 | 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 | 205:1 206:1 207:1 | 140:1 141:1 142:1 | 210:15,19 223:8 |
| 6:7,8 7:9 12:8 29:15 | 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 | 208:1 209:1 210:1 | 143:1 144:1 145:1 | members' 163:2 |
| 38:6,7,17 39:6 95:14 102:23,24 174:25 | 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 | 211:1 212:1 213:1 | 146:1 147:1 148:1 | mambarahin 04.40 |
| 183:15 216:5 | 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 | 214:1 215:1 216:1 | 149:1 150:1 151:1 | membership 21:19 |
| | 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 | 217:1 218:1 219:1 | 152:1 153:1 154:1 | 27:20 50:6 67:15 |
| manager 11:5 29:16 | 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 | 220:1 221:1 222:1 | 155:1 156:1 157:1 | 69:18 71:3 94:15 96:12 99:12,15 113:6 |
| 30:15,16 32:20 59:12 | 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 | 223:1 224:1 | 158:1,25 159:1,11,19 | 157:17 223:7 |
| 61:10 103:20 165:7, | 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 | | 160:1 161:1 162:1 | |
| 17 166:4 169:3 194:8 | 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 | matter 6:6 62:22 | 163:1 164:1 165:1 | memorize 19:10 |
| 195:6,10 211:20 | 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 | 76:20,21,23 183:10, | 166:1 167:1 168:1 | mentioned 11:2 |
| 217:4 219:15,19 | 56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1 | 11,21,22 | 169:1 170:1 171:1 | 52:14 55:24 91:18 |
| managers 53:6 | 60:1 61:1 62:1 63:1 | Mccann 5:10 6:17 | 172:1 173:1 174:1 | 93:12 96:16 101:2 |
| | 64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1 | Mcdermett 173:19 | 175:1 176:1 177:1 | 124:7 141:13 193:8 |
| manages 11:6 | 68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1 | 175:7,15 186:17 | 178:1 179:1 180:1 | |
| managing 29:16 | 72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1 | 190:24 | 181:1 182:1 183:1 | met 17:13 |
| | 76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1 | | 184:1 185:1 186:1 | million 33:18 53:8 |
| mandate 29:11,14 | 80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1 | Mcdermett's 186:11 | 187:1 188:1 189:1 | 54:9 69:2 78:19 80:5 |
| 109:9 | 84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1 | Mcgraner 5:1 6:1,6, | 190:1 191:1,2 192:1 | 97:4 103:23 106:5 |
| mandates 106:11 | 88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1 | 23 7:1,4 8:1 9:1 10:1 | 193:1 194:1 195:1 | 107:4,5,6,13 110:12 |
| | 96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1 | 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 | 196:1 197:1 198:1 | 111:4,18 112:6,11,20 |
| manner 83:17 | 100:1 101:1 102:1 | 15:1,22 16:1 17:1 | 199:1 200:1 201:1 | 113:4 122:16,21 |
| 122:20 | 100.1 101.1 102.1 | | 202:1 203:1 204:1,5 | 123:6,16,24 125:3,4, |
| | | | | |
| L | | | | |

Index: million-dollar..order

8,10,13,16,18,24,25 126:8,13 127:15,21, 23,25 128:12,14 133:24 134:13,17 135:3,6,11,14,21 136:7,10,17 138:9, 21,24 139:3,24 140:11,22 141:4,5,16 142:7,11 144:13 151:20,23 153:3,21 186:23 187:19 190:8 192:3,22,25 193:8, 19,24 195:3

million-dollar 194:20

mind 80:13 147:17 152:3

minimum 151:23

minus 33:17

minute 60:15 68:7 72:18 105:17 111:12 148:15 181:14 212:6

minutes 31:10 190:18 212:5

missed 58:14

mistake 40:14,20 64:23,25 65:6,9 69:16 97:12 98:23 99:5 132:25 140:3 143:14 154:4 155:5, 6,8,11 207:8

mistakes 40:7 94:7 118:17,25

misunderstood 137:3

mitigating 106:16,17

mixing 197:4

moment 43:4 44:13 57:18 63:15 104:12 145:10 209:3 223:4

Monday 53:3

money 24:16,22,25 25:5,16 26:2 27:6 28:17 31:21 35:16 36:13,14 53:8 54:11 107:16 108:5,13,18 109:22 112:14 122:23 123:9,11 140:14 141:5,10,13, 23 142:3 181:6 187:17 191:15 193:16 194:13 195:19 196:6,11,16, 25 200:10 202:17

monthly 71:13

months 63:24 149:24 189:22 198:8 199:23

morning 5:3 7:4,6

Morris 5:20 7:3,7 15:11 22:19,22 41:20 47:19 48:22,24 66:13,14 67:11,12 68:5,9 71:21,25 72:9, 14,15,19,24,25 83:3, 4 87:13,15 119:18,20 121:11 130:16,21 131:3 133:8 139:14 156:18 157:19 158:8, 25 159:8,18 174:8, 18,20 196:22 203:5,8 212:4,17,24 213:3 224:5,13

motion 182:16,20 183:5 184:13 185:20, 25 186:5

move 47:19 48:22 66:13 67:11 68:6 83:3 87:13 117:7 156:18 157:19 158:8, 9 196:22

moving 187:10

multi 55:7

multi-family 73:21 102:24

Multifamily 20:22 21:19 38:14 39:12 40:15,22 50:7,12 51:8 53:13,14 54:25 55:3,8 56:3 58:12,24 59:19 60:7,12,17 61:6,10 62:23 63:7 65:17 68:12 74:25 75:6,23 76:2 77:17 78:6,10,22 79:9,14 80:8 81:8 82:2,7,13 83:7,21 90:6,22 91:4, 9,14 92:8,11 94:12, 16,20 96:8,13 103:5, 10 113:7 114:8 116:18 119:3 124:15, 23 139:16 140:19 142:2 156:23 157:15, 25 164:22 165:18 166:5,9 169:4 171:9, 11 172:3,7 175:19 179:15 180:6 190:8 191:22 194:8,13,15, 20 195:4 196:13 197:3,13 204:11 205:2 206:10 207:18, 21 208:4,18 210:6 211:20 213:13 218:11 219:2

Multifamily's 21:2, 23 37:24 59:13 61:12 133:18 192:23 194:19 203:20,24 204:12 205:2,13,17, 18,24 206:5,13 207:6,10,13 208:9,15 209:6,19,23 210:10, 14,19,23 211:3,8,21 215:9,13,17 216:19 218:4

multiple 33:11 181:14

Ν

names 163:2 204:3

narrow 128:15

naturally 223:10

nature 90:20 160:2 218:16,21 219:3 221:17

necessity 109:2

needed 25:13 28:13 70:6 79:10 82:25 110:11 121:20 173:13

negotiate 57:15

negotiation 38:23 100:3 103:8 105:18 185:3 195:25

net 125:7 167:19

newly 69:9

Nexbank 134:7,9 135:7,15,17 137:13 140:12

Nexpoint 5:25 9:2,8 10:24,25 11:4,7,13, 18,19,20,21,23 12:2, 11 13:13 14:8 34:3,4, 13,21 36:12,17,18 74:8 93:5 173:14 175:2 216:4

Nexvest 134:7,10 135:7,15,17 186:24 187:17 188:2,5,10

nonrecourse 136:21,22

normal 181:2

Northern 6:10

note 26:7,8,9 50:19 52:6,13 54:9 55:24 56:4,6,14,17,19,20 58:15,23 59:5 112:7 208:20 209:16

noted 6:20 206:19

notes 26:4 83:19 212:7

notice 15:18 16:10 19:7 40:13.19

noting 190:7

notwithstanding

77:14 146:19 182:2, 12,14

November 23:12 143:3 174:12,13,21 175:19 176:2 178:3 188:6,21 189:13

nuance 105:24 106:2

number 6:4,11 20:14 41:11 117:12 134:16, 17 142:6 221:22

numbers 15:13,14 131:16,23 132:18 133:4,6

NXRT 137:13

0

objective 44:25

obligations 105:23 137:2 138:2

obtain 11:10 172:8

obtained 70:9

occasions 220:11

occur 80:7,14

occurred 63:23 80:17 103:22

occurring 43:3

October 6:13 88:12 147:12

offered 85:10

offering 34:21

office 51:18 74:12,14

officer 11:9,13,18 12:2 13:18,24 14:8, 12,21 32:22 165:18 166:4,10

officers 30:25 32:16 33:24

one-off 83:14 90:3, 24 91:6

ongoing 44:12 57:3, 7 71:8 148:14 174:15 207:17

operating 34:7 37:12 109:8 173:13

opportunity 28:25 45:7,11,13

oppose 182:19 184:12 185:25

opposed 158:13 182:16 183:4 186:5 195:4

optimists 127:17

options 108:15

oral 34:17

order 10:13 15:14 63:4 67:14 70:7 84:17 85:10 86:8 111:22 155:18 159:14 175:11 176:4 223:15

Index: ordering..position

ordering 224:23

original 17:19 18:4 19:18 26:9 49:10 56:25 75:5,22 76:2, 17 77:4,9 78:10 80:7 90:14,21 98:7,11 185:4 198:20

originally 76:7

outcome 220:24

outstanding 107:23 138:3 153:4

oversight 193:13,18

owed 50:3

owned 24:12 106:22 137:14

owner 203:2 217:14

owners 24:7.10

ownership 20:16 50:11,16 52:2,10,15 56:2,5 57:5,11,15,23 58:3,7,12 59:12,17 60:6,11 66:8 67:21 68:12,24 69:10 164:4 183:15 216:23 217:15 219:25

owns 23:17,19

Ρ

P&I 120:15,24 121:2 210:19

p.m. 130:23,24 131:2 158:19,20,22,24 212:13,14,16 224:25

Pachulski 5:21 7:8 50:21

package 17:18 76:8 106:4 110:2 137:12, 15 139:20

paid 12:9,11 35:15 62:25 123:24 143:2 187:20,24 188:2,6 189:8,14 194:14 195:3,7 196:3,17,25

pain 107:17 196:8

paper 160:12

papers 202:11

paragraph 20:23 121:13

Pardon 35:3

parenthetical 187:6

part 34:7 54:6,25 55:3 67:16 69:24 76:8 83:12 86:25 91:17 95:23 101:3 137:6 140:12 161:2 171:20 192:24 193:14 202:9

partial 87:2

partially 54:5 141:6 200:14,21

participate 75:8 77:21 105:4 122:7 145:21 179:22 221:11

participated 93:2 105:13

parties 5:13 34:8 75:16 122:6 136:2 165:24 167:13 168:12,22 173:8 178:10 182:21 185:11

parties' 64:21 151:3 163:23 164:15 165:12 166:15 169:16 170:13

partner 41:12 53:12 102:24 139:7

partners 6:2 9:3,8 37:12 41:16 133:24 135:13 154:21 159:23 195:8 216:5

partnership 195:8 217:8 219:16,20

partnerships 209:22

party 54:24 55:2,7 75:18 76:2,5 91:9 111:25 170:19

passed 209:22

passively 121:8

past 176:5

pasted 219:12

path 189:15

patience 224:7

Patrick 17:14 81:15 115:4 117:12 118:9, 12,16 119:21 121:19 129:10 136:16 204:21,24

Paul 214:13 217:17

pay 35:12,18,23 54:8, 11 63:4,8 80:5 104:6, 7 105:10 111:17,22 128:8 140:10 172:22 173:13,17 187:17 196:11

paydown 57:9 104:10 112:9 113:4

paying 193:15 200:25

payment 63:3 197:11,19 198:25 201:12,23,25 202:20 203:11

pays 12:12

pen 160:12

pending 5:19

people 53:19 92:19 93:10 117:12 146:8 154:20,25 162:2 173:5,19 180:14 204:4

per-asset 110:24

percent 50:8,12 52:3,11 56:2 57:24 58:11 104:4 105:3 115:21 117:6 121:14, 15 123:5,15 124:5 126:7,13,24 127:20 128:3,5,8,10,22 129:9 143:25 144:15 151:20,24 156:17 192:7 193:10,24 203:2,3 223:15,19

percentage 23:23 50:7 52:5,10,15 58:20 59:3 69:5 71:5, 7,9 104:16 129:12,24 130:10,15 144:25

153:12 156:25 163:3 167:23 168:7,8 170:3 206:19

percentages 50:16 56:5 57:15 58:19 59:13,17 60:11 63:11,16,20 66:8 70:17 71:6,18 180:12 201:18 223:21

period 14:3,5,13 78:21 88:14 130:8

permitted 66:20

person 12:6 37:5,19 38:2 47:7 63:3 83:16 101:22 102:3 162:11, 15 195:24

personal 8:7 17:2 25:17,19 26:17,22 93:19 97:5,8 116:19 196:23

personally 12:13 16:20 17:3,9 24:15 28:5 43:12 45:22 47:3 49:3,4 51:15 94:23 98:19 99:14 103:7 105:4 119:15 145:20 150:9,11 151:10 184:25 189:5 218:9 219:23 220:8

personnel 92:13

perspective 102:13 110:4 124:3 150:17 164:11 167:8 168:18, 20 169:12 179:6 192:21 211:6

petite 203:17

petition 65:25 66:7, 19 67:14,20 68:11,25 69:13 70:25 88:6 97:17 100:17,20 130:3,6 156:12 204:15 217:22 218:2 220:5,7

Phil 5:4 6:14

Phillips 39:15,20 101:5 102:9 181:11, 15 182:2,3,6,15,17 183:8,20 184:13 185:2 **phone** 83:17 179:17,

phrase 49:19 116:23 117:2 205:15

pick 115:15

piece 45:19 92:15 104:7 105:25 106:15 107:19 109:17 134:12

pieces 112:6

place 8:3 34:19,20 50:24 61:3 83:16 93:22,25 153:23 155:24

plan 52:21 74:22,23 110:10 124:19,20 172:11

platform 162:2

play 74:15 93:15 94:10,14

pleadings 221:16

pledge 17:17,18 76:7,10 78:13 137:10 138:7 139:21

pledged 53:19 97:4 106:6 109:9,10 138:7,10,16 139:8 142:13.15

pledging 89:18

pocket 140:21,24 141:24 142:4 176:21 191:10

point 80:9 149:13 155:7 194:25 201:19 216:22

portfolio 33:10 52:22 59:10 73:20 74:9 128:20 199:18

portion 86:25 134:14,19 135:4 137:8 140:22 141:4,9 143:8 159:12 188:21 189:16 191:3,9 193:12,19

position 60:9 175:9, 12,18 176:13 177:12, 13,19

Index: positive..realized

| positive 91:14 |
|---|
| post 104:19,24 220:5,7 |
| posting 10:7 |
| Postpetition 156:4 |
| potential 73:23,24 84:9 |
| practice 13:6,10 |
| practiced 13:3 |
| practices 176:6 |
| premarked 212:21 |
| preparation 18:15 161:14,23 203:19,23 204:25 206:13 210:5 |
| prepare 17:10 32:3 210:22 211:3 |
| prepared 19:20,25 20:11,18,21,25 21:7, 11,17,22 22:2,6,15 31:9 32:9,12 56:25 57:12,13,14 150:23 169:3 171:6 205:18 211:21 |
| preparer 120:4 208:4,9,15 218:4 |
| preparers 216:19 |
| preparing 204:12 |
| prepetition 156:3 157:11 |
| preserving 47:20 62:20 |
| president 31:3,5 32:17 220:6 |
| presume 109:20 |
| pretty 16:18 62:10 144:2 149:23 221:6,7 |
| prevent 191:21 |
| prevented 61:11 177:21 |
| previously 10:10 |

18:8 41:8

primary 103:18

118:9,12 182:10

prior 12:16.18 14:11 18:12 29:24 54:22 65:25 66:7 67:13,19 68:3,11,24 69:13 76:17 77:9 78:21 97:17 109:23 113:17, 22 135:2 188:7 200:20 217:21 218:2 priority 106:12 private 13:5 pro 168:6 problem 62:16,19 Procedure 5:18 **proceed** 186:22 proceeds 110:16,18, 20,21 111:2 134:15 139:4 142:2 **process** 76:14 202:8,9 203:19 professionals 38:21 39:9 40:3,7,13,19 136:3 211:24 professionals' 212:2 professions 39:23 profit 125:8,13 profits 21:2 117:6 121:13 123:2,5,15 124:5,14,22 125:4,6, 17,24 126:14,25 9,14

127:15,22 128:13 187:7 193:10 210:4, prohibited 176:14 **project** 73:6,12 74:16 75:2 92:12 93:3,21 94:8,25 95:24 96:5,17,25 97:14 100:13 102:18, 21 112:11 113:14,20, 25 116:8 projected 124:22 125:16 127:15,21 promote 71:10 223:11 prompted 208:21

Proof 40:25 41:11 45:19 46:19 47:4.8. 12,24 48:6,10,14,17 49:2,6 50:15 62:2,20 181:9 182:7 220:14, 16 properly 183:8,20 properties 38:7 property 38:17 39:6 95:14 142:2 **proposal** 146:2,10 147:3 proposed 119:25 147:4,11,16 proration 167:22 prorations 112:18 prospective 114:19 protect 43:7 44:17

protects 44:20 protocols 95:3 provide 45:15 80:2,4 83:24 85:21 88:24 89:14 92:5 99:7

provided 39:20 40:8. 21 50:20 61:15 65:3 79:16 94:8 100:23 101:9 146:21 153:8

providing 80:3 89:18 119:10

provision 66:15,20 98:24 106:10 121:19, 24 126:3,5 155:9,18, 20 160:24 164:7 165:22 166:23 167:4 168:18 169:7 170:10, 13 189:4

provisions 68:2 99:10,11 137:12 162:21

public 34:21 101:4 106:19

publicly 106:12 purchase 28:14 purchased 111:22 purported 82:8 83:2, 11 91:18 124:6 153:14

purportedly 79:16, 19

purpose 128:18 156:25 199:18

purposes 111:5 135:22 143:9 157:16 158:3 182:6

pursuing 181:8,11 182:7

put 15:5 24:22 27:19 28:17 34:19,20 40:12,18 41:7 98:8 104:7,11 110:2 112:10 113:13,14,18, 19,24,25 114:22 115:2,18 116:8 127:24 139:3 141:23 142:3 159:8 160:12 181:7 199:20 212:20 215:17

putting 151:22

Q

quantify 81:3 quarterback 74:21 **question** 9:18,22 37:14 47:22 56:24 70:21 86:17 94:22 112:19 114:14 123:12 125:21 134:18 151:12 153:25 156:20 157:13,20,21 168:17 175:25 183:11,17 185:23 193:18,22 196:6 197:6,8 201:7 204:7 questioning 88:11 141:22 192:10

questions 7:16 9:16 16:13.17.20.25 19:8. 25 23:2 30:20 99:22 113:9 158:14 202:21 214:5,17 224:16

quickly 98:6 159:18

quote 115:20 quote/unquote 101:6

R

rainbow 125:10 raised 206:25 ramifications 55:21

ran 218:24

Rand 26:12

rata 168:6

ratio 110:24

rational 152:2,4

Raver 115:5,10,12

re- 42:8

re-trade 53:23,25 54:21 55:5,9,20 57:8 58:5 63:22,23 64:16 80:7,14,16 103:23

re-traded 52:24 53:2,11 78:16

re-trading 107:18

reach 140:21

reached 104:23

read 19:14 122:5 123:21 145:10 186:25 187:2,3 217:2 224:21

reading 169:23

ready 72:10,12 214:14

real 5:25 9:2,8 11:2,6, 19,21 12:2 13:14 14:8 23:9,15 28:21 29:5,9,11,17,23 31:18 32:10 34:3 67:25 68:17 74:8 93:13 97:23,24 110:25 111:21 127:17 151:20 182:10 216:4

realize 65:8

realized 66:18

Index: realm..returns

| realm 95:7 |
|----------------------|
| reason 17:5,6 29:4, |
| 18 62:10,11,13 69:11 |
| 75:14 77:20 79:12 |
| 82:6 84:11 86:11 |
| 95:21 96:2 122:19,24 |
| 123:14,23 126:2,5,6 |
| 136:15 138:14 |
| 151:14 176:18 |
| 184:22 191:12,17,18, |

107:25

25 193:9 200:6,22 **reasonable** 151:3

reasons 81:7 82:10 84:7 85:17 90:13 124:8

recall 8:2,22 16:7 24:25 35:11,15,17 38:15 39:10 42:6,8, 10,13,16,17,18 43:2 44:9 45:6,10 50:10, 23 51:3,6 52:9 56:6, 12,20,25 58:15 75:4 79:15 80:15 82:16,20 83:18 89:25 90:5 91:2,8 103:12 117:13,16 119:5,9,10 120:24 121:2 122:13 124:21 131:20 145:24 156:9 161:4 173:24 179:13 188:9. 25 189:23 202:14 207:5 213:12 215:8 220:21 221:8

receipt 126:12

receive 100:7 107:8, 16 122:16 143:25 200:6 201:9

received 69:2 74:9 95:10 107:13 122:15 125:18,25 128:12,14 140:2 143:19 162:6 192:3 198:24 199:3 200:7,10 202:16 216:10,15,20 219:11

receiving 151:23

recollection 38:13 50:2 56:10,17 78:4 131:19 141:18 149:2 161:19 162:11 174:5 187:18 189:11 record 5:8 6:20 29:23 47:20 72:5,8, 14,23 130:20,23 131:2 158:20,21,24 212:13,16 224:17,23

recording 5:14

records 27:4 192:24 194:19

recourse 137:11,17

refer 9:4 39:22 73:15

reference 80:9 87:14 120:4

referred 145:13

referring 9:6 34:10 39:10 44:15,16 46:15 53:23 64:25 77:6 175:16

refers 73:18 116:23 120:7 122:4 166:19 215:23 216:3

refinanced 154:15

refinancing 167:20 168:4

reflect 43:21 69:9,17 75:15 128:19 140:7

reflected 27:3,11 52:2 53:25 54:6 64:21 104:15 135:12 140:23 154:18 155:15 164:15 166:15 168:22 170:13 187:13 192:23 216:24 219:25

reflects 163:22 167:13 197:18.25

refresh 56:10,16 174:4 187:18 189:10

regard 99:19 171:7

regularly 220:10

rehab 73:23

reimburse 108:7

relate 30:22

related 8:8 152:15 206:13

relates 222:2

relating 163:2 213:13

relationship 103:21

relay 46:24

relied 38:24 154:25 211:16,25

reluctance 208:14

rely 92:11

remainder 191:8

remaining 59:10 140:16 170:2 188:17

remember 57:2 120:21 142:25 145:15,16 205:6

remind 159:11

remote 5:6,14

remotely 5:9,12 10:7

removal 20:4

remove 88:3,8

removing 181:11

rendered 35:13,16, 20,25

renovation 73:23

rep 8:23 183:12

repaid 141:25 142:17,20,22 143:10 176:10 188:19 198:12 199:24

repay 28:16 171:19 174:14

repeat 67:16 125:21 160:14 185:23

replacing 208:8

report 13:20 14:11, 19,23

reported 13:22 14:2, 4,6

reporter 5:10 6:16, 21 224:22

reporting 5:6 6:16, 18 14:16 116:15 reports 116:11

represent 7:9 42:10 98:16 183:9,21

representation 102:12 183:13

representations 31:20

representative 7:18 8:21 16:15,21 30:22 63:18 67:7 157:24

represented 93:17 182:15,21 185:2

representing 181:15,21 182:4

reputational 108:4

request 156:2 170:18 171:3 190:19, 20

requested 68:16 189:24 214:10

requests 22:8 51:7, 11,16

require 84:20 85:3,5 88:16

required 35:12 59:18 129:21

requirement 112:9

reserve 217:7 224:21

Residential 34:21

resolutions 31:17, 25 32:3.12

resolve 67:9 177:17 208:24

resources 92:12

respect 38:21 94:20, 25 119:2 120:14 156:12 167:23 187:5 206:22 207:5,9

respective 24:12

respond 86:9

responds 214:16

response 20:15 86:20 175:23 195:12 204:23 213:24 214:6 responses 22:7

responsibilities 132:21

responsibility 32:2 37:9 162:12 194:7

responsible 101:14 118:4 203:22 204:11

restate 112:19 114:13 123:11 143:8

restated 57:25 63:25 64:6 66:9 67:21 69:8 70:2,8,12 103:9,14 104:12,14 105:6 111:6,13 113:12,18, 23 114:15 117:18,24 118:5,14,19 119:3,6, 12 121:4 157:16 159:7 185:5 198:23 223:7

result 27:25 68:19 151:21 198:25

resulted 71:15

retain 182:6 185:10

retained 222:8

retire 153:21

retired 153:4 173:21

retroactive 117:19

retroactively 70:13

return 107:9 120:4 176:14 177:8 187:6 189:6,24 190:7 191:2,22 192:9,25 193:12,20,25 196:2 197:12,19,25 199:5 201:9,13,16 206:17, 20 208:18 213:19,25 214:7 215:15

returned 108:18 175:10 176:3 188:17 192:14 198:18

returns 17:23 56:14 169:4 203:20,24 204:12 205:2,13,16, 17,23,25 206:5,14,22 207:6,10,14,19,22 208:5,9 209:6,20 210:6,10,23 211:4,8, 21 213:13,17 215:9,

Index: reverse..speak

| 13,18 217:8 219:16, 20 |
|---|
| reverse 67:24 97:22 157:10 |
| review 17:23,25 18:7,11 27:14 49:4,8, 10 67:24 68:17 75:11 97:22 99:10 119:15 140:5 156:10 157:11 159:13 160:19,22 170:22 171:2 190:25 208:17 209:11 |
| reviewed 17:13,16, 19 18:3 19:7,24 48:13,16 54:3 99:14 160:24 |
| reviewing 161:4 |
| Rhode 103:19 |
| Rick 81:15 217:17 |
| rights 106:14 |
| Rios 213:7,24 214:13,18 |
| risk 106:8 156:13 |
| Rizzuti 5:4 6:14 |
| robust 109:25 |
| role 74:16,20 93:15 94:10,14 |
| room 5:7,11 |
| Ross 213:7 |
| roughly 110:12 |
| rowboat 100:5 |
| rowing 149:17 |
| rule 5:17 15:18 16:16,18 169:15 |
| rules 5:17,18 9:15 95:17,20 |
| run 209:7 |
| rush 19:14 |
| S |
| |

168:4

| 13,18 217:8 219:16, 20 | |
|---|--|
| reverse 67:24 97:22 157:10 | |
| review 17:23,25 18:7,11 27:14 49:4,8, 10 67:24 68:17 75:11 97:22 99:10 119:15 140:5 156:10 157:11 159:13 160:19,22 170:22 171:2 190:25 208:17 209:11 | |
| reviewed 17:13,16, 19 18:3 19:7,24 48:13,16 54:3 99:14 160:24 | |
| reviewing 161:4 | |
| Rhode 103:19 | |
| Rick 81:15 217:17 | |
| rights 106:14 | |
| Rios 213:7,24 214:13,18 | |
| risk 106:8 156:13 | |
| Rizzuti 5:4 6:14 | |
| robust 109:25 | |
| role 74:16,20 93:15 94:10,14 | |
| room 5:7,11 | |
| Ross 213:7 | |
| roughly 110:12 | |
| rowboat 100:5 | |
| rowing 149:17 | |
| rule 5:17 15:18 16:16,18 169:15 | |
| rules 5:17,18 9:15 95:17,20 | |
| run 209:7 | |
| rush 19:14 | |
| | |
| | |
| salary 12:9 | |
| sale 142:2 167:19 | |

| | . ၁၅ |
|---------|----------------|
| | sa |
| | sat |
| 2 | Sa |
| | 1 ⁻ |
| 3, 1 | 10 |
| | SC |
| 1 | sc |
| 5 | 1; 1; |
| | 1. |
| | 10 10 |
| | 1 |
| | sc |
| | Sc |
| | SC (|
| | Sc |
| | SC |
| | 4 ⁻ |
| | 15 |
| | SC I |
| | 1: |
| | 14 |
| | 19 |
| | Se |
| | sea |
| | se (|
| | se |
| | 14 |
| | 10 10 |
| | se |
| | se |
| | se |
| | 2 |
| | Se |

| • | r ogj±. |
|---|--|
| | |
| | sales |
| | satis |
| | 17,2 47:8 16 4 186: |
| | scale |
| | 132: 135: 144: 161: 163: 170: |
| | scho |
| | Schr |
| | scop 132: |
| | Scot |
| | 41:8 115: 159: |
| | 41:9 121: 147: 167: 190: |
| | Sean |
| | seas |
| | secr 6 32:3 |
| | 146: 161: 165: 167: |
| | secu 138: |

| ales 57:9 | 153:21 |
|---|--|
| atisfy 171:13 | seller 108:2 |
| auter 42:21 43:2, | selling 111:21 |
| 17,22 44:10 46:14,23 47:8,14 48:3,5,9,13, 16 49:6 182:23,25 | send 108:14 173:17 214:13 221:16 |
| 186:11,14 | sends 148:7 |
| cale 73:24 | senior 103:19 136:25 |
| chedule 131:14,22 132:3,17 133:3,12 135:12,23 138:18 144:21 145:2 150:22 | sense 122:17 127:19 202:22,24 203:10,12, 14 |
| 161:6 162:22,23 163:14,17,22 168:7,9 170:4 192:15 198:9 | sentence 60:15 61:23,25 62:7,17 177:6 187:10,14 215:25 216:3,23 |
| chool 13:2 | 217:5 |
| chroth 97:9 | sentences 186:21 |
| cope 55:4 113:5,10 132:12,20 | separate 162:7 |
| cott 23:21 32:21 | September 55:4,8, 14 56:12,18,22 57:21 66:12 70:10 80:12 |
| creen 10:8 15:6,22 41:8 98:8 114:22 115:3 133:6 139:15 159:9 212:21 | 88:12,16 111:15 192:4 194:14,21 195:4 197:2,19 198:2,25 200:11 |
| Croll 15:24 19:12 41:9,18 45:18 117:22 121:11 131:6 145:25 | 201:13 207:25 213:7 214:20,23 215:5 218:3 |
| 147:18 148:21 167:17 174:8,18 190:14 213:23 | Sergent 14:15,17,19, 23 93:7 96:3,7 |
| ean 173:21 | series 9:16 16:24 39:12 |
| easoned 211:24 | served 11:25 31:5 |
| ecretary 31:3,6 32:3,18 | services 34:2,5,9,11, 12 35:12,16,20,24 |
| ection 106:3 122:9 146:5,19 150:20 | 36:5,16 94:7 153:8 204:17 |
| 161:3 164:2,11,14,18 165:3 166:6,14 167:8,13 169:12,15 | set 20:22 21:14 28:23 37:24 40:15 45:24 50:6,10,13 58:19 |
| ecurity 137:10 138:7 | 62:6 66:8 71:3 147:21 152:21 166:6 170:3 186:19 192:15 |
| eek 184:7 | setting 135:22 |
| eeking 58:19 223:11 | settled 52:25 |
| eery 17:15 50:22 | seven-month 130:8 |

| shake |
|-----------------------------------|
| share |
| shared 12 36: |
| shares |
| sheet 106:6 |
| sheets |
| show 159:19 |
| showe |
| shows |
| side 39 110:4 150:20 |
| sides |
| 15,19 |
| sign 9 |
| signate 75:22 |
| signati 159:21 |
| signat ı 160:2, |
| signed |
| 63:24 75:12 78:15, |
| 10,15, |
| 99:4,8 109:24 |
| 114:5, 119:13 |
| 131:20 |
| 145:17 161:8 |
| 164:8, |
| 16 167 169:9 |
| signific |
| signing 111:13 |
| simple |
| 157:13 |

| simplicity 33:14 |
|---|
| single 140:24 142 |
| singular 162:11,1 |
| sir 7:11,21 10:21 6 70:12 72:18 88:11 |
| 93:18 123:11 130: 141:22 148:3 159: |
| 162:23 183:14 195:20,24 197:24 |
| 198:22 224:6,19 sitting 142:10 156 |
| 198:15 |
| situation 221:7 |
| six- 130:8 |
| skip 168:16 |
| Skyview 204:17,1 19 211:23 |
| sliver 102:25 |
| slowly 19:12 |
| sold 52:23 65:5 1 ⁻⁷ 140:16,18 154:15 173:11 |
| sole 30:15,16 102 |
| solely 101:23 102 162:12 |
| solicitations 74: |
| solution 186:12,1 |
| some-odd 203:3 |
| someone's 202:1 |
| sort 106:12 109:2 |
| sorts 38:9 |
| sounds 112:13 |
| 214:18 |
| source 25:18 78:1 |
| sourced 74:11 134:20 135:6 |
| sources 21:25 22 |
| spark 178:16 |
| speak 18:14,18,20 22,25 43:5 46:18,2 23 103:7 114:10 |
| |
| |

severally 105:22

sell 80:4 84:2,3,9

Index: speaking..time

160:15 219:23

speaking 17:21 67:6

specialist 6:15

specific 28:7 29:11 70:23 117:3 137:15 142:6 146:25

specific- 63:2

specifically 16:7,19 27:10 37:25 68:16 99:23 144:12 171:5, 21 177:4 197:14,16 202:14 222:24,25

speed 19:4

spent 111:20

spoke 178:23 220:8

spoken 205:11,23 206:4

spreadsheet 52:14 107:24

St 13:2.4

stacks 49:23

staffing 38:8

stand 23:7 152:2 185:10

standing 45:2 175:9

Stang 5:21 7:8

start 6:4 72:19 204:2 212:25

started 12:7,10 72:16,17

Starwood 53:5 108:2

state 50:3

State's 5:18

stated 144:14,16 198:7

statement 45:24 50:20 52:6 55:25 58:16 121:23 138:12 200:20 213:18 214:9, 20,24 215:4,11,12 218:8,12 219:12

statements 46:8 49:15,20,21,24

States 6:9

stay 61:3 155:23

staying 187:9

step 25:15 67:19 68:10 73:2

steps 67:13 68:14 185:9

stipulate 5:13

stock 84:3 106:12, 19,21 137:13

stop 41:10 121:12

Strawn 39:14,20 101:4

strike 47:20 48:22 66:13 67:11 68:6 83:3 87:13 156:19 157:19 158:9 196:22

string 174:22 190:15

structuring 38:22 39:17

stuff 122:6 193:6

style 73:21

subject 107:11 117:4 149:19 183:10,22 216:25 217:15

subpoena 15:17

subsequent 217:9 219:17,21

subsidiary 11:20

substance 103:12 180:7 222:22

substantial 26:24

substantive 214:17 215:7

substantively 217:10

succeed 9:9

suffering 107:17 196:8

sufficient 9:22

suggest 78:2 184:6

suggested 193:11

suggesting 78:5

summarize 19:13

summarizing 169:5

summer 18:5

Sunbelt 73:22

Superczynski 173:20

superseded 213:19

support 47:12 60:22, 24

supported 92:17 96:25

supposed 53:4

sustained 126:21

Swadley 81:15 205:4

swear 5:11 6:22

swearing 5:15

sworn 6:24

symmetry 89:16

Т

table 143:14 163:10

tailspin 52:24 53:10 63:22

taking 123:5 193:10 200:21

talk 83:7 111:12 134:11 156:5 159:2 179:5 181:13 220:10 221:18 224:22

talked 95:15

talking 22:22 37:13 39:11 46:6 52:16 73:4 88:11 116:20 139:19 143:4 151:10 205:16

tax 17:23 21:21,23 39:16 56:14 79:16 80:2,19,20,23 81:3,9, 12,13,16,20 82:8 83:2,11 89:11 90:5, 12,20 91:15,18,22 92:4,9,15,16 93:13 96:23 97:2 120:14.23 122:12 124:6 125:12. 13,16,17,23 126:12 127:20 128:9 129:6,8 149:11,13 153:14 154:9 169:4 203:20, 24 204:12,13 205:2, 5,13,15,17,22,24 206:5,14,17 207:6, 10,13,18,21 208:4,8, 9,15,17 209:6,10,20 210:6,10,21,23 211:2,3,6,8,21,22 213:13,17 215:9,13, 15,18 216:19 218:4, 18 219:16,20

taxable 215:24

team 32:7 34:3 67:23 68:17 81:12,14 93:13,14 97:5,6,21 115:14 122:12 129:6, 7,8 132:5 149:11,14 154:9,10 156:10 173:20 186:12,14 187:4 204:14 205:5 209:10 218:18

team's 175:2

teammate 51:20

teammates 51:20

teams 92:19

technical 130:17

telling 42:25

tells 147:10

temporarily 177:7

ten 212:5

term 73:5,9,11,13 171:16

terms 95:13 105:19 140:8 160:11 173:9 189:11 195:16

tested 110:24

testified 6:24 46:17 66:17 97:20 156:9 181:14

testify 8:20,24 16:9 19:21 20:6,11,18,21, 25 21:7,11,17,22 22:2,6,15 171:6 testifying 180:18

testimony 10:17 17:7,14 76:19 122:19 135:2 137:23 192:18 199:25 202:13,14

Texas 6:10 8:18

thing 152:11,13 171:17

things 17:2 38:9 80:3 85:10 86:23 131:14 132:16 153:17 169:2 222:5

thinking 50:18

Thomas 17:15 145:9 147:10 148:23

thought 46:17 60:5 69:4 70:21 78:12 85:21 88:5,24 98:23 114:11 124:24 134:16 136:24 139:19 144:13,15 154:20 177:16 200:25 205:9 209:16 221:6

threw 53:9 63:22

thrown 52:23

Thursday 54:13

Tim 93:5

time 9:4,22 10:8 12:22 13:10,14,23 14:12,24 16:2,6 18:4 21:6 22:20,21 24:3 25:6,14 26:2 28:8 29:12,17 30:4,9,13, 17 32:18,23 33:6,9 36:7,10 37:2,11 42:7, 9 43:4 50:14 51:17 53:9 54:22 55:21 59:8 61:21 63:13 64:5,12 66:6,11,14, 22 70:24 71:22 72:3, 7 76:17,24,25 77:3,7, 9 78:21,25 80:13 85:8 103:18 108:20 109:11,23 113:11,17, 22 114:4 118:11 119:11,25 122:8 128:23 129:4,25 130:5,22,25 132:2,8, 17,21 133:20 140:9

Index: times..washy

143:15 144:4.8.9.12. 17 145:4,22 148:9,10 150:3,25 151:18 152:9,22 153:6 156:7 158:18,23 159:12 163:23 164:13 166:15 167:6,14 168:13,22 169:17 170:6 177:9 179:5 180:11 181:6,12 187:21 188:7,18,25 197:22 198:14,17,22 200:3,7,9 202:21 203:15 204:2,6 208:22 210:4 212:10, 12,15 213:12 216:9 217:12,19 222:19 223:10 224:19,24

times 7:23 107:15 181:14 191:15 193:9, 15 198:20 199:4

timing 28:4

tired 209:4

title 11:8,10,12 13:13, 16 32:20,21

to-be-amended 113:7

to-be-formed 113:6

today 7:13 9:4,17 10:9,20 13:7,20 16:3 17:6,21 19:7 23:17 29:25 30:25 33:3 36:22 59:25 60:9 98:14 103:3 142:20 143:20 144:10 150:13 152:20,25 154:4 163:18 167:12 181:14 193:9 198:16

today's 17:10 18:16 54:3

told 52:18 53:7 56:13 60:4 77:12 81:10,11, 14 108:12 129:2,3,5, 8 134:24 143:24 179:18 216:13,14 219:5

Tom 14:15

top 133:8 147:8,24 148:6,21 163:2 213:4

topic 19:6,15,23 20:4,8,23 21:15,21 83:20 103:14 104:25 132:8 173:6,24 180:8 222:17

topics 10:18 15:25 16:10 19:10 20:2 21:5 22:16 30:23

total 134:17

tough 222:6

tox 162:25

track 29:23

traded 106:12

transacting 31:22

transaction 17:20, 21 18:4,5 31:19 35:6 38:22,23,24 46:3,4,6 53:4 63:21 65:4 69:3 77:21 84:18 85:11 92:17 95:3 103:25 107:14 120:17 151:21 154:16 197:22 200:2

transactions 37:25 38:14 39:12 40:16,22

transfer 194:20

transferred 27:17

transition 128:20

transitional-like 199:17

trauma 103:22

traumatic 107:25

treat 191:13

treated 191:13 192:2 201:13

treating 201:2

treatment 197:18

trick 10:16

tricky 16:12

true 64:12,20 100:16, 18 161:25 188:22 189:7 198:9 200:14

Trust 34:22 139:6

Trustee 224:14

truthful 17:7 45:24 46:9 159:15

TSG 5:5 6:16,18

Tuesday 53:3

turn 102:14 177:24

turned 181:3

Turns 114:3

typical 59:20,21

U

U.S. 224:14

Uh-huh 131:12

ultimate 23:20 39:2 57:4,11 67:8 79:10, 13 86:2

ultimately 52:22 53:22 63:19 94:5 104:2 109:3 110:18 121:3 124:16 137:22 149:5 151:19 211:19 221:3

unclear 197:6,8

undergrad 12:24

understand 7:10,12, 15 9:5 10:3 16:8 39:19,25 44:19 57:10 59:24 61:22 62:6 93:20 108:20 110:13 134:20 159:25 163:18 164:14,21 165:3,7,16 168:21 196:5 201:4 205:19

understanding

23:13 26:15,19 30:12 31:4 44:24 59:11,16 61:3 73:8,11 75:21 77:8 85:18 86:18 91:12 101:8,14 109:21 114:17 116:3, 22,25 118:3 120:11 121:18 133:15 135:2 138:4 140:7 146:18 163:21 165:2,12 167:12 168:11 188:18 210:12,16 understandings 105:12

understood 59:22 70:7 114:7 132:15 148:13 154:21,22 160:6,23 170:6,12 189:4,12

undertook 90:18

underwriting 17:20 124:19,21

unencumbered

76:10,13,19 77:11, 15,20 78:7 79:3 83:23

unfolded 63:21 65:5

Unicorn 73:6,12 74:17 75:2 92:12 93:3,21 94:8,25 95:24 96:5,17,25 97:14 102:18,21 112:12 116:8 117:4

unilateral 60:10 129:12

unilaterally 60:5

unintended 221:22, 24

unique 154:16

United 6:9

units 222:18

University 12:25 13:2

unnecessary 191:19

unsure 176:25

update 131:21 214:8

updated 131:15 132:3

updating 132:17 133:2

utilized 33:25

V

Vaguely 174:3

validity 5:14

value-added 73:23

Vanderbilt 12:25

variety 102:25

vehicles 11:6

verbal 175:23 195:12 204:23

version 146:4 147:19 149:4 159:20 163:4

vice 31:3,5 32:17

video 5:14 6:15

video-recorded 6:5

view 68:23 116:20 151:7,22 198:13 204:15

viewed 200:21

Viggato 19:2 56:13 120:9,12 121:20,25 205:12,24 206:4,10 207:2 208:3,8,12,14 214:23 215:4 216:9, 14 217:14 218:8,12, 15,21 219:3,11

vintage 73:21

vis-a-vis 33:25

voluntarily 64:5 87:24

W

waiting 103:25

waiver 183:24 184:7

Walker 55:18 112:3, 4,8 125:2 127:9

wanted 43:7 44:17 57:10 69:16 71:2,18 78:17 83:10 104:4 105:9 106:7 107:7 147:20 156:24 188:20 206:17

wash 128:11

Washington 13:2

washy 204:14

Index: waste..zoom

waste 181:5

waterfall 71:11 105:10,14 119:17 145:12,18,21 146:2, 6,20 147:4,20 148:14 160:25 161:3 169:21, 24 171:20 176:11 189:3

Waterhouse 97:6

Wednesday 213:20

week 15:8,15 100:19 175:7

weeks 65:10.12 66:18 77:4 100:19

wherewithal 84:14

wholly-owned

11:22

Wick 39:15,20 101:5 102:9 161:17,18 181:11,15 182:2,3,6, 15,17 183:8,20 184:13 185:2

Williams 39:16,21 101:6 102:10,11 118:6,8,13,23,24

win 186:8

Winston 39:14,20 101:4 102:8 161:16

wished 81:5

wishy 204:14

withdrawn 12:17 26:14 48:15 50:4 53:21 66:16 87:5 109:13 128:8 138:13, 14 139:24 172:13 204:9 208:12 209:18

wood 104:6

word 29:13 79:18 175:16

words 197:4

work 37:15 46:7 101:6 102:8 118:17, 25 124:12 133:2 153:12 220:25 221:4

worked 110:17

working 12:7 100:12 131:21 132:10 149:14 161:22 162:2 186:12 187:4 199:16,

works 42:21 93:6 115:14

world 37:6,19 43:17 60:4 91:3,8 156:6,8 197:25 202:5

worries 15:3

worry 115:19

worth 33:22 107:5 156:17 179:8

writes 175:7 190:24 214:22

writing 43:20 179:10,

written 18:2 31:19 32:9,13 34:18,20 35:7,9 36:8 112:25

wrong 46:19,21,22 64:9 95:22 96:3 97:13 143:22 144:2,7 151:15 152:22

Υ

y'all 111:17 185:15

year 13:3 126:19,20 128:20 130:4 142:17 143:10 203:25 210:7 217:8 219:16,17,21

years 24:18 25:8 153:20,22 182:13 217:9 220:12,25 221:5

yes-or-no 48:4

Ζ

Ziehl 5:21 7:8 **zone** 148:10 **zoom** 130:17